HYDRAULIC FRACTURING ON PUBLIC LANDS FORUM

TRANSCRIPT OF

PUBLIC FORUM

Taken At
Belle Mehus Auditorium
201 North Sixth Street
Bismarck, North Dakota
April 20, 2011

(Hosted by the Bureau of Land Management)

		2	
1		APPEARANCES	
2	MR.	RICH WARD, Moderator/Facilitator	
3 4			
5	PANELIST	rs:	
6		DON JUDICE, Bureau of Land Management MIKE WORDEN, Bureau of Land Management	
7	MR.	JOHN PANEITZ, Whiting Petroleum Corporation MIKE EBERHARD, Halliburton Energy Services	
8		FRED FOX, MHA Nation/FBIR MYRON HANSON, Northwest Landowners Association	
9	MR.	LYNN HELMS, North Dakota Oil & Gas Commission	
10	MR.	DENNIS FEWLESS, North Dakota Department of Health	
11	MR.	DONALD NELSON, Dakota Resource Council	
12			
13	MR.	LONNY BAGLEY, Bureau of Land Management	
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

TABLE OF CONTENTS INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS Page Welcome by Ms. Hanley Opening Comments by Mr. Ward Presentation by Mr. Worden Presentation by Mr. Judice Presentation by Mr. Helms Presentation by Mr. Fewless Presentation by Mr. Paneitz Presentation by Mr. Eberhard Presentation by Mr. Fox Presentation by Mr. Hanson Presentation by Mr. Nelson Public Comments/Questions CERTIFICATE Certificate of Court Reporter Page 218

(The following proceedings were had and made of record herein, commencing at 4:05 p.m., Wednesday, the 20th day of April, 2011:)

MR. WARD: Hello. Hello. Hello, and welcome. Please move forward and have a seat.

I'd like to open this important forum by introducing to you Theresa Hanley, the Deputy State Director of the Montana and Dakotas for the BLM. Theresa.

MS. HANLEY: Good afternoon, everybody. I want to thank you all so very much for coming and joining us this afternoon and taking some time out of your day to attend this forum. On behalf of our state director, Jamie Connell, it's my pleasure to welcome you to participate today. We're very much looking forward to hearing your comments on a very important topic of hydraulic fracturing.

Oil and gas natural resources are, of course, a very important part of our energy portfolio now and they will be for many years to come. We recognize that we need to develop these resources responsibly to reduce our dependence on foreign oil. We need to develop them thoughtfully and in a balanced way that allows us to protect our landscapes and our resources for future

1 generations.

The growth of our domestic supply of oil and gas has been made possible in part by technological innovations and advances by industry.

One of those advances is hydraulic fracturing.

This is a common technique used by industry to increase a well's ability to produce oil or gas in commercial quantities.

Right now we estimate that about

90 percent of the wells that are drilled on federal
lands utilize this technique of hydraulic
fracturing. The number of wells that are using
this technique has grown steadily over the years as
oil and gas producers are drilling in geologic
formations that are less permeable than those that
have been drilled in the past.

As the use of hydraulic fracturing has increased, it's become a topic of interest throughout the country, both with respect to operations that are happening on public lands, as well as private lands.

Some of the broad issues and concerns that we have heard include potential contamination and availability of drinking water supplies, the compromise of trade secrets stemming from

disclosure of chemicals that are used in the hydraulic fracturing process and concerns about the potential economic impacts of additional regulations on hydraulic fracturing methods and fluids.

We take these issues and concerns very seriously, and that's why the Bureau of Land Management is holding these forums. Through these meetings we hope that we will learn a better way to -- a path forward by listening to you all and your concerns. We want to be sure that we are utilizing our resources in a way that is smart from the start.

So the purpose of our meeting today is to hear and record your comments on hydraulic fracturing. All are welcome to speak or to submit written comments. We're very much looking forward to hearing your views on this topic. We're also looking forward to continuing to work with other agencies and our industry partners and others to ensure that hydraulic fracturing, as well as other technologies, are used in as clean, a safe -- and safe a manner as possible, and part of that is listening to the concerns and comments of you all.

So, again, thank you so much for

1 participating today. We really appreciate it.

Our session moderator today is Mr. Rich Ward.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: So a fantastic opportunity to let your voice be heard in our public discourse.

Terrific. Thank you, Theresa.

I'm going to go through the housekeeping.

I've been asked to facilitate and moderate this session. I'm not with the BLM. I'm with the Aspen Science Center. And the aim today is to have a very rational, scientifically based conversation about this potentially fabulous resource which might bring also with it certain risks. And the Aspen Science Center is dedicated to rational debate.

What brings me to this conversation and what gives me credibility to stand at the podium is that I've been in the industry for about 25 years as -- on the sustainability side. I actually started in the industry as an exploration geologist with Sohio, having graduated as a petroleum geologist from Stanford University with a master's and a B.S. in geology. And I moved to the sustainability side dealing with water management

and with resource management for the greater part of my career, and so -- and I'm very familiar with both sides of the question and hopefully I'll be able to balance -- balance the discussion.

To start out with, what -- what our plan is is to build on a purpose that -- that Theresa pointed out. We want to -- to hear from the field, hear from the West and -- about what are the real issues that -- that this new development is facing. And this builds on a forum that was held in D.C. back in November to inform the secretary.

It's an outreach to you and so your voices are really important and will be recorded. We have a court reporter here, and so all of your concerns will be taken back and put into a -- a report that will go to the highest level within the Department of Interior. And this is all part of the department's remit of making sure that they protect our natural resources in a proactive way.

The way the agenda works is we have a first session, about 50 minutes of introduction.

The bulk of that is going to be used to do a little grounding presentation. It's Hydraulic Fracturing 101. We recognize that people in the audience come from very different backgrounds, some who have been

drilling wells their whole lives, some who are landowners and really don't have a firm grasp of what goes on underneath. So we're going to ground everybody so that we're all speaking from the same basis.

And following the grounding presentation, we'll have two presentations from BLM where they're going to talk about what their role is. Then we'll take a ten-minute break and then come back and speak about -- we're going to ask our panel members, who will sit up here and answer some of your questions and hear your concerns, to give ten minutes of their perspective on this, and the reason for that is we want to actually hear and record their positions.

And -- and as far as the panel goes, we have two folks from the industry, three -- three people representing civil society, tribes, landowners and -- and resource groups, and then we also have the state regulators here. And the BLM will also sit on the panel.

Then following that, after a ten-minute break, it's to you. It's to you. It's what -- your opportunity to ask questions, to raise your concerns.

Now, we want to make sure that everybody has -- has -- has a chance, and so we want the exchange of ideas to be courteous, obviously, and we want everyone to have a chance to participate. So in the question time, we'd like to keep -- keep your -- your question and your concern to about two minutes. So you have plenty of time to think it through and pare it down and distill it to that kernel because, really, people only have attention for two minutes and any greater than that, you lose them. So it's in your interest to make -- make sure you bring your view across concisely. you have a genuine question that needs answering, two minutes should be sufficient. And the panel will be given an opportunity to respond to those concerns as well. Okay?

So that's the agenda. And I'm going to just kick off with Hydraulic Fracturing 101. So we've talked about this revolution that has hit the oil and gas industry in North America and is now moving to the world and it's a revolution they call new technology, but it really isn't new. What it is is it's an application of two processes that we've been doing for an awful long time, directional drilling that -- called horizontal

drilling and hydraulic fracturing.

Wells were drilled and hydraulic fractured when I was -- before I was born and I'm a pretty old guy, so it's been around a long time. But it was the innovation of Mitchell in the Barnett where he combined these two technologies and just in an absolute obstinacy kept firing away at the rocks until he got shales that could -- that were essentially impermeable. Shales that you could put on your roof to keep the rain out and he got them to produce oil and gas. And, really, I think in the future we'll probably think of Mitchell as one of the, you know, heroes of our nation because he's changed the energy -- the energy equation in this country tremendously.

And here's the change. That map three years -- four years ago was blank. And now almost a third of the nation is a -- is an oil and gas resource, mainly gas, but oil as well. You can see the Bakken covers a vast area in North Dakota, Montana and even into Canada.

Now, they talk about shale plays, but really what this is is any type formation. You've heard of tight sands and you've heard of the Marcellus, which is a tight shale. Well, the

Bakken is a dolomite, which is a magnesium/calcium carbonate, a reef -- a reef structure that's been altered through time to have this digenetic rock strata, and the Bakken is -- is phenomenal. It's the largest oil discovery since Alaska, 3.6 billion barrels, and they say it hasn't even -- hasn't even -- I mean that there's more to it.

When we look at the gas resources, the Marcellus has 300 TCF; Haynesville, 250 TCF. You know, compare that to Qatar and Russia, which has 1,200 and 400 TCF, these two formations are now as great as the big gas nations in the world. And if you combine it all, we have more gas than three Saudi Arabias, which, you know, is why you're hearing about it in the news and why it's become so critical in all our energy debate. Something we can't walk away from and, yet, it's -- it poses its own challenges, and that's what we're here today to explore.

So this is a video that describes how this gas is -- and it's very generic. So what happens is we put a pad down and then install conductor casing. Conductor casing is about 20 feet deep and it's installed -- oops. That's not going to work. Sorry about that. It's all about 20 feet deep and

it forms the foundation of the rig. That gray is depicting the water in the water table and that was a water well.

And so after the pad is made, the rig comes in and it looks very much like this. The rig begins drilling, and through the water table the drilling is generally done with a water -- a water-based mud or with air and that's to protect the water from any of the mud additives. That is collected in a lined pit generally and if it's air-drilled, it comes out dry. If it's water-drilled, it comes out wet. The cuttings are collected and disposed of properly. If they have any -- any kind of toxicity, they're disposed of accordingly.

After drilling through the water table, the rig puts casing onto the drill string. The casing is a thick steel pipe that has been specified. It's centralized in the hole, dropped to the very bottom of the hole and then mud is put into the casing and the mud goes up around the outside of the casing all the way to the surface. So the idea is to protect the groundwater from -- from anything that goes on in the hole by having a layer of cement, steel pipe and then -- and then

1 the hole itself.

After the -- after the casing is installed, a smaller diameter drill bit goes down to -- and drills to TD. And in this case, different mud additives are used to stabilize the well and are collected in the mud pit.

At this point, often the well configuration changes. This is a generic configuration. This is not how it's done in the Bakken, but, in general, you could have casing right there at the kickoff or not. In this depiction the well goes all the way to TD through the formation. That's a shale formation, but it could be a limestone, could be a dolomite, could be anything that's tight.

And after the well is drilled to TD, another string of casing is put in. That casing is dropped all the way to the bottom of the hole and again cemented in. And the lateral could be anywhere from a mile to two miles and the depth of the hole can be anywhere from a mile to two miles. Again, the -- the production casing is now cemented in, and that cement goes back to a level that's specified by regulations within the hole, not necessarily to the surface. And so what we end up

with is -- is a hole filled with pipe and cement.

So at the surface we've got conductor casing cement -- conductor casing and then we've got cement and production casing -- I mean surface casing. Then we've got mud, production casing and then finally tubing to protect the groundwater. The white would be where the groundwater would be present.

And so after the well is drilled and cased, we drop down the shothole rig or the shot rig or the perforation guns. They fire off at intervals, and those perforations are just simply holes in the pipe and cement. That is taken to the surface and the whole rig is replaced by a hydraulic fracturing configuration, and there you can see it. And it would include perhaps an impoundment pond or some way to get it water.

So what happens is the water for the hydraulic fracturing operation comes into tanks.

Those tanks then go into a mixer and the mixer adds hydraulic fracturing chemicals. Then it goes into another mixer which adds proppant, generally sand, and then that sand and fluid mixture that with vis -- viscosity goes into the low pressure side of the manifold through these pump trucks, into the

high pressure side of the manifold and downhole. And this whole thing is monitored by that rig over 2

there on the right, which is your hydraulic 3

4 fracturing rig that monitors the pressure of the

5 operation.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As the fluid goes downhole, it goes into the perforations and it exceeds the stress rating of the rock, breaks the rock up, and along zones of weakness, fractures are induced. And the sand that was put into the fluids gets dragged into those fractures and the sand finds its way in and holds the fracture open when the water is drained out. And you'll see the water being drained out and the fractures staying open. And then the gas flows to the surface and the water flows to the surface.

So what's -- you can see on your very right a -- the fractures of the previous job, and what happens right here, there's some sort of bridge plug generally installed. This is a typical well. It's done slightly differently in the Bakken because this is generally a slotted liner. And, again, the gun is blown off and, again, a similar hydraulic fracturing crew comes in, and in stages this entire lateral, which can be a mile to two miles long, is then fractured out and this whole

formation then becomes productive.

And the gas and water flows to the surface. The flowback initially is tremendous. It's a large volume, anywhere -- you know, the majority to half of -- half the water flows back, sometimes less -- it depends on where you are in the country -- and it's contained and disposed of properly.

What's good about this technique is that if we had to do it vertically, it would involve an enormous amount of wells and would not be economic; but because we can use this lateral technology and fracturing, we can open up an awful lot of space with very little surface disturbance.

And that is the revolution. That's the technology. That's what we're here to talk about today, and hopefully I can advance -- oops. Let's see if I can -- there we go.

Now, you've read in the newspaper and you've seen on TV issues with this technology, and so what I'd like to do is go through very pictorially where it can go wrong because it -- it looks like it's sort of a fail-safe thing, kind of like driving a car or taking off on an airplane. If you do it right, it's fine.

So where -- you know, we've seen how we do it right. Where might it go wrong and where are the risks? And we'll look at two parts of this, the drilling and well design and then the hydraulic fracturing itself. So this is the drilling and well design. This is the hydraulic fracturing itself.

So let's look at the first part, the well design. As we've said, we've got the conductor, we've got the surface casing and then we've got this production casing with a cement liner. So any nasties down here are protected from getting into the water table because it's behind, like we say, seven layers or barriers that are put in place up here.

So on an ideal situation, we've got -these red dots represent produced water or gas or
oil. They're kept -- kept out of the annulus.
They don't move up the hole and get into the
freshwater unless they're in the production tubing.
See how that works? So that's the ideal situation.
No leaks in or behind the casing.

Now, where has it gone wrong? And I'm not saying this has gone wrong in North Dakota. I'm just responding to the 60 Minutes and $New\ York$

Times and Wall Street Journal. It's been very much in the news. Where it's gone wrong is when we have not had a really good cement job. And you have shallow producing zones that can leak either fluids or -- or gas or saline materials, if this is artesian, up the annulus. The pressure builds up, and there you can see that at the shoe, at the bottom of the surface casing, you actually get communication with the formation and it potentially could actually end up back into the water table. And this has occurred in the field.

Where else can you go wrong? Well, if the engineers don't design their wells quite right and they don't get the cement right and there's a shallow producing zone that's not recognized and the cement doesn't go high enough, there's nothing protecting this well except -- and so the gas can go up, pressure builds up and gas comes off at the shoe or -- potentially contaminating groundwater.

There have been cases where the actual pipe has -- has broken and this shows that the casing needs to be very strong and the integrity of the casing. There have been cases where a biogenic coal seam really close to the surface has caused -- has used the surface casing with -- which had a bad

cement job, to get into the water table.

So the message here is that well integrity is absolutely key, and we have systems and processes to making sure that's the case. And if you look at the well drilling operation, the -- I mean the vast, vast majority of wells drilled are drilled safely and produced safely. But when we do discuss with the panel here, I just wanted to give you that background so that -- so that we can respond to it.

Now, there -- so if -- if this well is drilled right and the rules are followed, absolutely no problem. Like I say, the vast majority of wells are in this condition.

there's a real concern about hydraulic fracturing, this -- this activity down here at depth, perhaps somehow the water getting up the aquifer by cracking the rock and getting into the water zone. And I think that there's a scientific consensus -- and the EPA is checking this out because we want to make sure that, indeed, there is no situation where this could occur. But Zoback from Stanford and others who have looked at the rock physics have determined -- and this is borne out by the seismic

data, this is a microseismic display -- that, really, when you start fracking, there is no -- no way to drive these fracks any greater than a couple of hundred feet -- hundreds of feet, not thousands of feet.

And, you know, we know that these wells are drilled anywhere from a mile to two miles down. And so that would mean that these fractures would have to travel thousands of feet to contaminate the groundwater. It's a bit -- and scientists will say there's a very low probability and laypeople will say, "Well, that means that there is a chance."

Well, there's a low probability of shooting a bottle rocket to the moon. Scientists will say that. If you shoot enough of them, you might get lucky and get one to the moon, but most of them go about 200 feet and then fall down. And so it's kind of in the realm of that kind of probability.

And to compound the situation and make it even harder to shoot the bottle rocket to the moon is that this frack operation is only active for a matter of days and then -- then the gradient is turned around. So the water comes in and fracks the rock, but then the well goes into production.

And so, really, this becomes a low pressure zone. So if anything, water is flowing down into this zone, and so if there was a fracture that, say, did get up into these higher zones, it would be not to the detriment of the groundwater, but the detriment of the producer because the producer is going to be having to manage a lot of nasty water that he doesn't want or she doesn't want.

And so in terms of the fracking operation, it's not in anybody's interest to propagate these beyond the shell -- the shale, and that's what everybody works toward because it makes the whole \$10 million operation uneconomic if you blow it.

And so with that background, we'll move forward. So now, we've seen -- we've seen pictures of water on fire and we've seen people who have wells that are drilled next to -- next to active producers where -- where they're, you know, producing methane in their water, and all of those pictures that I showed you previous to that, it could be because this well is not operating properly. But we do know that there are a number of cases where this well is operating absolutely properly and this well does have methane in it.

And for a layperson you think, How can that be?

How can this well have gas in it? Gas comes from great depths.

Well, it's not -- in different oil provinces, it's not necessarily the case. I think that in -- I mean there's a perpetual flame here in South Dakota [sic] that it comes from biogenic gas, and the way that works is you've got this coal seam and it's sitting there and it's full of gas and it's like a 7-Up® bottle with a cap on it. That gas is a solution that's just sitting there. And this farmer comes along and he drills his well and then he starts producing it. And what happens is he lowers the water table and that lowering of the water table reduces the hydrostatic pressure, and he just takes the lid off that 7-Up® bottle and gas just bubbles up out of the coal seam and into his water.

And this is happening. We know this is happening. And why I bring this up is that one of the topics that we're going to discuss is disclosure, disclosure of the chemicals that go into this well. When you have this situation and this landowner is implicating this guy and this guy says, "It's not my responsibility," the one way to decide who's right is to fingerprint this gas and

compare it to what's coming out of this hole. And if there's no comparison, then you can say that this is not this guy's fault. But if there is a comparison, if the fluids or the chemicals that are found in this well were also put in this well, then those other situations, so -- would apply. So that's why disclosure and that's why the public is so keen on understanding what goes in these wells. And we'll talk about that during -- during our panel discussion.

And we also -- I think we're going to have people who are concerned about surface considerations. Currently the way these wells are drilled, they're drilled in an array format. They go down and then out anywhere from a mile to two miles and we get this long line of -- of drill sites. And -- and this is what it looks like for a month or so and then the hydraulic fracturing crew comes in and -- and it looks differently.

And there are -- there are techniques, and hopefully we'll discuss them, where we can -- we can really greatly reduce the surface impact by doing multiple wells in one pad. So in this case, instead of having only one well going down and having three pads, we have six wells on a pad

covering a much broader area. And, you know, we saw that map of the United States and these --these operations are different than a conventional oil field that is fairly well confined around where the oil is. These cover the entire basin. And so as we move forward with this development, it will be important to consider how we can reduce the footprint.

And so that's it. That's it with the grounding presentation.

Now what I'd like to do is introduce to you Mike Worden and he's going to come up and talk to you about BLM's role in all of this. And he's -- his background is petroleum engineering.

(Applause.)

MR. WORDEN: Thank you, Rich. My name is Mike Worden. I'm a petroleum engineer with the Bureau of Land Management out of our Washington, D.C., office. I earned my bachelor's in petroleum engineering from the University of Alaska Fairbanks, so I appreciate the snow in April.

Before joining the Bureau, I worked on the North Slope of Alaska for a few years. Joining the Bureau, I started working in Buffalo, Wyoming, where I worked for five years before accepting the

assignment in Washington.

What I'm here to talk about is BLM's regulatory authority. This is in addition to any state or local regulations and authorities.

Protection of the resources, health and environment begin long before hydraulic fracturing equipment arrives on location. In fact, it begins long before the drilling rig shows up on location. It begins with the application for permit to drill.

When the operator submits an application, or APD, the BLM reviews -- begins its review process. Each federal well must receive an application for permit to drill. A federal oil and gas well is a well that's on minerals managed by the Bureau of Management. Which minerals are those? They can be minerals within the National System of Public Lands, minerals that are on the Forest Service or other federal agencies. They can even be lands under private surface that the federal government maintains and owns. What federal minerals are not are privately held minerals or state-held minerals.

Every permit must follow our Onshore

Order 1. The Bureau has -- in addition to its

regulations, it has orders. An Onshore Order 1 is

how an operator completes the permit process and what's required. Orders are promulgated through a rulemaking process that requires public input.

Some of you may remember that Onshore Order 1 was revised in 2007 and that throughout 2005 and 2006 we were receiving public comments.

There are many parts of Onshore Order 1.

The one that pertains most to hydraulic fracturing would be the drilling plan. Part of the drilling plan requires that every formation that's going to be drilled through is named, its depth is provided to us. We also need to know the thickness of the formations in any area where there's usable water. The proposed casing design is also given and reviewed and cement program for how we're going to isolate these usable water areas and other minerals from the wellbore.

Onshore Order 1 also requires a surface use plan and it can, when we approve the permit, have conditions of approval. Conditions of approval are permit or site specific and are added to the permit at the field office level when it's approved.

All drilling operations are conducted under our Onshore Order 2. This requires that

casing and cementing programs are what was proposed and approved and then are followed. They must protect and isolate all usable water zones.

They -- also, part of Onshore Order 2 is if an unexpected zone, water -- usable water zone is hit, it must be reported. This is to ensure that we know where all the zones are and that they are protected, but it's also used later on in our review process for future APDs.

Usable water. What is it? Usable water generally means those waters containing less than 10,000 parts per million of total dissolved solids. Sorry about that. You didn't know what I was talking about. It generally covers part of the aquifers that are 10,000 parts per million total dissolved solids. The reason "generally" is in there is because the state regulator or the EPA can exempt some aquifers from this standard and from the Safe Water Drinking Standard, which is where this number is taken from. This shows a cooperation between federal agencies when we're trying to regulate. We have similar numbers -- or the same numbers.

Once the drilling operation is complete, a well completion report must be submitted to the

local BLM office within 30 days, along with 2 copies of all logs that are run. The well completion report is used by the local field office to assure that what was proposed is what was done and any deviations from that proposal were already known about in advance.

Hydraulic fracturing operations. In this area hydraulic fracturing operations usually come in under the APD process, or proposed under there, but in every -- in every area once a well has been hydraulically fractured, the BLM is notified. We receive a report of the process that was used and this is for review by the local BLM staff.

With that, I'd like to introduce Don Judice, who is a local petroleum engineer for the Bureau of Land Management.

(Applause.)

MR. JUDICE: Good afternoon. My name is

Don Judice. I'm a petroleum engineer and the field

manager for the Great Falls Oil and Gas field

office in Great Falls, Montana. I have a Bachelor

of Science degree from the University of Pittsburgh

and have been employed as a petroleum engineer with

BLM for the past 28 years, working at various

levels within BLM in both Wyoming and Montana.

I'd like to thank Lonny Bagley, the North Dakota field manager, for inviting me to participate in this forum.

First off, I'd like to mention that of all the wells drilled in the state of North Dakota, 25 percent are drilled to federal or Indian minerals, putting them under the jurisdiction of BLM. The majority, or 75 percent, are managed by the State of North Dakota.

As discussed earlier by Mike, BLM has the responsibility to permit the drilling of wells on federal and Indian trust minerals. The APDs processed here in North Dakota involve an interdisciplinary review by BLM specialists, such as petroleum engineers, geologists, land law examiners and natural resource specialists.

To ensure that this activity is done in an environmentally safe manner, BLM has a number of review steps in the APD process. Petroleum engineers review the drilling plan. The surface use plan of operations is reviewed by the natural resource specialist.

Prior to approving the APD, an environmental document, most generally an environmental assessment, is prepared. Also, BLM

ensures that there is adequate bonding by the operator.

Now, let's concentrate on the drilling plan. There are nine points to the drilling plan, but I'm just going to want to focus on just a few today. If you remember back to the first presentation by our moderator, well integrity is the key. When looking at the hydraulic fracturing process proposed by the operator, this section of review on casing and cement is critical.

Petroleum engineers in North Dakota run calculations to determine the adequacy of the operator's proposed casing design. The casing type, grade and length must meet stringent design safety factors before BLM approves its use. This concise review is performed to reduce the level of risk to potential problems later on.

Likewise, the amount and type of cement is reviewed to ensure it has the proper compressive strength for its intended use.

Petroleum engineers also review the proposed well control and blowout prevention equipment to ensure there is adequate protection of the surface should there be an uncontrolled flow.

BLM ensures that the operator runs the

proper types of logs which gives vital information on the characteristics of the subsurface formations. Knowing the bottomhole pressure and any abnormal conditions gives petroleum engineers vital information when designing casing, cementing and surface protection.

For federal and Indian trust minerals, BLM has an inspection and enforcement strategy. Each well is given a level of risk. This level of risk has the following factors: The operator and the rig contractor's compliance; there's a risk by looking at the proximity of the well to water bodies; and, in general, the location of the well, if it's in an environmentally sensitive area.

All high-priority wells are inspected in accordance with federal regulations, onshore orders and any permit conditions of approval.

BLM here in North Dakota performs various types of inspections, like nondetailed, detailed inspections, well control, casing and cementing inspections.

When a well is completed and ready for production, a completion report is required to be submitted. Petroleum engineers here in North Dakota review this report to ensure the well was

drilled according to the APD. A concentrated review is performed on the cement bond log to ensure proper cement-to-casing bonding. On this form the operator reports the type of fracture stimulation that was performed on the well.

This is a wellbore schematic of a typical well here in North Dakota. It may be a little difficult to read, but it's intended to show the design review that is performed by BLM. Again, well integrity is the key and design criteria is scrutinized.

I'll see how steady my hand is. Again, if you remember in the opening slides about the discussion of where surface casing -- you know, we have conductor casing set here, normally at about 80 feet. Surface casing is set normally to about 2,000 feet and this gray area that was nicely colored in is showing where the cement is.

So in -- here in North Dakota this is a great example of a typical well being drilled that the water zone is normally within the area of the Fox Hills aquifer and it lies in -- in about this area where I'm pointing here, where it says cement sheath. The operators in this area are required to cement all the way down through the bottom of it

and 50 feet below the bottom of the entire Fox

Hills aquifer. So it is all behind cement and

casing and then, again, there's a level of

protection with the production casing and then with

tubing. So there's a level of protection, again,

6 | that -- that BLM reviews.

Okay. At the end of the life of a federal or Indian trust mineral well, a Sundry Notice is required which details how the well is to be abandoned. BLM strategy is to witness the plugging of each and every well to ensure that all subsurface zones are isolated and freshwater is protected. Natural resource specialists are involved to ensure the surface is reclaimed and reseeded with the objective to bring the location back to its original condition.

That is all I have, Rich.

MR. WARD: Terrific. Well, that leaves us just on time. We've got ten minutes to visit with friends, to think about any questions that might have arisen from these presentations and to take a bio break. Go out and stretch in the beautiful sunlight before it sets.

What will follow is a series of presentations here again, industry, those

representing civil society and those representing the states, and then we'll get into the panel after that.

Thank you very much.

(Recessed at 4:52 p.m. to 5:04 p.m.)

MR. WARD: If we could start moving back in, we'll begin the second part of this forum. If you're coming in from the lobby, it would be good to grab some three-by-five cards. We're using them to collect your questions and concerns. When we get to the -- once we finish this panel and we get to the last part of this program, there are two ways to submit comments to this process. One is by writing it down on a card and giving it to me and I can read it to the panel members, and another way is to approach the mikes and speak it out yourself. So we have a process for the introverts and a process for the extroverts.

And so over the next 60 minutes or so,
we're going to hear ten-minute presentations from
three perspectives, from the State -- perspective
of the State's, from the perspective of the
industry and from the perspective of civil society.
And so what I'd like to do is introduce Lynn -Lynn Helms, the director of the North Dakota Oil

and Gas Commission, who will walk through some of the rules and other -- other aspects of what he does for a living in order to protect our resources and from a -- natural gas drilling. So Lynn.

MR. HELMS: Thank you, Rich. Appreciate being here this afternoon. When I got up this morning, I thought I was going to have to give my usual greeting of Happy January 109, but the day has changed in the middle, and so we're no longer stuck on Ground Hog Day and waking up every morning to January. Thank you for coming out this afternoon.

As Rich said, I'm the director of the

Department of Mineral Resources for the State of

North Dakota. I got an engineering degree from

South Dakota School of Mines in Rapid City, South

Dakota. Following that -- well, during that period

of time I worked on drilling rigs to help fund my

college education. Following that, I worked for

Texaco for two years, producing -- all the

producing fields in Montana, some of that spent

actually working on drilling rigs as the drilling

supervisor, as well as the mud logger. Then I

moved to Williston and worked for Hess Corporation

for 18 years, a lot of interesting places, like

Alaska and Abu Dhabi, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana.

And so I landed here in 1998 and love the job. The Department of Mineral Resources is made up of the oil and gas division, which has been around since 1981 and regulates the oil and gas industry. Geological Survey has been around a little longer, since 1895, and the job of that department is to promote the resources of the state.

I want to kind of use this as an illustration and this will be part of the record. This is a simplified geology cube that we've created. This is in the Parshall area, Parshall and Sanish area, and we've now created one that covers the whole northwestern quarter of the state and we're going to do this across the entire state of North Dakota, but it helps the layperson to understand the geology and the layers that we're talking about.

Williston Basin is unique in that the entire geological record exists here. Very few basins have the entire geological sedimentary record from the Ordovician or Precambrian rocks, all the way up to the Cretaceous rocks, but we have them here. Every basin is unique, and you need to

remember that. Colorado, Pennsylvania, Wyoming, entirely different than what we have here in North Dakota, and they have to be treated differently because they are unique.

We'll come back to this, but I just want to point out that here's what we're dealing with. In this top 2,500 feet above mean sea level is where our freshwater resources are located. And we're all about protecting that. The intervals that we're talking about hydraulically fracturing and recovering oil from are down here at some 6,000 to 8,000 feet below the earth surface or 6,000 to 8,000 feet below our freshwater resources.

regulation of hydraulic fracturing is nothing new in North Dakota. We've been doing it for decades. That seems to be the buzz word, but it's nothing new here. Our Water Commission takes care of the water sources. Our Industrial Commission takes care of the water of the water use. Our Health Department takes care of cleanup of any unplanned releases. And the Industrial Commission comes back in when we talk about water disposal. And we'll talk about each one of these items in turn.

First of all, the Water Commission

regulating our water appropriations. Their job is to guard against mining our groundwater resources, and so they keep track of all the aquifers and make sure that we don't withdraw more water than what the recharge is and that the head isn't dropping in those resources. And so they're in tight control of those water permits to make sure that we preserve our groundwater resources.

Hydraulic fracturing is a thirsty process. You can see here that we're projecting, going forward, drilling a couple thousand wells a year and we're going to consume 30 million gallons of water a day as we do that. That sounds like a huge amount of water, but North Dakota is not only blessed with great mineral resources, but tremendous water resources. If we were to take all of that out of Lake Sakakawea with no water coming in and no water going out, we would take one inch per year off that lake, and that's what that amounts to.

We are also the beneficiary of tremendous human resources, and so you can see that this oil and gas thing that is going on in western North Dakota is generating in the neighborhood of 27,000 new jobs in western North Dakota, and in the long

term we expect to have some 26-plus thousand new wells on the landscape out there and every one of those is going to generate a job -- a permanent job here in North Dakota.

You're going to hear, I think, during the comment period from some local government officials, who are dealing with that aspect of the world, to some extent delighted with the influx of people and the new jobs and to some extent nonplussed or scratching their heads over how to deal with it and how to handle it.

But I want you to leave with this message:
North Dakota has tremendous mineral resources,
tremendous water resources and incredible human
resources, and that is why industry wants to be
here.

This is the law that governs our water resources and the Water Commission. Please don't try to read that, but I wanted it to be part of the record. They certainly have the authority to monitor and govern those water withdrawals.

Again, the North Dakota Industrial

Commission regulates the well permitting and

construction on federal, fee and tribal lands. We

don't do it like every other state. We take a look

at every single well. We -- our job is to assure that there is a minimum of two steel casings and two layers of cement installed and tested between the groundwater and any fluids that go into or come out of that well. The hydraulically fractured formations, as I said before, are six to eight thousand feet below our freshwater formations, and there are dozens of layers of impermeable clays, shales, salts and limestones in between.

If we look back at our model, this entire layer in here, there are layers and layers and layers between the Bakken and Three Forks where these horizontal wells land and our freshwater resources of impermeable rock material. The only possible pathway is those wellbores, and that's why our focus is on wellbore construction and wellbore maintenance.

The jurisdiction lies with the Industrial Commission, and I have placed in the record today a statement from our Industrial Commission. Our Industrial Commission has a job and the law says it is to foster and encourage the development of our oil and gas resources. It's also supposed to regulate that development. That statement says that hydraulic fracturing is an acceptable process

in the state of North Dakota. It says that the oil and gas division has a proven record of regulation and environmental protection and that this process is best regulated by state statutes and rules.

If you could slice one of these Bakken or Three Forks wells open, this is what you would see. The freshwater resources, as I said, are located up here behind the surface casing in the top 2,500 feet or so. There are two layers of casing that go down through there, another layer of casing that goes around the bend and then a horizontal drain hole out here where the hydraulic fracturing takes place. Typical wellbore construction.

I want to talk a little bit about the four primary wellbore failures that we've had. The first one is up in Dunn County, the Martin Federal. It's a well where during the hydraulic fracturing operation, it balled off down here in the lateral and so the pressure spiked inside the wellbore. The seven-inch casing failed here at the surface and the nine-and-five-eighths casing failed at about 2,000 feet, 2,046 feet, and also at the surface.

It was an unusual situation because in that case there was radioactive tracer in the frack

sand, and so the radioactive tracer was put into a special pit that was located there on that site and it will be removed later. It has now been there and at this point in time the radioactivity has gone through about 15 half-lives and it's down to 6/1000 of the original radioactivity. But that's going to be removed here in about another year and taken off to a disposal facility out of state where radioactive wastes can go. So it will have to go out of state, to either Idaho or Colorado. But that pit's going to be dug up and removed once the radioactivity is at absolutely safe levels.

The second one was the Killdeer well, the Franchuk. It again balled off. In that case, the seven-inch casing failed at 40 feet and the nine-and-five-eighths casing failed at 39 feet, 104 feet, 141 feet and 188 feet. So right up in here. We have drilled eight nested monitoring wells around that wellbore. We have found no evidence of contamination at this point, but we're not done. And Dennis Fewless is going to talk about that, the monitoring that's going to go on there for a period of several years to make sure that groundwater did not end up getting contaminated.

additional third layer of steel before
hydraulically fracturing these wells, and we've had
a couple failures there. The one up by New Town
sanded off down here in the wellbore, so the sand
clogged off the wellbore and it pressured up. When
they tried to flow it back, a fitting here above
the surface, a two-inch fitting, washed out. And
so we had an uncontrolled incident for a few days
there, but nothing happened below the surface and
no contamination took place.

The final one was the most recent one up by Arnegard and that was a treating line on the surface that failed. One of these lines sprung a leak at 2:30 in the morning and so the well began to flow back through that break in the line and ultimately ended up on fire. But, again, no underground contamination.

This is a picture of what it looks like on the surface when they're fracking one of these wells. There's somewhere in the neighborhood of 60,000 barrels of water brought onto that location and they pump for a period of five or six days at very high pressure and this is what happens underground, and you saw in the video illustration

what happens on the ground at 6,000 to 9,000 pounds per square inch, 500 to a thousand gallons a minute. Millions of pounds of sand and ceramic proppant are pumped in there, and that's the whole purpose of it is to get that sand and ceramic proppant into the rock so that the oil and gas can flow back.

The Industrial Commission regulates reporting of any spills or releases. They have to be reported to us within 24 hours. Then if there is a possibility that surface water or groundwater is in danger, we turn over primary jurisdiction to the Health Department through our MOA. The Health Department oversees the cleanup of any discharge to the environment and then EPCRA kicks in. The material safety data sheets are collected.

We have the material safety data sheets on every one of these incidents that I talked about. They're in our possession. We know exactly what was pumped into those wells and what we need to look for. I don't want to spend a lot of time on the chemicals, but we'll talk about them in a minute.

This is the notification of fires and leaks requirements in state code. This is the

Health Department's jurisdiction over discharge of wastes. This is their administrative code. And then this is the federal law, EPCRA, which deals with those material safety data sheets and making sure that you know what's stored at that warehouse, you know what's transported to the well and you know what's hauled back.

Emergency notification requirements, all of this is in federal law right now. There are those material safety data sheets that I talked about and toxic release information.

I'm not going to spend a lot of time on these slides. I just want to show you what a typical hydraulic fracturing job in North Dakota is composed of, and I guess I just want to ask you a question. If in recent time you have swallowed swimming pool water or used makeup remover or toothpaste or hand soap or lemon juice, then you have ingested all of these things at very low concentrations like they are in the frack fluid. The risk here is -- is when they're being transported back and forth and they're in their concentrated form.

Finally, I want to talk about the flowback water and the end of the life cycle. The North

Dakota Industrial Commission regulates this. It's regulated through our underground injection control program, which is under the oversight of the Environmental Protection Agency. The disposal formation is about a quarter of a mile below our freshwater zones and the formations in between are impermeable. This is what it looks like. So our disposal goes into the Dakota, and this is 2,500 feet of bentonite shale between there and the deepest freshwater resources in the state.

We do not allow earthen pits and open receptacles. Only in an emergency situation are they allowed to be used and that material has to come out of there within 72 hours. And so we don't allow that for flowback water or for produced water. All of that has to be disposed of in an acceptable manner under the regulation of this program.

I do want to make one mention of the oversight of this program. I don't know if it's the black sheep of the family or what it is. The EPA has oversight over our program, but the last time an EPA person came to Bismarck, North Dakota, and checked our records was in September of 2001.

And so, again, I just want to say that doesn't mean

we're going to relax, that doesn't mean we're going to take our eye off the ball, but we are absolutely in control and in charge of this program and we care deeply about it. We have two people on our staff that are dedicated full-time to oversight of this program.

That brings my presentation to an end.

I'll be taking part in the panel discussion here.

I thank you all for coming out. We are proud of what we do in this state. We value our mineral resources, our water resources and our human resources. We live here. We're your friends and neighbors, and we want to do the right thing.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: I would like to ask Dennis to come to the podium, Dennis Fewless, who is going to speak about the water rules, and I think Dennis is going to be fairly brief. So -- and then after that, we'll have -- we'll have the perspective from industry.

(Applause.)

MR. FEWLESS: Good afternoon. Again, my name is Dennis Fewless. I am in the environmental health section of the North Dakota Department of

Health, the division of water quality, and I've been with the Department of Health for 35 years and worked on many water quality issues, many permitting issues and many cleanups of spills as we are experiencing these days.

The Department of Health has primacy for surface and groundwater in North Dakota. This means we implement the Safe Drinking Water Act and the Clean Water Act rules for the state.

As this relates to our topic today, we have agreement with the state oil and gas department, as Lynn mentioned earlier. This agreement delineates the responsibilities of each agency and oil and gas and Lynn administers what happens on the site or the production facility, and the Department of Health has oversight of the fluids if they leave the drilling or production area.

In addition, we also nowadays are responding to pipeline breaks and truck accidents and rollovers, et cetera.

Our goal is to have a spill containment as close to the release site as possible because it is inevitable that we are going to have accidents, but we just need to be proactive. This reduces the

environmental impact and potential of a release reaching waters of the state of North Dakota.

It's -- the success of containing a release is a timely response by the responsible agency. This timely response, which means 24/7, is a topic that we want to emphasize and explore more in the near future with industry and the oil and gas division of North Dakota.

For example, are there adequate materials available for cleanup and are they located strategically in oil patch country? And, also, is there properly trained personnel available to utilize these materials and clean up a release, again, on a 24/7 basis?

And switching gears just a little bit, we've also been working diligently with the local communities and industries in upgrading the infrastructure for the workforce. This includes providing safe drinking water and adequate disposal of wastewater, which seems like it may not be that big a situation, but when -- when you have this large of influx of people in a short period of time in -- in communities that aren't used to this population, it certainly is a challenge, but hopefully we can keep up with it.

And also as Lynn mentioned, I was going to 1 talk about the well at Killdeer, and we are working 2 with responsible parties and with the oil and gas 3 4 division on putting in a group of nested wells 5 around this oil well, nested monitoring wells, and we will be analyzing for 6 different chemical 6 7 groups totaling 184 parameters. And -- and initially when we had this incident at this well, 8 we did sample all the -- all the -- the individual 9 10 wells and any private wells in that -- in that vicinity and -- and have base ground -- baseline 11 information and have not -- not seen any effects 12 from -- from that release. 13

So with that, I -- I have just briefly gone through some of our activities that we're dealing with in the last couple years and look forward to answering any questions during the panel discussion. Thank you.

(Applause.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WARD: Thank you, Dennis.

Moving right along, we have John Paneitz, who is a petroleum engineer with Whiting Oil Corporation, and he's going to present a few slides. I'll get those out.

MR. PANEITZ: Thanks, Rich. I'd like to

thank the BLM for inviting me to come out and talk today and I'd like to thank you-all for coming out as well, for letting me talk about something that's very near and dear to my heart.

Hydraulic fracturing is not really elevator small talk, but over the last couple of years I've given several presentations about hydraulic fracturing. Usually it's about the innovative technology and approaches to drilling our long laterals and completing them that has allowed Whiting to become a leader in North Dakota.

Today's topic is a little bit different in that -- not quite as much fun, but that it's -- I feel like I'm defending some of the technology and processes that have allowed the oil industry to become so successful here in western North Dakota.

But I'd like you to also keep in mind that I'm not a manager at Whiting. I'm not a policymaker. I'm a petroleum engineer and just one of a team that strives to keep -- that strives to get the most oil out of the ground, out of each and every well, both economically, safely and according to all industry and governing regulations.

Personally, I've been involved with the Sanish field operations just south of Stanley,

north of New Town, since day one. I've personally supervised many of those jobs, not all of them. I live in Denver, but I've made enough trips up here that people in the Williston airport knew me -- knew me, whether it was by name, but, "There's the guy that sits in seat 8A." It's well enough known that at least I always got a rental car. That's a premium in Williston if you've ever gone through that airport.

But I'm proud of what our team here at Whiting has done for -- in developing Bakken oil and what we've done the last few years, such that we are the number two oil producer in the state and we are committed to making that -- to be number one.

With that in mind, speaking of number one, I'd like to emphasize my number one priority, a common thread that a lot of the presenters are talking today, a common theme of maintaining wellbore integrity. Without it, the sophisticated completion techniques that we're using just simply will not work. And with hydraulic fracturing treatments today costing upwards of a million dollars to complete, everybody has a vested interest to make sure that we do maintain wellbore

integrity.

Let's first take a look at the surface equipment. We will have -- a couple key points to take away here is the redundant valves that we will pump through, the hydraulic fluids will be pumped in through the top, down through the wellhead, down through the seven-inch casing, down into the wellbore in the formation below. A couple points to remember, backup valves here and should we happen to flow back -- need to flow back because the formation didn't take all the sand, we're able to flow back through these wing valves, again having backup valves so that in case one washes out, you still have another valve behind it to maintain well control.

These valves are all -- and your wellhead is all pressure-tested to your anticipated treating pressure, typically either 7,000 or 9,000 psi, and they are all either new valves or reconditioned such that they're new condition.

The other key component that we need to maintain wellbore integrity is the wellbore itself.

Now, they talked about -- earlier about, as a petroleum engineer, doing calculations to make sure that our wellbore is good and holds pressure.

We'll go a step further and pressure-test that entire -- oops -- pressure-test that entire wellbore, the seven-inch casing, to 7,000 psi by use of a retrievable downhole valve. We can land that in the liner top and then pressure-test against that to make sure that there are no leaks.

We'll also run a mechanical casing inspection log prior to the fracture treatment. That way we can pick up any spots that are defective in the casing or worn spots due to -- in the drilling process. And if once we've done that pressure test and that mechanical casing inspection log, everything looks good, we're good to go.

We're ready to frack.

But even with the best laid plans, there are a few areas of potential operational risks.

People said -- I've heard people say that if it was easy, everybody would be doing it. But first and most critical that I see -- and this was what happened on our well over by New Town, is that sand abrasion and cutting of the surface equipment. And in our instance it wasn't during the fracture treatment. It was really on flowback where you're bringing the fluids back to surface that you have pumped down.

and that sand acts as a -- can act as a -- almost like a cutting torch. And where you have a pressure drop and extremely high velocity of fluids, that sand and fluid can erode out steel in a matter of minutes, but it can happen quickly, it can happen in a few hours, it can happen a few days later. And that's why you need to -- then the solution to that is always maintain multiple valves and multiple methods to control your flow. Call it a choke manifold.

The other -- the second area of concern is related to the treating pressures, the high pressures that typically, as Lynn said and I'll say, that we may be treating the well at pressures approaching 7,000 psi and with the limitations -- there are pressure limitations to pipe, just like anything. So the solution to that is to make sure that your treating pressures do not exceed your maximum treated pressure-tested value.

Second of all, we will maintain automatic cutouts on the hydraulic pumps that we're using to pump the sand and fluid mixture in the Halliburton trucks, such that as that pressure may approach that value in your maximum value, the equipment

automatically shuts down. That's what we refer to as our auto cutouts.

But thirdly, we'll also maintain a third line of defense so that you do not exceed those pressures, and that is what we call a pop-off trailer, such that if your pressure does get to even higher than what your cutouts are set, it will pop off into the surface so that your casing is never exposed to those high pressures and can rupture. It can simply bleed off and dissipate to -- on the surface without harming anything.

is human error. I think everybody probably understands how this can happen in that someone can turn the wrong valve, somebody can make the wrong decision. And, unfortunately, what we have to realize is that with the boom and bust cycles that our industry is prone to have happen, we have lost a lot of experienced people over the years.

Literally thousands of jobs have disappeared and people have gotten tired of going through layoffs. I mean everybody loves a boom, but the bust cycles aren't very much fun, either. But --

So what we can do is just make sure that through training and the experienced people that we

still have around, that policies are followed, the right valve is turned. And, you know, that was part of what happened on our Roggenbuck well is that somebody turned that inside valve first. You should always work from the outside in, but they closed that inside valve first and that cut out and that allowed the well to not be controlled.

Lastly, there's always that random equipment failure. And the solution to that is proper maintenance and prejob testing of all your equipment. And, again, in a boom cycle it is prone -- everybody's in a hurry. Got to get things done, got to move on to the next job, but we can't take any of those short -- short corners.

In conclusion, I guess I'd like to repeat and reemphasize the importance of maintaining mechanical and pressure integrity in the wellbore. Without it, our costly frack treatments do not work and it is in everybody's best interests. Whiting has performed nearly 200 frack treatments these -- over these last couple years without a downhole compromise that would risk any environmental contamination.

And I guess if we follow the guidelines here, the guidelines put forth by the NDIC and the

guidelines put forth by the other 13 states for which Whiting operates in, I'm comfortable that we can continue to keep working on. Hydraulic fracturing is not really a new process, but it is one that we keep improving upon.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: Thanks very much, John.

The operators rely heavily on service companies to support their work, and so I'd like to thank Mike Eberhard who is representing the service companies. Mike's from Halliburton. I'll get your presentation.

MR. EBERHARD: Thanks, Richard. I guess as he said earlier, we're going to have some civil presentations later so I'm probably part of the uncivil part of this, but I guess that will be fine.

I'd like to thank the BLM for the opportunity to come out and present to the audience here and also the -- for you showing up tonight also, especially to be able to speak about a subject that is so vital to the United States, and that's the production of hydrocarbons.

Personally, I've been with Halliburton for

30 years, and I graduated from Montana State University. I was born and raised in western Montana. The first job I had with Halliburton was actually in Belfield, North Dakota. I lived in Dickinson. The first frack job I did was in 1981 and that was a Bakken frack just outside of Sidney, Montana. So this has not been around -- it's not that this is new technology. It's not that the Bakken is a new formation. It's just that we have new ways of going about it and it's made the Bakken formation a world-class reservoir.

So with that, I'd like to get started.

First off, Halliburton has been in North Dakota for over 60 years. We've had offices in Belfield,

Tioga and since 1984 we've been in Williston. And this slide just kind of shows you some of the impact in 2010 of our work there. We're very proud of our work in North Dakota and we've been very proud of our tradition here.

Since we had a presentation earlier on what is hydraulic fracturing, I'd kind of like to talk about where is the industry going, what are some of the things that we're working on, what can you look forward to. It is an always-evolving process. Hydraulic fracturing has been around for

60 years, a little over 60 years, and from what we were doing in the early days to what we're doing today is just night and day difference. continues to evolve. Like all industries. All industries are continuing to evolve, getting greener. Now you can find green, you know, Windex® and stuff like that. Everybody is a lot more conscious, we're doing a lot more things than we have in the past, and the oil industry is no different.

Here are a few things that Halliburton's been working on. First off, green technology and green fluids. We have a commitment to -- any fluid -- any system that we develop is going to be more environmentally friendly than the last one we had. That's our mandate. So as we continue to roll out new chemicals and new products, they're in better -- have less impact on the environment than anything we had before.

I would like to point out that Halliburton does not manufacture any of our chemicals. Very few oil service companies do. The big chemical manufacturers, Dow® and others like that, are actually who -- Monsanto are the ones that make these things.

that improvement. That takes research and that research is expensive. We spend hundreds of millions of dollars a year in developing competitive advantages. That's one of the reasons we're a little sensitive to telling everybody what we have, because once you do that, you lose that competitive advantage and it's very difficult to recover that investment. So to continue to evolve, continue to go forward, we're a little bit sensitive to disclosing everything that there is. That's not to say we don't disclose.

One of the things that we're very proud of here recently is the development of the CleanStim™ fluid formulation. CleanStim™ is actually food-grade products that we can go out and use to fracture a well.

Now, the problem always is, as you get cleaner and better, it usually costs a little more. So this is a more expensive system than what we've currently been running, but it is available, especially in the areas like a coal seam or something where you're a little closer to the groundwater than some of the deeper Bakken formations and these where we're thousands and

thousands of feet away.

One of the other areas that we're working on is not just chemical, but mechanical. We're also looking for ways to eliminate chemicals altogether. We continue to do this. We've come up with an ultraviolet light that will allow us to treat the water for bacteria because that's a very important product you don't want to inject back downhole. So we can use ultraviolet lights to kill the bacteria, much like that water -- water spigot you have in your office or something that has the UV on it.

We've also introduced what we call the ADP™ blender, the dry gel blender, which allows us to eliminate the carrier fluids that are required to -- and there are a lot of complications to a lot of this, but the carrier fluids that we -- hauls our water in to allow them to be dispersed, we can now do that without that carrier fluid. So we've eliminated the use of a lot of distilled hydrocarbons and that -- by developing the ADP™ blender. We have three of those currently in operation here in North Dakota.

Richard talked a little bit about microseismic as a tool that's used to kind of

evaluate fracture height, and that's where he showed the picture that showed the dots and said that fracks grow maybe a couple hundred feet, not thousands of feet. Well, microseismic technology has been around for a while. It's not applicable to every well because there are constraints on how you can use it and where you can use it, but it is a very accurate system.

I want to point out that nobody wants to pump any more fluid than they have to or anything -- anything that they don't want to put -- inject downhole if they don't have to, unless it's making a better well, as John said before me.

Everything is about making a better well, maximizing the recovery of the hydrocarbons that we went down to get with that wellbore.

So with microseismic, one of the things you can see, what this is is this is the microseismics -- oop. Here we go. This is the Barnett shale. These blue lines across the top represent the depth of all the water wells across this interval and this is -- I can't remember -- this is based on number of wells from depth -- deepest to shallowest and adjoining water wells that are close-by it. So this is the maximum depth

of the water wells. There are some water wells that are just about 1,200 foot deep.

And the microseismics, this shows the breadth of the microseismic events associated with all these frack jobs, and there are thousands of frack jobs represented here. So here is the top of any measurable microseismic activity at 4,000 feet. So even the shallowest we get, we're still several thousand -- 2,500 foot away from even the deepest of the aquifers and in other cases even deeper.

And the other thing it shows is its height. You just don't generate thousands of feet of fracture height. If we could, that would be beneficial in a lot of areas like the Piceance Basin in Colorado where it would really eliminate how much fracture work we have to do.

So with that, one of the last things and one of the areas that we're very sensitive about is water. We're trying to figure out -- we're constantly trying to figure out how to reuse water that we have previously used. In some environments where there are what we call water fracks, that's a lot easier to do because the chemistry is not that complicated. And the Bakken, because of the complexity of the formation, because of the

toughness of that formation and because it's an oil reservoir, we have to generate a little more conductivity.

And I love our terminology, and I watched the slide and during the panel session, questions and answers, if you have any questions on our terminology, because as I watch it and listen to it, I know what we mean, but I think we really have a misrepresentation when we talk about reservoirs and things like that and when I think back when I first went into this business, what some of these words meant, but -- you know, by all means, ask.

But the complexity of the Bakken requires a different gelled fluid and there's a little more chemistry to that, not that it's bad chemistry, by any means, but it just takes a little more viscosity to crack that rock and get the proppant into it. So there's a little -- the water we can use for that has got to be a little bit better than just a water frack, but not that much better.

One of the other things that was brought up by Richard earlier is the footprint. That's one of the things that we also continue to work on and develop. And we're working on especially operations like the Bakken where we're now running

24 hours a day, we'll start looking at pad drilling, things like that. We're looking at how can we eliminate truck traffic, how can we reduce truck traffic, get a lot of that equipment off the road, go to central sites, bring things in a lot more -- in larger bulk, things like that. So we're constantly looking down that road, how can we get higher horsepower out of our equipment so we don't have to have as many trucks on location. The whole industry continues to look towards that.

Disclosure. That's one of the hot issues, obviously, and probably one that will come up here today, but the Ground Water Protection Council registry, the GWPC, went into effect here a couple weeks ago. This is an example of what you can find on it and, obviously, you can't read that here, but this is a -- the registry is something that Halliburton fully supports. The industry, by and large, supports it.

We supply the information, again the disclosure, discussions, the MSDS's that were brought up by -- earlier, those are important.

That's for the concentrated chemicals. So when you see some of these lists of 600 chemicals that are used, it's only a handful that are used. They're

not all -- where you have to be concerned is when they're in their concentrated form, and that's --MSDS, that's all well regulated. That's OSHA, SARA Title III, EPCRA, everything regulates that type of -- or that content. So the disclosure is there. You can type in your area and find out -- this is the link right here, the fracfocus.org, that you can go in and see what's in there. There's not a lot in North Dakota just yet.

Finally, Halliburton's taking a proactive stance. We have the Halliburton microsite on hydraulic fracturing. If you go to halliburton.com, it's right there on the front page. You can go in there and you can find out what is hydraulic fracturing, what's in the fluids and a little bit about the green technology that we're using.

You can go in and look at what's in the frack fluids in a regional basis. And, for example, North Dakota Bakken hybrid formulation, it tells you what are we using in there and what kind of the common usage of some of these things are.

So with that -- oops -- I'd just like to end with, number one, hydraulic fracturing is a safe process. When you saw the potential

- 1 contamination opportunities that Richard showed
- 2 earlier, none of those were associated with
- 3 | hydraulic fracturing. It's well construction.
- 4 | Well construction is key. We're continuously
- 5 trying to improve. Proper well construction
- 6 prevents a lot of these instances.
- 7 I also want to say it's well regulated by
- 8 | the states, by -- specifically by the states and,
- 9 also, there are a lot of federal regulations that
- 10 | regulate what we do.
- 11 So, again, I'd like to thank everybody for
- 12 your attention and I'll be glad to take questions
- 13 once the panel session is up and running.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 (Applause.)
- MR. WARD: Thank you, Mike. I appreciate
- 17 | it.
- Now we'll move into the people's view and
- 19 representing the tribes is -- is Fred Fox, and
- 20 his -- his role at the tribes is director of
- 21 | energy. So, thank you, Fred. I'll get your
- 22 presentation up right now.
- 23 MR. FOX: Good afternoon. I'd like to
- 24 | thank the Bureau of Land Management and Mr. Lonny
- 25 | Bagley for inviting me to participate in the panel

today. My name is Fred Fox. I'm the Three

Affiliated Tribes administrator of the tribal
energy department. I was also the administrator
for the natural resources department for the Three
Affiliated Tribes and also the water resource
director.

My formal education is in the geological sciences. I received my bachelor's degree from Minot State University in university studies. I then received my master's degree in management from the University of Mary.

Today the presentation I will be giving will be not only of the detrimental impacts on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation due to oil and gas activity, but also the good that comes out of it that the tribe has specifically been waiting for for a long time. My presentation will basically be on the background of energy development of the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation, today's oil and gas activity on the reservation, the environmental regulations that apply to oil and gas activity, also the water resources on the reservation that are very, very important to the Three Affiliated Tribes.

Also, cultural resource protection. That

is very important to us. The disturbance of our cultural resources is very high to the Three Affiliated Tribes of the Mandan, Hidatsa and Arikara Nation. 4

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will also talk about the general impacts of the oil and gas on Fort Berthold Indian Reservation that we are very concerned about. Ι will also discuss the proposed actions for cumulative impacts today that we are very concerned about. The Three Affiliated Tribes tribal chairman, Tex Hall, sees this oil and gas activity as a gift, but views it with cautious optimism.

The background of energy development on Fort Berthold Indian Reservation. Fort Berthold Indian Reservation contains 980,000 acres inside the reservation boundaries. 530,000 acres are held in trust by the federal government. exploration and production has been prevailing in western North Dakota for over 50 years. Fort Berthold Indian Reservation has largely missed out on any significant activity until the last three years. Outdated and burdensome federal laws and regulations that pertain to Indian lands have stymied real development on Fort Berthold Reservation.

So we've waited quite some time to be a part of the oil and gas and also the energy industry as a whole. Delay caused enormous and economic social harm to the Three Affiliated Tribes and many Fort Berthold mineral allottees that once again wait to emerge from the systemic poverty that is so prevalent on all reservations.

Background on energy development also.

Seismic activity on the Fort Berthold Indian

Reservation was done in the late '70s and early
'80s, so we knew that there was potential for

development of oil and gas and our natural
resources on our reservation. On July 26 of 1997

Senate Bill 1079 introduced the 51 percent leasing
on our reservation.

What's so significant about this is that before this bill was passed, it took 100 percent of signatures from the landowners to get an oil and gas lease on our reservation. A typical tract may contain anywhere from 1 to 10 to maybe even 100-plus mineral owners in a tract of land, so to gather all those signatures in the tract is very cumbersome for an oil company to get a lease.

On December 7, 2006, Fort Berthold

Reservation started seeing, you know, a light at

the end of the tunnel. There was a BIA lease sale that had 70 tracts leased. On November 15, 2007, a BIA lease sale for 914 tracts then came on. So we knew there that development of oil and gas was in our sight. Landowners start receiving, you know, the bonus payments and start reaping the benefits of oil and gas leasing inside the reservation.

On December 13, 2007, the first well was spud within trust minerals on the reservation. It was the Hovda 1-09H. This lease was in October of 2005. So you kind of see it took about two years for the permitting to get done and for the drilling activity to begin on the reservation with trust minerals.

On April 10, 2008, USGS assessment of the Bakken shale in the Williston Basin was very good news for the Three Affiliated Tribes and the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation. We knew that we would be a part of the oil and gas development inside the state of North Dakota and also inside the Williston Basin.

In 2008 of October five producing wells were on trust minerals, so the time to get any development inside the reservation was very slow, and in that meantime there was a price of oil that

boomed to about \$150 a barrel. We missed that due to the fact that the permitting process inside the reservation and the federal red tape that hindered development, many landowners didn't get to see it.

Fort Berthold Reservation activity today.

Total number of leased acres inside the reservation on trust land, trust minerals, is 504,898 acres.

Total number of wells producing on trust minerals is 117 wells. Total number of wells producing inside the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation is 255.

Total number of wells drilling on trust minerals,

15 drilling rigs. Total number of wells drilling inside the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation is 20 drilling rigs. Now, this is all the rigs that are either on trust minerals or fee.

Environmental regulations that apply to mineral agreement and drilling activities on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation. Oil and gas activities on federal Indian lands must comply with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality. 40 CFR Parts 1500 through 1508 are responsible for the development of oil and gas.

Water resources. This is very, very

important to the Three Affiliated Tribes. Our
water is going to be here forever and that is one
of the main concerns for the Three Affiliated
Tribes and the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation and
all of the landowners.

Surface water. The most significant water resource surface is provided by the Missouri River, the Little Missouri River, the local minor tributaries following the reservation which are united within the reservoir of Lake Sakakawea.

Lake Sakakawea is 178 miles in length. It has 1,530 miles of shoreline inside our reservation.

Groundwater. The Fort Berthold

Reservation contains a number of groundwater

aquifers. Bedrock aquifers such as the Fox Hills,

Hell Creek, Tongue River and Sentinel Butte.

Aquifers such as the East Fork Shell Creek, Shell

Creek, White Shield, New Town and the Sanish.

Cultural resource protection on the Fort
Berthold Indian Reservation, like I said, is very
important. We do have a tribal historic
preservation office on our reservation and the
director is Elgin Crows Breast.

Section 106 of the National Historic

Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, requires that

projects needing federal approval or any -- or federal permits be evaluated for the effects on historic and cultural properties included or eligible for the listing of the National Register of Historic Places.

Potential impacts on the Fort Berthold

Indian Reservation. Soils, erosion, compaction and contamination. We are very aware of the amount of oil spills that are inside the reservation today and we are very concerned with -- with the truck traffic and the truck -- truck turnovers that cause these oil spills.

Vegetation. The construction of the well and access roads during ground-clearing activities.

On the Fort Berthold Reservation it was very undisturbed before oil and gas activity. We had the natural bluffs of Mandaree and the Badlands.

We had Lake Sakakawea. Natural places that were very historic and very scenic to the Three Affiliated Tribes and to our visitors.

Surface water, increased runoff and sedimentation. With this year's amount of precipitation due to the amount of snow that has happened today -- you know, over this past winter, it was very, very detrimental to the Three

Affiliated Tribes.

Groundwater contamination from drilling.

We understand this is very, very important. We know that this is a possibility that can happen on our Fort Berthold Indian Reservation, and it's very much a concern.

Cultural resources. A discovery of cultural remains or artifacts during its construction. There's many a time that well sites have been moved due to the fact there have been cultural resources in place, so we would have to move the well away from the cultural resources that are contained in that area.

Road systems. Developed and unapproved access roads throughout the reservation. The reservation, as -- like I said, is very scenic. The amount of roads we -- is -- is not very good. We want to minimize the footprint on development inside the reservation.

Wastewater. Production of wastewater and disposal sites. This is very important also. The disposal sites inside the reservation on trust land right now is at zero, and we look very, very hard into any disposal sites that are going to be developed inside the reservation.

Proposed actions for cumulative impacts.

A programmatic environmental assessment. That is currently being done right now with the Bureau of Indian Affairs on the Fort Berthold Indian

Reservation, and there is currently public hearings that will be held in the next two weeks on this programmatic.

Fort Berthold oil and gas plan of operations, which is currently being done with the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Three Affiliated Tribes.

Operator and tribal meetings. Currently right now we are meeting with the operators individually and discussing their safety program, their spill response program and their emergency response programs as well. Because when an incident does happen inside the reservation, we would like to be ready for it.

Federal and tribal coordination. We currently have a good friendship and a good understanding in corroboration with -- with the Bureau of Indian Affairs and also with the Bureau of Land Management. We discuss oil and gas environmental compliance and also emergency and safety response.

Also, a coordination in planned energy corridor transit system. Currently the Three Affiliated Tribes on the Fort Berthold Reservation has numerous oil and gas pipelines that are currently being constructed inside the reservation, and that is very, very important for the Three Affiliated Tribes that this is planned in a way that it is sufficient enough that it minimizes the impact inside the reservation. We also look at the electrical power lines, making sure that -- if this is really what we want scattered throughout the reservation. So there is also -- this is also being looked at inside the reservation.

Oil and gas informative meetings. We do informative meetings with the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Bureau of Land Management to discuss the activity inside the reservation and the potential impacts that have come inside the reservation and with the Three Affiliated Tribes.

We also have state and tribal coordination, discussing road safety, spill response and regulation. Road safety is very, very important to the tribal chairman and also the tribal business council. There have been many, many unwanted deaths inside our reservation due to

1 this.

Right here and final is Wanted:

Responsible development of oil and gas resources on our Fort Berthold Indian Reservation.

This is contact information. I'd like to introduce some of the department people inside our energy department today that are here with us.

Mr. Ken Hall is sitting in the back. Also, he is our deputy administrator. Jerome Smith is our safety officer and also Delvin Rabbit Head is our compliance officer.

So thank you, and I'd be happy to answer any questions after our discussion. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: Thank you, Fred. Really appreciate that perspective.

Is Myron Hanson in the house? Okay. The last presentation that we're going to have before the break is by Don -- oh, you are in the house.

Great. I'm sorry. Here is Myron, who represents land associations and landowners.

MR. HANSON: Yeah. My name is Myron

Hanson. I live and farm at a little town in north

central Bottineau County called Souris. And I,

too, would like to thank Lonny Bagley and the

Bureau of Land Management for inviting me to participate in this forum. A lot of our concerns have already been voiced and I'll try and just give a very brief overview of what our situation is.

You know, just a brief background statement. We are a very young organization. We got started, basically, late last fall as a group of farmers and ranchers in Bottineau, Renville and Mountrail Counties and a little bit into Williams County that were becoming very concerned about the size and the speed with which the oil development was progressing in this state and the impact that this oil development was having on our farms and ranches. And because of some of the concerns that we voiced, there are, you know, some individuals out there that have, you know, tried to label us a little bit as being anti-oil, and that is not the case.

You know, as an organization, we support the oil and gas industry and the prudent and safe development of the natural resources in this state.

We are primarily a surface rights or private property rights organization, and from that perspective we feel that it is a little difficult for us to comment on the downhole processes of

hydraulic fracking. We are not petroleum engineers or chemists or geologists. And, you know, the impact of the fracking process and what I believe the geologists refer to as the stratigraphic column in North Dakota is something that we just can't speak to.

Our association believes that a far broader impact and the more likelihood of risks to the environment, including the contamination of groundwater sources, exists in the surface operations of the oil and gas industry.

As far as, you know, the surface impacts of hydraulic fracking, you know, one that has already been mentioned and which I would point out is the most obvious is the impact on our county and township roads. The amount of truck traffic required to supply the materials for the fracking process to a single well is just incredible. We're talking about, you know, hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of gallons of water and tons upon tons of fracking sand and components. And the cumulative effect of all these trucks has been readily apparent, you know, to everybody out there.

And part of the conflict that would now arise, you know, with the oncoming farming season

is our need to move large farm equipment down the same roads that these thousands of trucks are occupying, and this is a pretty serious deal because if you have a tripled-up four-wheel-drive tractor pulling a large air seeder with the seed tank and the fertilizer tank and a liquid tank, you don't back those things up and you don't get off the road very easily. So there are going to be a number of instances where this becomes a serious safety concern.

The increased possibility of spills and the recovery and disposal of the contaminated water used in this process, the management of the produced water from a well and the depletion of the groundwater aquifers because of the huge demand for water are additional concerns that we would have.

You know, the one aspect of the downhole operations that I would address and has already been addressed, you know, several times in this presentation is the importance of the surface casing and the cementing operation to maintain that integrity of the well. You know, protecting this initial point of contact between a wellbore and a groundwater aquifer is, to state the obvious, critical.

You know, as has been alluded to by the gentleman from Halliburton, and that was one of the sites I went to when I was trying to gain some understanding of this process, it would be our hope that the industry moves aggressively to develop technologies that would move away from the use of freshwater or at least seek to reclaim as much of the used water as possible.

You know, in summary, I guess I would just like to restate, you know, what we see as the basic principles or impacts of the fracking operation from our perspective. You know, one, the surface impacts on our transportation infrastructure in supplying the necessary materials to the well site; and two would be the critical nature of making sure that the cementing process for the wellbore and the integrity of that wellbore, you know, coming up the back side of it, is ensured; and then the third would be the development of the technologies that would move away from the freshwater to other carriers for the sand and fracking components and at least developing technologies for reclaiming as much of this water as possible.

Now, I think it's critical that everyone understand that water is essential to life. And in

a semiarid environment and that's, you know, probably a, you know, funny term to use after this winter, but western North Dakota is a semiarid environment, and water is critical to the lives and operations of farmers and ranchers in western North Dakota. And we believe that its conservation and its protection should be the primary concern to everyone involved in the exploration activity now

And with that, I again would just like to thank the BLM staff for extending this invitation. We are, like I said, a relatively new organization and this is an important educational forum for us to learn more about this process and come to a better understanding of the oil and gas industry in the state.

Thank you.

taking place in this state.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: Thank you, Myron. New organization, but well-expressed concerns. Appreciate your time.

So last on the list of comments is Dan

Nelson -- or Don Nelson, and he's going to have a

short presentation. Come on up, Don. Great.

And after Don's presentation, we'll go to

a break. Remember the three-by-five cards, and then we'll go to the panel conversation. Great.

MR. NELSON: I'd like to thank the BLM for holding this forum. I think it's a discussion that needs to -- needs to happen. I guess I was tagged for this speaking not too long ago, so it was short notice as an environmentalist group. I'm representing the Dakota Resource Council.

I guess if they want to call me an environmentalist, that's okay, and I'm sure the industry will basically demonize me for it.

However, I may say that other than Myron, I'm sure he's probably not getting paid to be here, and neither am I. They have one interest in mind.

I think I have a unique perspective on this. I'm also on the BLM advisory council for this region. I'm a farmer and a rancher, third generation. We've had oil on our land since the 1950s. I'm also a mineral owner and a split surface owner, which means I own land which has either got federal minerals or someone else owns the minerals under it. I'm also a public land user. I graze cattle on public land. So I think that gives me a perspective from many sides.

This is -- we are -- we are not here to

say we need to end hydraulic fracturing or the oil industry. We all know how important it is to North Dakota, especially in my area, in western North Dakota. It has been for years. But it is about responsible development and disclosure.

I would like to talk about four points on this, and some of this will actually go over on private land too. We're here for BLM land, but they are intertwined. Number one is water consumption; number two is disclosure and disclosure by a proper government agency. I know industry said they were disclosing, but it's what they want to disclose. It's not regulated in the way that they have to disclose it by -- by a proper government agency. And also with disclosure comes tracking. So if there is a problem, you can get to it soon and you can fix the problem.

Bonding and reclamation. After this is done, there's a huge footprint upon the land that we've -- we've heard about, and that is something that needs to -- especially on BLM, be taken care of. There's a problem there.

And, lastly, I'd like to talk about who regulates, federal versus state versus local government, because -- one thing, too, that is

happening with BLM is a lot of -- there -- in North
Dakota there's a lot of intermingled private
with -- with federal land. Basically, it's Forest
Service land in North Dakota, and a lot of times
they are pushing them off onto the -- the oil
companies will come in and drill from the private
land into the federal land.

First, water consumption. The huge amounts of water that are used is a concern, and I think they said -- I heard Lynn Helms how much and I did not get it down, but I thought it was 30 million gallons per day. Was that right, Lynn? That's a lot of water. And I commend them for -- for some of the groups here now saying they'd like to take it from surface water. We have advocated that from the beginning, DRC, that it should come from surface water because that can be recharged much sooner than our aquifers. Some of our aquifers take thousands of years to recharge. We should not be depleting them.

And one other thing is I think in this state most of our aquifers are going down. They're not going up. They're not staying the same.

They're going down. So the added pressure from the energy industry is going to make that go sooner.

One thing, too, we had some state agencies here talking and they -- they say they -- they regulate quite well. In some cases they do when they're pushed. DRC's voice has been a good thing. Even though they don't like us, this voice has to be heard. It pushes a lot of changes within the energy industry that over the years have come out to be good. They now hold those regulations up and say, "Look what we're doing"; but at the time, they fought them.

The Water Commission had to be pushed by the citizens of Alexander to not allow a water well to be drilled with every oil well when the company was proposing -- it was, I believe, 30-some wells. So you have to push these state agencies and federal agencies to get them to do the right things many times, and that's the people of the state that need to do that.

Proprietary information and disclosure.

One thing that I keep thinking about, they -- they say these are proprietary information and they don't want their competition to see it. I kind of view it a different way. If they were to patent it or whatever, those companies that have the best fracking can sell it to the other companies, I

would think. And don't we want every single well to produce the most amount of oil? If they're not doing a good job of fracking, that's not -- that's not good for anybody.

Another thing about disclosure is that it's a -- it's a government transparency. It should be disclosed to public. We're talking about public land today, BLM land, so the public should know what's in there. And the one thing about disclosure which was brought up in one of the presentations by -- by state, I believe it was, or BLM, is then you have a way to trace it back. Who caused the problem? Who's going to have to fix it? It will be done in a timely manner, it will be caught sooner and those problems can be fixed.

Another thing is air quality. We have what they call off-gases from this. And while this is going on, she's showing some photos here. Some of those first ones, like that, are BLM land. It isn't in this state, but that's what happens. Now, North Dakota will be different, but I have heard from five to seven wells per section, so there will be a huge imprint upon the land. This is in Wyoming. Some of the other pictures are not so pretty pictures that industry likes to show you.

There are several studies on air quality that I can list for you if you would like them, but I'm not going to do it right now.

Third is bonding and reclamation. The BLM has not raised their bonds in approximately 50 or 60 years. I think that by now we should be raising that. I don't know of any other industry that would be able to get away with being bonded what was 50 years ago.

Also, on -- on disclosure, we have a paper that we would surely give handouts. We've got some here and we call it Coming Clean. I'll just quickly list the nine points we believe that need to come out of disclosure. One is Chemical Abstract Service numbers, CAS, must be reported to provide a unique identifier for each chemical constituent used in a well, as well as the volume and chemical concentration.

Two, all chemical constituents used during the entire life cycle of oil and gas exploration and development must be disclosed, drilling chemicals, as well as those used in hydraulic fracturing and any other method of well stimulation.

Three, any protections for proprietary

information must be carefully defined with a clear decision-making process and standard of proof and must provide for the release of the adverse health effects of each chemical that is kept secret, release of proprietary information in the event of a medical necessity and regular review and appeal of proprietary designations.

Four, information must be disclosed to the public.

Five, local landowners must be directly notified of chemical use in advance, with sufficient time before drilling or stimulation to conduct baseline tests, like water tests.

A timely final report must be made after drilling or stimulation with chemical constituents actually used, pressures, fracture lengths and heights, the type, source and quantity of fluid used and quantity of fluid recovered.

Seven, reports must be filed on a well-by-well basis.

Eight, in order to be effective and earn the confidence of the public, a disclosure program must be overseen by a regulatory agency with the expertise and resources--this is huge. Most -- even the State, I think, would admit they can use

more resources -- and authority to monitor and enforce disclosure requirements, reorganize the public health consequences and take action to protect public health and the environment.

And, nine, penalties for failure to comply with disclosure requirements should be sufficient to encourage compliance.

Last, I'd like to talk about the federal versus state versus local, I guess. I -- I think the industry is pushing to have state regulatory agencies do the work and not federal. I guess that's fine if they do their job. As I gave the example, it was citizens that usually have to push state regulatory agencies to do their job. Most of the state people came from the energy industry. They're qualified, but if we do have people that are going to be on the state level, I believe that they should be elected. We should be given a couple of choices for that job and they should be elected, not appointed.

One thing I would say to the industry is

I've never -- we've been through several oil booms

in McKenzie County where I live and I've never seen

the public -- people that have -- live right in my

area and have been in the energy industry all their

lives that are complaining. I think you guys

are -- are having a bad PR and there's a lot of

push for a lot more local level to do -- do some

regulation. And if that happens, it's going to be

a lot harder for you to deal with than if you do it

right and responsibly.

I want to thank you for coming and I would ask that you guys ask questions. This is your time. This is a way for you to get your voices out in this debate.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: So we'll take a ten-minute break and come back at 20 of the hour. And in the time that we're on break, do get a card, formulate your question or concern. And I'll ask the panel members in ten minutes to come and take their positions at the table and this is -- will be your time.

Thank you.

(Recessed at 6:30 p.m. to 6:44 p.m.)

MR. WARD: And so the process is that we have two microphones on the floor here. If you want to voice your own question or your own concern, we can line up behind the microphones, and

if there is no queue, then I'll take the cards.

And just to start out with right now, there are a number of cards that pose, I think, a good question and a challenging question. We've talked about well integrity as being absolutely key and there is a question here about the long-term guarantee that that well integrity will be held in place.

One of the -- one of the other questions from a landowner who had a water well pointed out that a coal seam in her or his water well was highly acidic and that coal seam succeeded in corroding out the cement and the casing of their water well, and the only way they could control their water well was by putting PVC pipe in the well.

So after everything is said and done and after the -- after the gas is pumped out and it's reaching its -- its sort of nadir days and Whiting is no longer interested and they sell it off to Billings and Billings sells it off to Acme and Acme sells it off to -- what happens to our wells and how can we be guaranteed that the tribe lands will be safe and that these -- that we don't end up with 30-year-old casings bleeding into our freshwater aquifer?

And I'll turn that over, I guess, to our industry reps, to start out with, to -- to give a technical answer.

MR. PANEITZ: And the question being the long term?

MR. WARD: The long-term well integrity from corrosion of casing, corrosion of cement. You only have one barrier in many cases. Can we be guaranteed?

MR. PANEITZ: Well, certainly, under the long-term scenario -- all right. Certainly, under the long-term production scenario, we are pulling the pressure down in the wellbore. So, really, off-reservoir fluids will flow down versus expanding and growing outward into the aquifer scenarios.

And we certainly also employ various treatments to minimize our corrosion because we have to maintain the pump in the wellbore, that we can still produce the wellbore. If it's corroded, we can't produce any oil.

MR. WARD: And Halliburton.

MR. EBERHARD: Yeah, I think the things to keep in mind and in the case of the water well with the coal seam and the acidic environment that it

was in, one of the things that we do is we spend a lot of time and effort on cement design, on casing design and the cements that we use, and I'd say that's one of the differences between a water well application and oil field applications is there's a significant amount of research into cement chemistry and what do we do. There's a lot of additives for cement itself, expansive additives, long-life additives and such. So it's a lot different cement than what you have in a water well where you just call the ready-mix up, they come out and dump it down the back side.

We take those situations into account, whether it's a salt zone that we need to protect, corrosive zones. That's one of the reasons that we put cement down there is to protect the casing from any corrosive environment and we design the cements accordingly. So we can adapt the cement to those specific situations to protect the life of the casing.

One of the other areas that gets discussed -- we're supposed to limit these to two minutes. Okay. I'll let that be the last part of it.

MR. WARD: There are three questions here

that you're answering, so --

MR. EBERHARD: I think one of them was the long life of the well. And one of the other areas that we spend a lot of time is -- and there's been discussion around this microannulus and backside gas, things like that. Operators continually monitor those -- their back side, their annular pressure. We design cements that will prevent microannuluses from happening. There's a lot of things, again, we can do there, but well life -- the life of that well is one of the things that we take into account.

There's -- on high-temperature
environments, we can add silica flour to the cement
that gives us extended life. It prevents the
retrogression of the cement. So there's a lot of
chemistry involved there to protect that casing
over the life of that well, decades.

And then, of course, proper abandonment is key, and that's one of the other areas also where proper cement design comes into play is when that well is finally no longer productive and you have to abandon it. That's where the operators need to take care and do things right.

And then as far as who -- if somebody buys

it from somebody else and the bonding and such, I quess John would be better to answer that one.

MR. PANEITZ: Yeah. Those bonds need to get passed from operator to operator so that the assuming company holds bonds with the -- with the State. I mean that liability goes with the well.

MR. WARD: Thank you, gentlemen. This next question goes to Lynn Helms and it sort of builds on what -- what Mike alluded to. He mentioned that it's best practice to measure the pressure behind the casing strings and he mentioned something about the bonds going with different -- and the question here is how is it that the various state regulatory agencies coordinate with each other and what are you doing to advocate for best practices and more regulatory control to make these -- these things that good operators do mandatory?

So a two-part question: How do you coordinate and how do you make sure that these things become mandatory?

MR. HELMS: Well, I'll take the -- the two parts. Regulatory coordination is handled in the state of North Dakota through memorandums of agreement or memorandums of understanding, and we

currently have MOAs with the Health Department, which Dennis -- Dennis talked about, with regards to when does the hand-off happen with a spill or release incident, at what point do they become the primary agency and we become the secondary agency and what do we need to do in terms of reporting them.

For example, in a release situation where a spill is reported to us, it automatically gets ported over to the Health Department's server and web site and then they pass them on to emergency management in the state of North Dakota.

We have an MOA with the State Water

Commission where we deal with protection of the

groundwater resources. So we've got a series of

those that have been negotiated between the

departments so that we've got a clear protocol with

regards to coordinating those regulatory efforts

and when we can rely on another agency's

jurisdiction.

Best management practices are a learning experience, and what we have done since I became director at the oil and gas division and then the Department of Mineral Resources is we've gone through a rulemaking approximately every two years

following each legislative session. So new laws 1 get passed in those legislative sessions that 2 3 require us to update the Administrative Code. even in the absence of that, we know that this is a 4 5 fast-moving, fast-growing industry that reinvents itself about every ten years, and so we go through 6 7 a rulemaking process every two years where rules are promulgated by the Industrial Commission. 8 9 They're put up for public comment. That -- all the 10 comments are collected and comment -- and responded to and then they have to go through an 11 administrative rules committee process at the state 12 13 legislature before they can take final effect. 14 takes 10 -- 10 to 12 months to put those new rules 15 into effect.

And so we're in a constant process of updating those rules. And I encourage you to watch for that because sometime midsummer, June, July, there's going to be a notice coming out that the oil and gas division is doing a rulemaking. And you as a citizen of North Dakota have a right and a responsibility to look at that and comment on those rule revisions.

MR. WARD: Great.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to hear from the BLM reps and how

you coordinate and also comment on those rules or what your rulemaking processes are because there's a question here about how you coordinate and how you make the best practices into mandatory practices.

MR. WORDEN: Well, how we would -- how we coordinate with the states, like I have limited experience from the states I've worked in, but when I was with BLM in Wyoming, we -- we coordinated and went to the monthly oil and gas commission meetings. We held regular meetings with them. We had them -- you know, a quarterly call with them to see what was going on. That's how we would coordinate with our counterparts in the state.

As far as our rulemaking process, I presented a little bit of that in my earlier presentation. It's -- all rules are public process. We have a proposed rule. We publish it in the Federal Register. It's available for public comment, 30 to 60 days typically. We receive the comments, we address the comments and then we publish it as a final rule if the comments are satisfied. A lot of times that involves scoping meetings, public meetings. We're looking for the public's input on -- as we're making rules and

that's part of the process. There's that -- you know, there's a comment period.

MR. WARD: Okay. This is a question to Fred. You pointed out the benefits of natural gas and oil drilling on tribal lands. You also were concerned about the -- the spills on surface waters and there was -- there was -- there were a lot of images when our environmental friend showed -- showed what can happen. The question is how many studies have been conducted on tribal lands to define the impacts and to make sure that surface waters are protected? You can broaden that out to include the -- include all environmental considerations, I suppose, Fred, if you would.

MR. FOX: Currently right now with any oil spill or any undesirable event that does occur inside the reservation on trust -- trust lands or trust surface is immediately reported to the emergency management office of the Three Affiliated Tribes. They also coordinated efforts with the Bureau of Indian Affairs on their natural resource department with their environmentalists.

The studies that are currently being -- taking place right now, we do have a programmatic environmental assessment that is currently being

done inside the reservation for future development,

and as -- inside federal lands all NEPA

requirements have to be followed and have to be

adhered to. So these are -- are currently being

done.

Right now with the Three Affiliated

Tribes, we are -- are implementing a department specifically for safety and specifically for compliance on any detrimental effects that happen with oil spills or any undesirable events.

MR. WARD: Great. Thank you.

This next question goes to Don Nelson and to Myron Hanson. And it's -- you pointed out in your presentations that it's a -- you know, in our democracy, it's a citizen's obligation to push for rules to protect our common welfare, and the question is sort of loaded. Do you think you'd have better luck with the Feds in Washington or here in the states? What is your approach and what is your feeling about thriving for better controls?

We'll start, I guess, with Don and then move to Myron.

MR. NELSON: For this forum, of course, we're talking about BLM, so you have to be talking federal. But as far as off the federal land, the

one thing about State is you have a little more control. However, sometimes they, like I said, come from the industry and don't like to listen to us out on the land.

I guess I would rather -- the more local you get, the better you are usually. So I guess I'd probably say the State, but when I say that, I think that the State has not been doing their job here as far as we could slow this down. The State could slow it down with what they're doing, and that will help with incidents from happening. When there's a mad rush, you're going to have accidents, you're going to have things happen. And that oil is going to be there. It's -- it's not going anywhere. And I doubt if it's going to go down in price. So it -- to me, this mad rush usually ends up being detrimental.

MR. HANSON: I guess I would have to echo, you know, basically the same sentiments as Donny. We feel that the regulation and the enforcement is probably best left to the people that are out here on the ground, and that would be, you know, the State.

Our organization, along with the DRC, sees, you know, a little bit of lack of effort

sometimes from the State in the enforcement of the regulations. But I will be the first to state that I think that with the size and the speed and the scope of this activity, that the State is now overwhelmed and can't do the job that they're supposed to do.

MR. WARD: Okay. I'm sorry. The lights -- I didn't see you guys standing there. Thank you for being patient.

Why don't we start with that mike -- that mike there.

MR. JONATHAN BRY: Can you hear me okay?

Okay. This question is directed towards Lynn

Helms, but it would be nice to hear a response from both Donald and Myron as well. I have a couple comments and a few questions that are directly related to that.

One of my main concerns regarding hydrofracking in North Dakota is regarding the health of the Missouri River. The Missouri River is one of the most important natural resources we have in the state. It is a place where we fish, we recreate and get our drinking water from.

There are many wells and pipelines around

Lake Sakakawea and the tributaries and creeks that

1 | run into the lake and the Missouri River.

Eventually, leaking fracking fluids will find their way into the Missouri River if they're being used in the Missouri River Basin, which is much of that area, because everything in the basin ends up in the Missouri River.

There have been already been more than 20 spills this spring due to snowmelt. There was a saltwater spill into the lake near Keene and a saltwater spill by Zenergy into the Charbonneau Creek, which is a tributary of the Yellowstone River which also ends up in the Missouri River. This is just to name a few.

So what I'd like to know is why didn't the oil companies realize that snowmelt would be a problem in North Dakota, I mean, because it snows here and we have floods here. And, also, I'd like to know why wasn't a comprehensive plan for cleaning up lake spills completed before we had a spill in the lake? And I know you're working on one now, but I would have thought that would have been done a very long time ago. And better yet, what will be done to make sure that there are not any more spills into the Missouri River system in the first place?

1 Thank you.

2 MR. HELMS: Can you help me remember all those, Rich?

MR. WARD: You can stay at the mike --

MR. HELMS: All right.

MR. WARD: -- and help him remember.

MR. JONATHAN BRY: The question --

MR. HELMS: So let me begin with the comment about the spills and -- and regarding the snowmelt. We did have a record amount of snow in North Dakota this year, but that should not surprise people because it snows in places like North Dakota. We also had a record number of wells drilled in North Dakota.

And so in February and again in March our department sent letters out to the operators reminding them that there was going to be a snowmelt and that they needed to check those sites and facilities. And I would say in many, many -- in fact, in the vast majority of cases, that happened. But in the case of now approximately 34 sites, that did not happen.

I am told by the policymakers that I work for that we are going to take enforcement action on those sites and that that will send a signal to

industry that that's not going to be tolerated in the state and that we don't want to have that happen in future winters.

With regards to saltwater and the -what's going to be done to prevent around the lake,
we have a process where we now review all of the
drilling permits for proximity to surface waters.
The State Water Commission has provided us with a
map that shows buffer areas around perennial
streams and in those cases we are putting
stipulations on the drilling permits requiring
diking and extra protection.

The option, of course, for each one of those sites is individual. And so there is an option to use some other mitigating technology, but that requires an on-site meeting with our field inspector to determine that that alternative mitigating technology will work. And so that's the process that we're going through there.

I need to praise the governor and the legislature. They are within days of approving an Industrial Commission budget that's going to increase our field enforcement staff from 15 to 25 and our overall staff at the oil and gas division by 25 percent. And that's much needed in order to

catch up with what we're working with.

Finally, with regards to spill prevention, I realize that industry is working on spill prevention plans, but we have participated in a number of drills with the Department of Emergency Management on the state level regarding what resources are available and what agencies and where could they tap for knowledge and information in the event of a spill into the Missouri River, and that was largely driven by, of course, the Tesoro refinery which sits right on the banks of the Missouri River and has an excellent spill response plan.

But there is within the Department of

Emergency Management a plan and a protocol, a

calling tree, a way to tap resources and respond if

we get a major incident in the lake. Is it

perfect? I doubt it. Do we need to sit down and

do another tabletop exercise? I'm absolutely

certain of it.

And I'm happy to hear that industry is organizing to -- to build response -- a response team that we can -- can build into that emergency response plan.

MR. JONATHAN BRY: What will you do in the

winter if the lake is frozen? You know, you can't take boats out. You can't take booms out, you know, if there's a spill in the lake when it's frozen over. I'm sorry. I just asked that

5 question. It just came to my mind.

MR. HELMS: The concept here, of course, is with these permit stipulations. We're -- and as Mr. Fewless talked, our goal here is to keep any releases from getting any further from the wellbore than necessary.

In the wintertime that's pretty easy.

Generally, fluids that are spilled, any streams or creeks that run into the lake are dry in the wintertime or it's pretty easy to build some type of containment and stop any flow from reaching the lake. Once it reaches the lake, obviously it's frozen over and there's ice on it. So our goal is to stop it before it gets to the lake.

A good example would be the Whiting

Roggenbuck situation. That facility or that well

site was built with dikes around it. The

compaction on those clay dikes was insufficient and

we now know that and we're talking about that.

So, you know, we're working with industry so they can learn what it takes to build an

adequate dike, but they did have dirt-moving
equipment on that location ready to go in the event
of an off-location spill. They were able to build
a cofferdam in that little drainage just right
below the hill and stop the spill well before it
got to the lake.

Likewise, on the east side of the lake our field inspector noticed a potential risk with a well that was across the road from Lake Sakakawea, but that there was a culvert right immediately below the site. And so the entire time that they were drilling and fracking there, they left a front loader parked at that culvert and a pile of dirt there so that they could shut it off within minutes if necessary. That's the kind of preventive things that we're implementing.

MR. WARD: Thank you. Here's a -- here's a quiz question. 4.3 million barrels of reserves in the Bakken, how many years, days, et cetera, of U.S. current use would be covered?

I could stab at it. What is it, 40 million barrels global production, quarter of it is the U.S. That's 10 million barrels. That's approximately 4,000 days. Is my math wrong?

MR. HELMS: It's pretty accurate.

MR. WARD: It's a lot. It's a lot of days. But that's a good question. The point is is it worth it?

And this gentleman over here, so that mike on the left.

MR. TONY CLARK: Thank you. My name is Tony Clark. I'm chairman of the North Dakota

Public Service Commission. Not a question, but just a comment for your record tonight.

In North Dakota the Public Service

Commission regulates electric utilities, natural gas distribution companies, as well as the pipeline siting for oil and intrastate natural gas. I also have the opportunity right now to serve as president of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, which is the association that represents all of the state utility commissioners in the country.

The comment that I'm providing is really based on a resolution that our national association passed and it's really applicable to this particular public input hearing tonight. State utility commissioners across the country are watching intently hydraulic fracking, especially as it relates to natural gas, and the reason is

because natural gas has become a critically important fuel to America's utility consumers, both on the electric side because natural gas is seen as 4 a bridge fuel which is relatively clean-burning in relation to other fossil fuels, but also one that pairs very well with some renewable things like wind. So it's a very important resource from that standpoint. It's also important in places like North Dakota for residential heating and affordable, reliable natural gas uses. It's very, very important.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So utility commissioners across the country in realizing how important hydraulic fracking has been to -- to utility consumers passed a resolution which basically supports state regulation and state oversight of hydraulic fracking, and the reason is really twofold. one, we think it's best for the environment because the state and local officials who have a detailed knowledge of the geologic substrata in that particular area are probably in the best position to be able to protect the environment in that particular area, but also because we think that it avoids the chance that there may be some overreach on the part of the federal government which could

clamp down on production of natural gas in a way which would truly be harmful to America's electric and natural gas consumers.

So with that, I'm going to stop my comments, but what I would be happy to do, if it's appropriate, is to just submit the resolution that was passed by our national association to your reporter.

MR. WARD: And building on that, it looks like the Pickens transportation initiative is probably going to get some traction in D.C. and that would move gas into our transportation fuels, which -- so bringing this resource to bear is important, so thank you.

MR. TONY CLARK: Thank you.

MR. WARD: Here's a question, actually, for the forum and BLM. And it's a question sort of as a statement. We're talking about public lands in a state where tourism, hunting and fishing are hugely important to many of the state's citizens and to out-of-town ambassadors, yet nobody from the tourism industry or wildlife groups was invited and nobody has mentioned hunting, fishing or tourism. How can we have a meaningful discussion about oil field development and fracking without any

discussion of these important components? Where is Fish and Game? Why aren't they at the table? And their 2010 oil impact report needs to be heard and needs to be read.

So I'll turn that over to my BLM friends. Where are the sportsmen?

MR. BAGLEY: Maybe I can answer that.

(Laughter.)

MR. BAGLEY: Lonny Bagley here at the BLM.

The invitation was sent out to a number of folks through the newspapers. As far as panel members, we kept them to the industry and some of the key folks that we wanted to hear from. But there are certainly opportunities for everyone to comment and provide input into this forum, even if they weren't on the panel. So we would be interested in hearing from those folks.

But we did send out a number of invitations across the state and also in Montana and other places that -- we did get quite a wide distribution of the announcement of this meeting. So if there are any of those folks here who would like to make comment, we would certainly entertain that here tonight.

Thank you.

MR. WARD: And I should point out that the forums in Arkansas and Colorado will include a sportsman on the panel and on the dais. Okay.

So we'll go to this microphone here.

MR. BRETT NARLOCH: Is it on? My name is Brett Narloch. I represent a public policy think tank in North Dakota called the North Dakota Policy Council, and I just -- I don't really have a question. I'm just going to make a comment and reiterate what Commissioner Clark said that whatever regulations are necessary in the oil and gas industry, we believe that the decisions are best left with the state and local officials. They, as Commissioner Clark said, have a more intimate knowledge of the resources and the geology and all of the other components and things that go into making decisions that would regulate the industry.

So we just want to, again, reiterate those comments and hope that the State leads the regulating efforts in those industries.

MR. WARD: Thank you.

This one goes out to, I guess, the Halliburton rep and -- and Whiting. And it also has a component of state governance. What percent

of the total profits from oil and gas development in North Dakota stays in North Dakota?

3 Halliburton? Whiting? So it speaks also to the 4 severance tax, so we'll have the state reps.

MR. EBERHARD: Well, I sure don't have an exact number off the top of my head, but as you saw, I think, the -- the state payroll is 35 million and -- for in-state employees, and that's just straight income. And then the tax revenue that we pay was -- I can't remember the exact number. Well, I've got it here. The state payroll taxes was seventy -- 761,000. Property tax was 60,000. I'm not sure what our sales tax we spend here is, but it's a substantial percentage.

When you look at an operation, the amount of money that actually leaves the facility -- and that's -- you know, there are a lot of things. We have to purchase sand and the sand doesn't come from North Dakota. It comes from east of here. So there's a lot of expenses that go out, but our -- our number one expense are the people that work for us. So it's a significant portion of our operating cost. The facilities and the people stay here in the state.

A lot of our supplies, we spent -- what

was it -- 19 million spent in the Williston area with local suppliers. So there's a significant number. You know, I -- I don't have an exact number, by any stretch, but it's probably at least 30 to 40 percent of the revenue. That's not that the rest of it's profit. It's just that you have to buy materials that don't necessarily exist in the state.

MR. PANEITZ: I guess for Whiting's share of contribution to the state, we're certainly a big pay -- payroll and severance taxes go directly to the state. And as far as final profits, some of that's going to be dependent upon how many people are shareholders in the company. But, certainly, payroll taxes and trickle-down effects of job creation and other -- other work that contributes to the economy.

MR. WARD: Lynn, the severance tax.

MR. HELMS: North Dakota has an 11 and a half percent combined tax. We have a 5 percent gross production tax, which is shared with the -- between the state and the counties, and then we have a 6 and a half percent oil extraction tax, which 60 percent of that goes -- let me see. I've got to get these right. I better go the other

- direction. 20 percent goes to the Water Resources

 Trust Fund, 20 percent to K-12 education, and

 60 percent into the general fund or now the Legacy

 Fund. So that's split about 50/50. 30 percent

 goes into the Legacy Fund, which will build a fund

 for future North Dakota citizens, and about
- 30 percent into the general fund to support state government. So it's shared across the entire state.
 - MR. WARD: Okay. We'll go to this microphone over here next.

- AUDIENCE MEMBER: Has the North Dakota

 Department of Health or the North Dakota Department
 of Mineral Resources received any reports from
 individuals who believe that they are experiencing,
 on their land or in their homes, contaminated
 water, soil or air and negative health effects
 since fracking began nearby? And, if so, how are
 these people being helped and when will that info
 be released to the public?
- MR. WARD: So, Dennis, can you answer that and then we'll move, I guess, to --
- MR. FEWLESS: There is one person up in the oil patch area that we have been working with for at least the last year. You know, I can't talk

specifics about that, but we have been working with that individual that I am thinking of with taking air samples, soil samples, water samples and are still working with that person to see if we can solve their problem.

But we -- we haven't found anything in our sampling yet or a source that could be causing the issues.

MR. HELMS: Our recent records and so -we keep a list of all of those types of complaints
that come into the oil and gas division, and I
believe that we're now at six or seven individuals
who have brought to our attention concerns about
health effects, whether it was from the possibility
that chemicals were being mixed into water on a
location and -- when they walked by.

In every one of those cases we have responded with a field inspector going to the site and then we've also turned the information over to the Health Department since they are the regulators of air quality and water quality. I know that in every one of those cases they have responded with a fieldperson going to the site and discussing the matter with the individual, and I only know of one of them that has gone into the long-term phase that

Dennis has talked about.

You asked the question about revealing that information to the public. That is personal information which falls under HIPAA regulations, and so once an individual gets down -- that far down the road to where they are alleging health problems as a result of oil and gas operations and once the Health Department responds and begins to work with them on blood tests and all that sort of thing, that's highly confidential information. I'm not sure it will ever be made public in that venue.

The -- the complaints and the spill reports will eventually be made public if it doesn't end up in -- in one of those types of situations where, you know, it's long term working with an individual on health problems.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Would those people -- do you think those people would feel that you are helping them, as you say you are? If I were to ask them, would they say, "Yes, we're being helped. People are looking into it. I feel taken care of by my state"? What do you think they might say?

MR. WARD: Dennis?

MR. HELMS: I'm hoping Dennis responds to this as well. I think it's likely that they would

answer, "No, I don't think I'm getting the help that I want." Are they getting -- do I believe that they're getting a response from our agency and a response from the Health Department? Yes, I believe they are. But I don't -- I don't know that they would answer -- I think they would probably not answer, "Yes, I'm getting the help I want."

Dennis, you've worked with those cases.

MR. FEWLESS: Right. And, you know, since we -- we haven't found any elevated levels in any of our samples, yes, I would probably say that they don't feel satisfied, but we're not sure where else to go to determine the source that's causing their health effects.

MR. WARD: Dennis, this is a related question and I think it's a good time to bring it up. We've seen on the news of cattle that can't drink water, of aquifers and -- that -- where humans can no longer drink it. And if this were to occur in North Dakota, we're saying it hasn't, but if it were, because the boom is starting, who will pay to clean this up and who will pay for the lost water, and how would it work if you did find a correlation between contamination and illness and how -- what would -- what would -- you know,

- suspend disbelief and pretend that you actually did
 find a connection. What would happen?
- MR. FEWLESS: Well, I guess, first, when

 you have a situation where you have sick animals or

 a contaminated aquifer or whatever, you do a full

 delineation and determine what the -- what the

 contaminants are and then who the potential sources

 are and who the responsible party would be. If you

 have a -- an extreme situation like this, there has
- So that's our process of evaluation and then going into the -- the legal aspect of determining the person to clean it up.
- MR. WARD: Okay. We'll have a question

 from this --
- MR. ANDY PETERSON: Thank you.

to be a responsible party.

MR. WARD: Yes.

10

- 18 MR. ANDY PETERSON: Is this on?
- MR. WARD: Yeah.
- 20 MR. ANDY PETERSON: Thank you. My name is
- 21 | Andy Peterson. I'm the president of the North
- 22 Dakota Chamber of Commerce. Ouestion for
- 23 Mr. Helms, if I could.
- Lynn, I've found you to be a fairly
- 25 straightforward and, by reputation, honest person.

Given all that we've talked about tonight with federal regulators and state regulators, is there anything at all that the federal regulators could add that your department could not?

MR. WARD: I take that as a no.

MR. HELMS: Well, I'm thinking for a minute --

MR. WARD: Okay.

MR. HELMS: -- since it's a vast code of regulations. We have a very positive relationship with the Bureau of Land Management in the state and we coordinate our efforts on almost everything.

I think the one area where we really rely on them is with the Indian trust lands. The State of North Dakota has very limited, if any, jurisdiction over many matters that happen on the trust lands, and the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Bureau of Land Management are the trust that is intended to protect those individuals and to look after their correlative rights. They show up at every one of our hearings.

When a pooling is going on that's going to impact Indian minerals, they comment on that and that gets incorporated into the agreement, and then we -- we complement each other -- for example, if

we're overwhelmed and can't put a field inspector on a plugging and abandonment operation, a BLM field inspector will -- will go on that site and witness the plugging and abandonment.

So I think there is an area and I think it -- it -- dominantly, it is the Indian trust lands where they have the responsibility, they have the jurisdiction. We don't, and we really need to coordinate our efforts there and make sure we're protecting the rights of our Indian tribes and the allottees.

MR. WARD: Fred, would you like to comment?

MR. FOX: Currently right now, you know, there is current collaboration with the State and the tribe and the Bureau of Indian Affairs and, you know, it does have a lot of, I guess, collaboration and meetings that take place with any type of an emergency response or any type of a spill response that has taken place.

Like Lynn had said, you know, Bureau of
Indian Affairs and Bureau of Land Management do
have the trust responsibility, but with that, there
comes the federal regulatory red tape that is in
place with all federal Indian trust lands.

1 MR. ANDY PETERSON: Okay. Thank you.

MR. WARD: And we'll have a question, then, from this microphone on the right.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: One of the major concerns brought up today has been about the huge amount of water that's being used in hydraulic fracking. This is, I guess, to the Halliburton representative.

There is a company in the process of fracking that does not use water. It's called GasFrac and it uses liquid petroleum gas which can be 100 percent recoverable; virtually 100 percent of the LPG can be recovered. Is there some reason why that process has not been instigated in North Dakota as a water concern or -- when there is a water concern?

MR. EBERHARD: The GasFrac process, as you said, uses liquified propane and it's -- in order to do that, it has to be a pressurized system to just -- you know, much like your propane tank on your barbecue. So when you start looking at the volumes that it takes to treat these long laterals, you're looking at a heck of a lot of propane out there, and these are contained systems. In order to get the proppant in there, you have to do it

under the pressurized system also. So it's a very
cumbersome process to use and, I mean, there are
safety issues, of course, when you start looking at
propane and the volumes that you will have out

there.

There's been a trial in the Marcellus back East of that process and the wells just do not perform as well. They're still working on it over there, but by and large, you're -- now you're looking at propane lines. The volumes that you're talking about are significant. It takes a volume of something to generate the fracture geometries that are used, that are required to produce these wells.

So if you look at the volume of water that's out there, you're looking at a similar volume of, say, propane. So, boy, that's a pretty -- it's a lot. So it really -- it's just -- it's not a very good application for that process.

Does that answer your question or --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I -- we'll leave it at that.

MR. EBERHARD: Okay.

MR. WARD: This is a related question.

There's been a lot of news about diesel being used

in frack jobs, and the one area where -- where the Clean Water Act does regulate from the EPA is the use of diesel in a frack job. So from 2005 to 2009 what is the status and the position of using diesel in frack jobs and will there be permits required in the future and have permits been issued here in the state for diesel frack jobs?

MR. EBERHARD: Do you want to answer the permits?

MR. HELMS: You talk about the use and -MR. EBERHARD: I'll talk about the use.

Okay. The -- at this point, Halliburton is
diesel-free. And when you say diesel, it's always
the context in which the term is used. Diesel
was -- for example, we may have had an additive
that was added at a gallon per thousand for the
frack fluid and it had a quarter gallon of diesel
in it. So do you say did you use diesel to frack
that well? Well, yeah, there was some diesel in
there, but it wasn't a diesel frack. In the old
days we had -- there were actually frack jobs that
were just all hydrocarbon.

So the -- that was kind of the gray area of that rule was was it a hydrocarbon frack or was there an additive with a diesel component to it,

and that's where a lot of the discussion right now between 2005 and 2009 is out there.

I mentioned the ADP™ blender that we used and such. One of the carrier fluids for the water that's used was diesel years ago. We eliminated that in -- in, yeah, 2005 as part of the EPA memorandum of understanding that we signed. So as far as Halliburton goes, we were out of the diesel business in that context, but there were still one or two additives that had it as a small component. Those have been eliminated also.

So I guess when you -- when you hear that diesel was used, that would be less than a cup in a thousand gallons of fluid.

And keep in mind, this fluid's being pumped into oil-bearing formations. It's not -- I mean there's a lot worse stuff -- I don't want to use that term.

(Laughter.)

MR. EBERHARD: Can you back that up? I'm watching her type everything I say here and it's kind of -- she just typed that.

(Laughter.)

MR. EBERHARD: Are we good to go?

MR. WARD: So -- and then the question

about regulation of diesel, diesel frack jobs.

MR. HELMS: We currently statewide in the state regulations don't have any prohibition against the use of diesel as a frack fluid.

However, we've been doing a lot of research in this area, especially in the situations where we had unplanned releases, to find out how much, and if any, diesel was involved in the frack job.

There were approximately 12 frack jobs pumped in the '07-'09 time frame by a company up in Divide County that used diesel as a dominant fluid. It doesn't work for many of the reasons that propane doesn't work and so that's been abandoned, and I'm not aware of any companies that are using it at this point in time. We do ask the question, but we don't have rules against it.

One of the reasons it doesn't work is that what we find out with our frack flowback water is it becomes very salty very quickly. And so when we flow two and a half million gallons of water back out of one of these frack jobs, we find that that freshwater has taken up and brings back with it about 5 million pounds of salt. It's a very salty rock that we're fracturing and we get a lot of stimulation value from that salt removal. So

hydrocarbon-based fluids like diesel are far less effective and they're not as safe and they haven't been used since 2009.

MR. WARD: Okay. We'll take a question -- whose turn is it -- from this mike here.

MS. SANDY CLARK: Good evening. My name is Sandy Clark. I am public policy director for North Dakota Farm Bureau. Don't have a question this evening, but Farm Bureau just wanted to be able to go on public record as supporting the ability and the opportunity to -- to do hydraulic fracturing in North Dakota.

North Dakota Farm Bureau is a state farm organization. We have 27,000 members. And we also believe that the State of North Dakota is in the best position to regulate the use of hydraulic fracturing.

North Dakota Farm Bureau also supported two bills this legislative session, House Bill 1216 and House Concurrent Resolution 3008 in the current legislative session, to exercise states' rights in regulating hydraulic fracturing.

We believe that agriculture, oil development and public use of BLM lands can coexist in western North Dakota and other states. And Farm

Bureau has always supported the multiple-use concept.

Both agriculture and the energy industries create new wealth in the state of North Dakota.

And so we think the development of oil -- the oil industry in the state will continue to increase and reduce this country's dependence on foreign oil.

The use of hydraulic fracturing to develop oil production on BLM lands is an important component to the oil industry in North Dakota.

And, again, we thank you for the opportunity to appear here this evening.

MR. WARD: Thank you.

Okay. We'll go to the next mike.

MR. DALE PATTEN: Good evening. My name is Dale Patten. I'm a McKenzie County Commissioner in Watford City, and I've got a few comments and then a couple of questions.

First of all, I appreciate Donny being up there. He's one of our residents. And we agree with him on a lot of the things that he talked about.

We like to push our state agencies. Lynn, if there's any agency that we haven't pushed, let me know and we'll add them to the list so that we

can make sure that we're covered there. But we've also found there's been a very good working relationship with the state agencies. They're very effective. They're very responsive. They have the most experience and the most knowledge about the issues and they are the quickest in their reaction time, both in a crisis and a noncrisis situation. So we appreciate that about our state agencies. We believe at the commission level that they are the proper place for the fracking regulation to take place.

McKenzie County has been very aggressive in pursuing the use of surface water, specifically Lake Sakakawea water, to use in the fracking process. We believe it's the highest quality and we want to protect our groundwater sources for our local residents for their livestock and domestic use.

We also have a very active dust control program on our roads and that keeps getting expanded every year, and that is one of the things at the commission level we try to help mitigate, some of the effects of the truck traffic that are taking place in our -- in our county.

With those things in mind both at the

county level and at the state level, we think that the regulation is best left in those hands.

And my question is going to the BLM and the question, basically, is what do you think that the federal regulations -- or federal regulators would bring to the table, specifically the EPA, regarding fracking regulations that isn't being covered much more responsibly at a local level?

MR. WORDEN: I think I can speak for the Bureau and the Department of Interior. I think we're here not to decide on regulations. We want to hear what the -- the people of North Dakota have to say.

As far as the EPA goes, I can't speak for what the EPA does. I know they're in the middle of the first year of a four-year study on hydraulic fracturing. Where that goes, I don't know. We do cooperate with them as another federal agency, but as far as where that's going to take us, I don't have an opinion.

MR. WARD: I have a technical question for the panel, and I'm going to combine some -- some of the cards here for the purpose of time. In North Dakota are we going to experience the kinds of ozone alerts that they've experienced in Wyoming?

Related to this question, we know that frack -- frack water brings up a lot of gas with it. How do you manage the gas when it gets to the surface and how do you manage other impacts associated with the frack water and can there be a concentrated effort on reclaiming the frack water and air quality to reduce and to recycle, to reuse and reclaim?

And so I guess we've got a two-part question here. It's about frack water reuse, as well as air quality issues associated with fracking. And I think this is a pertinent question because there's a recent study put out by Cornell University where fugitive emissions were raised as a major issue in the -- in this -- in this development. I think the conclusion of the Cornell study was wrong in that gas is worse than coal. However, a similar conclusion that probably is right is how can we implement best practice to keep the gas in the pipe and not lose it because it's a resource of the environment? So it's kind of combined into these two questions.

So I guess I'll turn it to industry and then to -- well, to the oil company and then to the service company and anybody else who wants to pitch

- 1 in on that.
- 2 | MR. PANEITZ: Well, certainly, the best
- 3 | practice is to avoid it. And for our operations in
- 4 | Sanish field, we will -- there may be some -- a few
- 5 hours where that gas is brought back and flared,
- 6 but for the most part we have a gas plant in place
- 7 and that gas is put down the pipeline and sent to
- 8 the gas plant and sold.
- 9 MR. WARD: Are there cases where it's
- 10 vented?
- 11 MR. PANEITZ: No. Because it's more or
- 12 less a safety issue.
- MR. WARD: Safety.
- And dealing with other operators, do they
- 15 vent?
- MR. EBERHARD: Yeah, I'm in no position
- 17 | to -- that's a different side of the business than
- 18 | we're --
- MR. WARD: Okay.
- 20 MR. EBERHARD: -- we're involved in.
- 21 | MR. PANEITZ: I think the safety issues
- 22 | would prohibit most operators from venting.
- MR. WARD: Okay. Now answer the same
- 24 | question with respect to the flowback water, water
- 25 | reuse, recycling, you know, resource recovery.

MR. PANEITZ: As far as reuse of flowback 1 2 water, we are looking at it. There's some minor --3 there are some technologies out there for recycling the lower TDS waters, that you can then remove some 4 of the salt and/or blend it with freshwater to 5 reuse a portion of your water. But where it 6 7 becomes very impractical and very costly right now is with the high TDS water, such as 200,000-plus 8 9 concentrations. Those technologies are really just 10 not out there at the present. MR. WARD: That's what you get here, 11 12 200,000-plus? 13 MR. PANEITZ: Yeah. 14 MR. WARD: So you have a little water with 15 your salt, huh? That's right. 16 MR. PANEITZ: 17 MR. WARD: Any other comments on the flowback water and flowback water -- so the best 18 19 practice, then, is to reinject flowback water and 20 produce water; is that right? 21 MR. HELMS: Yes. And I just want to 22 reiterate something I said in my prepared remarks,

Rich, and that is that in North Dakota only in

a pit. It's collected in tanks in North Dakota.

emergency situations does that flowback water go in

23

24

25

We don't allow venting of the gas. It has to be flared. And as soon as there's enough gas to support gathering it and putting it down the pipeline where the -- where the gathering system is mature, it goes into the gathering system.

About 20 percent of the water is low enough total dissolved solids that it can be reused or recycled. The remaining 80 percent is saltwater and goes into that Dakota formation, goes to a saltwater disposal site.

I don't anticipate the ozone problems that Wyoming experienced. They have a huge problem with temperature inversions out there in the Pinedale area, but we can occasionally get those here.

We recognized early on that we had a problem with volatile organic compounds being vented off the tank batteries. The Health

Department -- and Dennis can comment on this more than I can probably, but he's a water guy over there, not an air guy, so -- but the Health

Department and industry formed a task force, got on it very quickly, and so those tank vapors are being collected and compressed and put into the sales line whenever possible. If not, they're collected and incinerated. So I think we've headed that off

and I don't expect to have ozone problems.

MR. WARD: I think you should write a reply to that Cornell study. It's an awful lot of apocryphal information in that and it's not helpful.

Let's go to this microphone now.

MR. DUANE Dekrey: Thank you. I'm Duane Dekrey, a state legislator from North Dakota, and I had a comment and a question. And my comment was Farm Bureau already brought up two bills and the resolution that we passed this year, and I'd like to give that to BLM to take back because we -- the resolution was to go to the EPA, and I can see from tonight it should also go to BLM. So I'll give you that.

My question is in North Dakota now,

25 percent of our revenue stream is from oil and so
it's a pretty important industry to North Dakota,
and we hear a lot of rumblings coming out of
Washington from, you know, the EPA and the BLM on
the topic of fracturing. And when I'm here
tonight, I hear that the BLM is working on
fracturing.

My question is -- and I think it was partially answered earlier, but how closely are the

EPA and the BLM working on fracturing, because I know in North Dakota what would happen if we had two agencies who were working on the same thing, we'd cut their appropriation in half and tell them to get together. But --

(Laughter.)

MR. DUANE Dekrey: So I'm kind of concerned with the first part of your answer was that you're maybe not talking that much because I'm kind of getting the impression from this meeting that the BLM is, you know, pretty happy with fracturing and thinks it's pretty safe, but that's not what we're hearing out of Washington on the EPA side. And so how much coordination are the two agencies doing?

MR. WORDEN: Your question is how much are the two agencies coordinating. As another federal agency that deals with oil and gas production, we are -- we work with our federal agencies. I can say that we don't meet regularly, but we do have input as another federal agency that would be affected by the -- by any rule or process that they would consider.

MR. WARD: Thank you.

And we'll have a question from the

1 | microphone on the left.

MS. MARIE HOFF: My name is Marie Hoff.

I'm a member of Dakota Resource Council. I'm also

currently serving as the chair of our board.

And there's been a lot of technical discussion here today. I have a rather more global question that also touches on some of what I might call the human side of what's going on in our state. I come from -- my professional background is social services and so I have an interest in the human aspect.

I think it would be very hard to overestimate the total impact of the current oil and gas industry on the whole state of North Dakota, but particularly the western and northwestern part of our state, in terms of not only the physical aspect of our state, the water and the soil and so on, but -- and it was mentioned here earlier by other people about having interests, such as wildlife and tourism and so on, represented here, and I would certainly support those concerns and understand that in any public forum only so many sides can be heard.

But my question, really, and a comment to explain it is with regard to the current pace of

the oil and gas development in North Dakota, is what is the big hurry? It's not as though our state is broken and, yet, we have all this seemingly sense of we've got to get this stuff out of here as fast as we can; whereas, the planning that's needed even for, say, the physical infrastructure for roads, that the farmers and the ranchers are not consulted and given sufficient time to have some input and how is this going to affect them on their land.

The companies must know how many people you typically employ on any given oil exploration project. And why isn't there planning going on with the local communities about how much housing is needed? Someone made some somewhat passing remarks earlier about the impact on -- on local water and I think what they were implying is the issue of even the sewage disposal and local water treatment and so on in local communities.

I also know that there's -- in many countries around the world when huge numbers of men go into work fields where there are, excuse me, no women around, you know, they're setting up the man camps for people to live in. The news out of the Dickinson area is that the domestic violence has

really exploded in that part of our state. And I know as someone who's worked in child welfare that child abuse is also part of domestic violence in those cases where there are children in the situation and children suffer for the rest of their lives when they are abused.

And why is the State not slowing down this process so that you can plan with your local communities that there's housing, that there's sewage, that there are schools, that there are social services, and et cetera? I mean I don't have to talk about what all of those needs are.

You know what they are. But why are we in such a hurry?

This oil, as you say, is going to be there. The state is not broken, and I really do not believe that the State of North Dakota has enough resources in -- excuse me, in place to be able to adequately regulate and monitor what's going on in the situation.

So why are we not pacing it at the pace that we can actually monitor and regulate it and provide the -- the physical and the social infrastructure for this?

Yeah, so that's kind of my question and

1 comment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The last thing I'd like to say is there's been a lot of commentary here this evening that touches on the idea of local versus national ability to monitor and manage our natural resources, and particularly with respect to the role of the EPA, and I would just like to say that although I -- since I belong to a grassroots democratic organization, we certainly support and recognize the need for local control and the local people usually do know their own situation the best. I think the farmers and ranchers up here really are witnesses to that, but at the same time we are part of one country. And I do believe that there is a very significant and legitimate role for the National Environmental Protection Agency to regulate the air and the water of this entire country and that they should be significantly included and not be bad-mouthed, for lack of a better word, about the role of the national government in securing our safe air and water.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: Do we need to respond to that?

I don't -- I think that that was well spoken. Any

comments? Okay. Myron.

MR. HANSON: You questioned the speed of why this is taking place. And, you know, from a personal perspective and talking to landowners and various individuals out there, it comes down to money and the lease money that these oil companies are paying.

You know, the lease money has gone from 250 or 300 dollars an acre to -- the last conversation I had with one of our members, he was offered \$2,000 an acre on a three-year lease.

Well, if an oil company is paying \$2,000 an acre, they want to drill that lease out before it expires.

So now if you spread this across the whole of western North Dakota with these increased bonuses and the need to drill out those leases, they need to get it done because they don't want to spend that money a second time if those leases expire.

MR. WARD: You could argue that's the tail wagging the dog, though. It depends on how you want to -- how you -- you could reframe how you do leases. Okay.

We'll take a question from over there.

MR. MARK BOHRER: I have some comments here. My name is Mark Bohrer. I'm here as a board member of the Ground Water Protection Council.

I'll offer some comments that dovetail very nicely with one of the slides Mr. Eberhard had earlier today.

The states have regulated oil and gas production for over 80 years, including that part of the drilling process so much in the news today, hydraulic fracturing. While all state agencies have web sites dedicated to providing the public information about what they do, how they do it and how to get more information, what has not existed until recently was a single public site for all states that contained useful information about the process of hydraulic fracturing, chemicals used, groundwater and multistate-related data.

There is a new web site out there called FracFocus, a joint venture of the Ground Water Protection Council and the Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission, which meets these needs.

Launched on April 11, fracfocus.org is a groundbreaking web site that will help the public get immediate answers to questions and concerns related to hydraulic fracturing of oil and gas

wells in their community, regardless of where they live. FracFocus, although supported by all states, exists independent from any one state and does not supplant state rules and regulations.

Companies will submit information on chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing to fracfocus.org. The information will be reported using a template that describes the location of the individual wells, the API number, fracture date, operator name, well name and number, latitude and longitude, true vertical depth of the well and the total volume of water used in the fracture procedure. Also reported will be the hydraulic fracturing fluid composition, including chemical trade name, supplier, purpose, chemicals used, chemical abstract service number, maximum ingredient concentration, percent by mass, and the maximum ingredient concentration in the hydraulic fracture fluid, also percent by mass.

Chemicals that meet the federal legal standard for confidential business information will be reported as such. Before using the site, companies must agree to that standard and request that the suppliers abide by it.

FracFocus will also offer the user

valuable information about groundwater hydrology and safety, how to get a private water well checked and suggestions on what types of chemicals to test for prior to drilling. There is information about those chemicals most commonly used in hydraulic fracturing, the proportions typically used and some of the federal laws that apply to disclosure.

There are also links to the USGS groundwater information, U.S. Department of Energy, U.S. EPA's National Center for Computational Toxicology, Integrated Risk Information System and hydraulic fracturing web sites. It also links to the National Institute of Standards and Technology and the joint OSHA/U.S. EPA Occupational Chemical Database.

Although participation is voluntary, within the first week and one-half of going live, fracfocus.org had 31 oil and gas companies signed on to use it and 13 have uploaded fracturing data for 376 wells. The site has been accessed by the public over 15,000 times to date. Based on the level of interest, requests for assistance and encouragement from the state regulatory agencies, we expect that number to increase significantly. A list of participating companies can also be found

on the web site itself.

The public information portion of the site is dynamic and will be expanded to include more useful information in the weeks and months ahead.

We would like to thank the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy for its encouragement and support in building what we hope will become a destination site for citizens interested in or concerned about drilling activity in their community.

Those are my comments and I'd be happy to leave those with the Bureau of Land Management.

MR. WARD: Yeah. Actually, if you could stay on the microphone because I've got a number of questions and it seems like you're the subject matter expert. So about the disclosure web site, who comprises it, runs it, funds it and what chemicals are disclosed and who decides what should be disclosed and what is the official governing body? You mentioned it in the letter, but I think it needs to be explicit as to --

MR. MARK BOHRER: The web site was a collaborative effort between the Ground Water Protection Council and the Interstate --

MR. WARD: Which is -- which is?

MR. MARK BOHRER: It's made up of member 1 2 states. They typically involve agencies involved in surface water and/or injection practices. 3 4 MR. WARD: So Dennis would be a member 5 of --MR. MARK BOHRER: His agency is a member 6 of the Ground Water Protection Council, yes. 7 MR. WARD: Okay. 8 9 MR. MARK BOHRER: They're -- it's a state 10 regulatory industry -- focus group and, of course, the Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission is 11 12 made up of the oil and gas states. It was a collaborative effort to solve this issue of 13 14 disclosure and there was a work group of industry 15 representatives that worked together with the Ground Water Protection Council and IOGCC to 16 17 develop this web site for voluntary disclosure. MR. WARD: And, Lynn, are you a member of 18 19 the IOGCC, or your agency? 20 MR. HELMS: North Dakota has been a member since 1953. The IOGCC, the members are the 21 22 governors of the oil- and gas-producing states. 23 MR. WARD: And you work for the governor and so by that --24

MR. HELMS: Correct.

MR. WARD: All right. Okay.

MR. MARK BOHRER: And they developed this web site to help solve this issue of chemical disclosure related to hydraulic fracturing.

MR. WARD: So is your site capable of managing tens of thousands of wells per year and how much is it going to cost and who's going to pay for it? And who's supposed to do it?

MR. MARK BOHRER: The -- there is a contractor who developed the web site and is right now in charge of maintaining it. Initially, it was funded with money from the U.S. Department of Energy. There is ongoing discussions as to what the -- who is going to pay for this maintenance in the long term. There has been some discussion of possibly industry paying for it since it's their data. That has not been decided.

Technologically, I think it can handle all the information that is going to be uploaded to it. The contractors that worked on it have developed a database for many of the oil and gas states in the country, so they are very intimate in the knowledge of what goes on in the oil and gas industry, and some of those databases for some of these large states are very large and very complicated.

```
MR. WARD: Okay. And, lastly, how much
1
 2
     have you paid these contractors to open up this
 3
     site and get it going?
              MR. MARK BOHRER: That, I do not know.
 4
 5
              MR. WARD: Is it millions, hundreds of
     thousands?
6
7
              MR. MARK BOHRER: It's not millions and
     I'd say it's not hundreds of thousands, but I
8
9
     honestly don't know.
10
              MR. WARD: So it's not onerous in terms of
     its costs?
11
              MR. MARK BOHRER:
12
                                No.
              MR. WARD: Okay. Because I think that's
13
14
     what was implied in that question. That's why I
15
     asked.
              Thank you.
16
17
              MR. MARK BOHRER: You're welcome.
              MR. WARD: Question from this mike.
18
              MR. VERLE REINICKE: Verle Reinicke. I
19
20
     have three questions, if I may, for Donny and also
     for Myron. What is the bonding level of
21
22
     reclamation processes for wells on the BLM land?
23
              MR. NELSON: I believe it's 10,000 per
     well or -- just a second. I think I've got it
24
```

right here. Make sure. 10,000 per well, 50,000

- statewide or 150,000 blanket bond nationwide, which
 means if you put down 150,000 blanket bond, you can
 drill as many wells as you want.
- 4 MR. VERLE REINICKE: Has that been updated since this most recent boom has started?
- 6 MR. NELSON: I think this was established 7 in 1973, according to this.
- 8 MR. VERLE REINICKE: Well, I mean does it 9 make sense for those --
- MR. NELSON: No, it doesn't.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 11 | MR. VERLE REINICKE: -- to be updated?
- MR. NELSON: Yeah, it makes sense to be updated. It doesn't make any sense that it hasn't been updated in that --
- MR. VERLE REINICKE: And who's responsible for doing that?
- MR. NELSON: Well, I believe that would be 18 BLM.
 - MR. VERLE REINICKE: And, lastly, what happens when the -- to the bonds when the boom dies and what happens to the well?
 - MR. NELSON: Well, if it goes like it has in North Dakota through some of the others, usually the player -- as the wells draw down and they're not producing as much, they get sold off and you --

- to smaller companies or they'll be basically 1 investor companies, several people getting 3 together.
- 4 Then you have a problem if they default, then the State -- or excuse me. With BLM, it would be different; right? I'm thinking of the private. Then it would be State that would be liable for it if there's -- if that bond doesn't cover it.
 - MR. VERLE REINICKE: Is that the kind of thing that John was talking about a while ago in terms of passing these off?
- 12 MR. NELSON: Yes.
- 13 MR. VERLE REINICKE: Like selling 14 mortgages?
 - (Laughter.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MR. VERLE REINICKE: And is the same problem obtained with selling off these leases as obtains with selling off mortgages? That may not be fair.
 - MR. WARD: There are a couple of questions that have that implication. We should answer that carefully. In other words, does the public end up holding the bag for this development down the line because the companies don't have the money or the bonds aren't sufficient or -- or the contamination

1 | is so great?

MR. NELSON: Yes. That's what happens if the bonds aren't sufficient is the State or you and I as taxpayers become liable. And I think, Lynn, I'd like him to kind of talk on this a little. I think he has done some research on what -- in the previous booms, I don't think they reclaimed like they should, and we have a lot of what they called temporarily abandoned wells out there that are sitting there that I think -- for many, many years. We have them on our place.

In fact, I'm just going to tell a little story is when we had Texaco that was in the Blue Buttes oil field, Amerada Hess at that time, which is now called Hess, took it over and we had to show them the well sites. They didn't even know about them.

So, I mean, there's a lot of that potential for the public ending up having to pay for these, and I -- I would hope that this boom with as many wells as is being drilled they would do a better job of it. And I'll let you talk, Lynn.

MR. JUDICE: Could I first interject? The bonding amount is \$10,000 per lease on a lease

1 basis, 25,000 for state and 150,000 nationwide.

2 | Bonding is an assurance, not insurance. It is a

3 means that the Bureau places an amount that is in

4 good faith that operations would be done in a

any other unique environmental issues.

5 prudent fashion.

We have the ability to raise bond amounts. We frequently do bond reviews. The regulations require that we take a look at the bonds, and those factors include the history of previous violations, the location and depths of the wells, the number -- the total number of wells involved by an operator, the age and production capability of the field and

We have frequently raised bond amounts based on those criteria. We take a look at that very intently. But the bond amount is also a means of controlling compliance, if there were issues. For a \$25,000 statewide bond, it may not seem like a lot, but if an operator were to default or they would not comply with the requirements that BLM has asked and we had to reduce that bond amount and attach it to do the work ourselves, that means that every other well that wasn't tied to it no longer is -- has the rights to produce.

So an operator does not want to -- at all

want to have his bond reduced or adjusted because
it has significance. If we were to bond at actual
amounts, we'd be looking at, you know, bonding
Whiting at, you know, a couple hundred million
dollars just to cover actual operations, and that's
not the intent of what bonding is.

MR. VERLE REINICKE: You make -- I like words, so you made the distinction between insurance and assurance. So there is a level that as it works practically there is some -- there are teeth in this that allow for oversight and regulation?

MR. JUDICE: Very much so.

MR. VERLE REINICKE: Okay. Thank you.

MR. NELSON: I'd like to respond a little bit to that too. Now, a lot of people don't probably understand with a bond, they don't put up -- or a lot of times you don't put up that amount of money on a bond. They go out and basically put up 1 to 2 percent of it and buy -- and an insurance is basically -- the insurance does it; right?

MR. JUDICE: It's a surety company --

MR. NELSON: Mm-hmm.

MR. JUDICE: -- that you can purchase --

MR. NELSON: So they wouldn't put up 2 hundred million. Or they don't even put up usually, you know, 150,000. They can go into an insurance company and only put up probably 2 percent if those companies also look at whether they're good actors or bad actors. And that's what you're saying, you can raise them on somebody that you think might be a bad actor; right?

MR. JUDICE: If they have those criteria as being -- having previous violations and other issues, we have the ability to raise it. But the -- the bond amount, regardless of its -- a CD, a certificate of deposit, if it's real money or if it's a surety company that posts it, it's still that total amount that is the government's assurance that activities are going to transpire, and that is something for the public's protection.

MR. NELSON: But what I'm getting at is a lot of times they'll say, We're putting up all this money as a bond and it's a hardship, when it actually isn't is my point, I guess.

MR. WARD: There are a couple of -- more questions for the BLM. And this gets into what your role is. Mule deer have declined significantly in Wyoming. What -- what is BLM

doing, if anything, to keep it from happening here?

Does BLM get involved in the -- in produced water,

particularly if it's spilled all over the surface

at concentrations of 200,000 TDS? That would be

quite an issue.

And BLM, if you -- concerning well inspections by BLM or state departments, resources, if it was designated a high-risk well, what would be the frequency of an on-site well inspection?

So sort of three questions. One is around mule deer and wildlife in general and BLM's role there, and how do you get involved in spill operations and cleaning up and then the third is site visits.

MR. JUDICE: Each and every well requires an environmental document to be written. And in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, we look at all aspects of the environment of what that action would potentially impact. We analyze all of that in a -- in this document, and any mitigating measures are there to -- are necessary to reduce any potential impacts to a nonsignificant status.

So we look at -- at all aspects of the environment. It's looked at in this environmental

assessment and then decisions are made out of there on how to go about any development so as not to have those -- those impacts occur.

We look cumulatively, also, in these documents to recognize that it's just not one well, but it's several wells. So it looks at more of a landscape look at -- at all impacts. So these environmental documents are very valuable to the decision-makers in BLM to -- when they allow these actions to proceed. When --

MR. WARD: A related question just on that. Is there a community impact statement relating to the issue of how fast and rapidly things are going in schools and roads, et cetera?

Does BLM do anything in terms of community effect?

MR. JUDICE: There is no --

MR. WARD: No provision for that?

MR. JUDICE: No.

To speak to spills, anytime there is a spill related to a federal action, we have a process involved that there are requirements in the regulations to notify us within 24 hours and -- on major situations. There is a response team that we have in place here in North Dakota that takes action, and -- and we work closely with the state

and local agencies so to -- if there is lands that are affected outside of our jurisdiction.

When -- the question about well inspection, the criteria, each and every well that's received a high rating is inspected at least annually, and that goes for the high-end rating that's given for when a well is in a drilling status, if a -- when a well is in production and when a well is abandoned.

MR. WARD: And how is that coordinated with the states or how do the states respond to that?

MR. JUDICE: We -- we manage those wells on federal lands and -- and the State has their inspection staff also.

MR. WARD: So those wells might be inspected twice?

MR. HELMS: Those wells will be inspected twice. We feel that it's important for the citizens of North Dakota that regardless of whether those wells are located on federal trust lands, fee lands, state lands, that they should be inspected and held to the standards of the -- the state oil and gas rules. We have to work through the Bureau of Land Management and BIA if there's an

enforcement action on trust minerals or some of those cases, but we -- as Donny talked about pushing us, we push them.

And we use something called the Risk Based Data Management System. It was developed by the Ground Water Protection Council and used by 30 state oil and gas agencies. It allows us to evaluate the risk of any individual well. We think during the drilling phase it's the most risky, and we visit that drilling rig no less than once a week, sometimes twice a week during part of its phase.

Saltwater wells are higher risk. Our goal is to visit them monthly. And oil- and gas-producing wells, less risk. We visit them on a bimonthly or quarterly basis. We haven't been able to do the well visits with the number of rigs, but to credit the legislature and the governor, they're going to beef up our staff to where we can get back to that standard of weekly rig visits, monthly saltwater facility visits and at least quarterly producing well visits.

MR. WARD: Just in line because there was a question that referenced that, does the severance tax support your department? In other words, does

the ramp-up of this oil and gas development also end up paying for your services or is that done separately?

MR. HELMS: I guess in an indirect way, it does. We are a general fund agency --

MR. WARD: Okay.

MR. HELMS: -- so we are not dependent on a mill levy on oil production or gas production or permit fees or anything like that for our funding. We're funded by the citizens of North Dakota, and I think that's a good way to do it.

In its wisdom, the State of North Dakota set up a system where permit fees, certain fines and things that we do from enforcement actions go into something called the Abandoned Well Restoration Fund. And so if, for example, the bond is inadequate to cover the plugging and abandonment and there isn't a responsible party that we can go after, there are a few million dollars of moneys sitting in that fund to take care of those problems. So we don't anticipate having to go back to our citizens and ask them to deal with that.

MR. WARD: Great. We'll take a question from the right side.

MS. ALEXIS DUXBURY: Good evening. My

name is Alexis Duxbury, and I'm coming up here to
follow up on some earlier questions and statements.

There was a lot of discussion -- there's been a lot of discussion on local and state control versus, I'm assuming, federal control, and I wanted to get a few things clarified on that because I think some of our discussions have been pretty far widely ranging.

First, I notice that the title of this whole forum is Hydraulic Fracturing on Public Lands. And I want to know right now from the BLM, are you contemplating allowing the state or local authorities to manage the federal lands? Is that part of this discussion?

And to the state folks up there, I'm asking you do you really think that you should manage the federal lands?

If you're suggesting that, I think I would have a real bone of contention with that because I don't think the state authorities are the same as the federal authorities.

So I would appreciate answers from kind of both perspectives.

MR. WORDEN: We are not here to -- to see if the states want to regulate the public lands.

We -- we are here to get North Dakota's people's opinions of hydraulic fracturing.

We continue to regulate the public lands, as I've described them in my presentation. What we're -- we also have is -- since it's oil and gas, is dual jurisdiction over oil and gas regulations where the operators must comply with both sets of regulations.

MR. HELMS: I -- I would agree with that and, no, the State of North Dakota is not proposing that it take over management of the federal lands in the state of North Dakota.

On the opposite side of that coin, however, is that we are very resistant to increased federal regulation of things like hydraulic fracturing which we have been dealing with, as I said, for decades and believe that we do a good job. We also are extremely accessible, as I can tell you from my e-mail records and my phone records.

But we're proud of the record we have and so we're not proposing taking over management of the federal lands. On the other hand, we are adamant that the federal agencies should not take over aspects of oil and gas that we are currently

regulating.

MR. WARD: Okay. And just a quick question, and this goes back to the whole hydraulic fracturing discussion and this -- and the Ground Water Protection Council. If fracking fluid is so safe, why have the companies been so determined not to tell us what they contain? Proprietary interest says a claim should be overridden by public safety concerns.

I guess this goes to Halliburton. Why has it taken so long to come up with this forum and this format?

MR. EBERHARD: The -- one of the comments made earlier on -- on disclosure and fluids is,
Well, just patent them. Well, the patent process is a very cumbersome process and it takes years to do, and that's -- we're -- everybody's in business.
So it's lots easier to just remain -- have something remain proprietary. Just don't let it out if you can.

The issue on disclosure, again, for 60 years hydraulic fracturing has been used throughout the United States, over -- well over a million frack jobs have been conducted in that time frame. Not once is there a documented occurrence

of hydraulic fracturing causing contamination of any aquifer anywhere in the United States. This has been borne out by numerous studies and evaluations.

So the concern is why are you disclosing or not disclosing on something that is not a problem? The problem is becoming -- there's a lot of rhetoric, there's a lot of discussions, Well this could happen. That could happen. It hasn't. So there wasn't a need for the disclosure discussion. It's now become one.

Again, if there's a spill on surface, the MSDS takes care of everything you need to know about that spill. What are the proper medical procedures? What are the proper protective equipment used to handle that chemical? No different than any other chemical industry in the United States.

So the -- the concern has been -- we can't even keep up because it's been -- it's gone from, Well, you're going to contaminate aquifers and the fracks are going to get in there to, Well, now what about a surface spill? There are chemicals -- this is a farming community. There are chemicals out there every day that get hauled around that you

really don't want to have where it's not supposed to be dispersed.

The Ground Water Protection Council, the voluntary disclosure is one way we're doing that. States have always regulated this. If there's ever been an issue, no company has ever said, "We won't tell you what there is." If it's a surface incident or a downhole incident, if somebody comes and says, "We think your well's" -- "my well's contaminated. We need to know what you pumped," that information is readily available. We will tell anybody what they need to know to investigate that.

That's not the problem. There's never been a problem with that, and that was the direction that Colorado Oil and Gas took is if there's an incident, then if it's a medical emergency, we have 24-hour hotlines that can be called right now and you can find out what you need to know as a medical professional or as a government representative. So it's not like you can't find it out.

The problem is once you put something in public record, then it becomes public record and

anybody can, again, spend millions of dollars

trying to develop chemistry. The comment was made,

Well, if it makes a better well, why don't we give

it to everybody? We're in this business to make

money, just like everybody else is. So if I have a

competitive advantage, it's to my advantage. I can

generate more revenue and return shareholder value.

That's why we do the -- do the research and such.

9 So it's a protection of that.

It's not that -- if something happens, it's not that anybody is sitting here saying, "No, we're not going to let you know what it is." It's just that it gives you the opportunity to go to that operator and say, "I can make a better well than the other guy can." And with that, he'll pay you a little bit more money. And that's what we're in business for.

The -- so I guess, again, to answer the question as concisely as I can, because I've rambled here, is if there's an incident, no company out there is going to say, "We won't tell you what there is." But once you put something in public domain, the public Right to Know Act kicks in. So that's where the concern is out there.

The Ground Water Protection Council allows

- you to do disclosure of most everything and if you have a new chemical that you want to hold under a confidential status, you can do that. WD-40® has proprietary chemicals. It's a -- they don't have anything on their can if you ever go look at WD-40®. Coca-Cola®, I mean that's an obvious example.
 - But there has not been any contamination of an aquifer anywhere in the United States as a result of hydraulic fracturing. Oil and gas operations, yes; hydraulic fracturing, no. So --
- MR. WARD: So in the interest of time,
 because you are rambling.

8

9

10

- MR. EBERHARD: It's something I'm very passionate about.
- MR. WARD: You can tell he's really passionate about it.
- We'll go to the -- oh, I'm sorry.
- 19 Appreciate it. Thank you for keeping track.
- MS. KANDI MOSSETT: Dosha. Hello,
- 21 | friends. My name is Kandi Mossett. I am Mandan,
- 22 | Hidatsa, Arikara. Originally from New Town, now
- 23 | right here in Bismarck. And I'm here speaking on
- 24 | behalf of the Indigenous Environmental Network, as
- 25 | well as the youth that I work with in 34 tribal

colleges across the country.

And I hear a lot about hydraulic fracturing and the groundwater and everything that's happening underneath and we're so far down that everything's staying underneath the shale, but we don't talk about -- about everything that's happening on the surface, everything that's happening with that truck traffic.

We have pictures of trucks driving down the road with their valves open, putting that frack water right onto the highways, and spills, illegal dumping, almost every day, on a daily basis, which I'm sure Fred can attest to and talk about.

And I want to know what the fines are, the minimums and maximums to date, that have been ticketed to different companies, both on and off the reservation, for these types of spills and illegal dumping and illegal contamination because we can see with our own eyes, whether or not we're scientists, that this is contaminating the surface.

When you see big puddles that go all the way over to a field with hay in it that are also in the same puddle as a fracking oil rig, all the water just mixing together, that hay is going to be fed to cows later on that we're going to eat, cows

that we make our living with.

When our own tribal police pull over trucks and they can't do anything about it, how is the State going to step in and do something about it? With this truck traffic, we have a surplus in the state of North Dakota of money. We keep hearing about how great the state of North Dakota is doing economically, yet at home on the reservation our roads are crumbling. They're falling apart and people are being literally driven off the roads, like my cousins. My cousin was with her baby and was driven off the road.

And so what are the regulations that are happening with that? And I'd like to do both on and off the reservation.

MR. WARD: Thank you. So I guess we could start with the BLM and then go to the states. And this comes back to the earlier question about, you know, pace and the onslaught of this activity. And this question came back up, you know, the rush to drill and the need to -- and the need to plan so that -- so that we don't end up with the situations like this and if it's lease cost that is pushing everything, you know, is there a mechanism to change the -- the approach so that we can adjust

the social impact and the community impact? I think that's what the question is alluding to and related to.

Yep. So, BLM, what is your social role?

MR. JUDICE: Okay. I may need some help

from Lonny on this, but, in general, actions

that -- on trust lands that affect the surface are

a joint ownership with the Bureau of Indian

Affairs. They're not here to be a party to this

discussion, but they play a role in -- on trust

lands, especially as it regards to surface impacts.

We have a -- we at the BLM have a role on the lease and in lease operations, so we need to become aware of these violations. We would -- we need to work closely with our sister agency, the BIA, to come up with an effective way to handle these kinds of situations. First, it's knowledge that it's happening and -- and we will react with our agency to find the ownership of how to take care of that problem.

Lonny is coming to the mike.

MR. WARD: While Lonny gets to the mike, it would be good to hear from the states and from Whiting as well. Corporate social responsibility is something every company needs to pay attention

to, so that will come to Whiting and also the State
needs to think about how boom-and-bust cycles
affect communities and, you know, not only roads,
but public safety, schools, wastewater. All those
have come up. I don't know if we've adequately
answered that question yet.

So, Lonny, do you want to finish with BLM and then we'll give these guys a chance to think?

MR. BAGLEY: We -- we did respond to several spills on the reservation working in cooperation with the BIA. One of them was a spill that occurred where a truckdriver drove off location and got onto the road, Number BIA-14, and basically opened the valve and just dumped the whole truckload right there. And it did spread out across a field area. It actually was salty enough to where it caused the road to thaw out and cause a hazard on that road.

We worked with the BIA. We tried to help them or -- basically, more than help. We get out there and work with those operators and try to find a solution to how the operators can better work with those trucking companies and get -- get a better system down so it doesn't happen.

The -- the one -- the photos that you sent

to us about the truck were documented. It had the valve open. There was a line of about three trucks. Valve was open, just going right down the road. Unfortunately, from the photos and license plates and stuff, there was no way we could determine who that individual was. I think BIA is still looking into it, but it -- that becomes a difficult situation as well.

As far as any fines that are associated with that on the reservation, I'm not aware of those as the -- on the levy of fines. And whether or not the State can impose any fines on BIA roads, maybe on the highways -- the state highways, I'm not sure. We have no Department of Transportation person here to answer that. I don't know if you know, Lynn. But that question, I'm not sure what those fines would be. And on the reservation it would be fines levied probably by the BIA and I'm not really sure from my point as to what those might be.

MS. KANDI MOSSETT: Have there been fines assessed that you guys are aware of, Fred, both on the reservation and for off the reservation and what was the cost of those fines that have been assessed?

1 MR. BAGLEY: I'm not aware of any.

MR. HELMS: You're not aware of any

3 | from -- from the Bureau of Land Management?

MR. BAGLEY: Right.

MR. HELMS: Okay. Let me start with the saltwater hauler. Up until a few months ago, it was a largely unregulated practice or -- or industry. The -- there was a meeting at the Attorney General's Office with some of the saltwater haulers that have been in the state a long time and were concerned that their reputation was being damaged, also with oil and gas division people, Health Department people.

And just -- I can't give you an exact date, but a few months ago the Health Department instituted a program to license and bond the saltwater haulers as waste haulers in the state. So they now are subject to that.

And so if -- if you catch someone committing an illegal act like this, the Health Department does have some teeth now to revoke their license and to take action against them. That's something new because it just really became apparent to us maybe a year ago that this problem was occurring and there were holes in the

regulation in terms of dealing with that.

1

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 With regards to the spills, we are currently in a complaint process with at least 3 4 three operators regarding the release of hydraulic fracturing fluids into the environment. 5 I -because we're negotiating between lawyers, much of 6 that information is confidential, but I can tell 7 you that we're able to assess fines of \$12,500 a 8 day. And if there is -- I don't want to say 9 10 negligence. I'm trying to think of what the other term is -- well, a purposeful violation, if 11 somebody commits it on purpose and they knew 12 13 better, we can actually bring them up on Class C 14 felony charges. Those three complaints by 15 themselves are -- are approaching \$200,000 fines each. So we take this very seriously and we've 16 kept our attorneys pretty busy the last few months 17 with the oil and gas activity. 18

With regards to, you know, what's the hurry, it's a capitalistic society. It is money. It's being driven by mineral owners who want a well on their land. I do believe that there will be a slowdown once this first pulse of drilling and securing leases occurs.

25 The State had -- at best, would have a

very clumsy mechanism of slowing this down and people would be hurt in the process. There would be wells not drilled, people that wouldn't get to take advantage of their mineral ownership, and we don't have a good process for doing that. So capitalism rules.

I know two years ago when the legislature was in session oil price was less than \$40 a barrel. The rig count had fallen below 40 and I don't think any of us anticipated what came to our doorstep in 2010. I am proud of the way they've responded, not just with staff from my agency, but with over \$300 million for infra -- infrastructure reconstruction in the western part of the state.

On top of that, the State has funded housing studies. It has funded road and traffic studies and become -- gotten very aggressive about the study and the planning process and helping local communities get past this hump. I think the State is going to step in and help with the construction of at least a half a dozen wastewater treatment plants for the cities in the west.

So, you know, to -- to the State, the governor's credit and the legislature's credit, nobody could have predicted with where we were at

in 2009 what was coming in 2010 and 2011, but they're responding very dramatically at this point.

MR. WARD: Thank you.

And from the industry's perspective, where does your role and your responsibility begin and end with respect to social responsibility in the community?

MR. PANEITZ: Certainly, I have seen firsthand the aspects and results of illegal dumping down leased roads. I mean there's tremendous pressure by those saltwater haulers to turn around and go get the next load. But I can assure you that should we find out who that -- is doing that, they will be reported and they will never work for Whiting again.

And as far as the social aspects, I routinely will talk to landowners and mineral owners and it's not always just the wealthy individuals that have tremendous acres of land. It's those single moms and those retired ladies that are dependent upon those monthly checks to come in because -- there was one last -- about two weeks ago and she was dependent upon that monthly check because she had no pension. And I assured her that, yes, we will get her wells back going.

We've had a really rough winter, but they are back in operation. She was happy to hear that.

MR. FOX: That is one thing that the tribe is really concerned about is the -- one is the truck -- truck traffic and definitely the spilling that has occurred due to the amount of trucks that are hauling the water. Currently right now, the Three Affiliated Tribes is in the process of developing tribal oil and gas environmental codes specifically for these spills.

I did visit with Mr. Helms, I believe,

last week on -- on the issue and that -- knowing,

you know, that the tribe is very concerned about

this issue, and we -- we do have a list of all of

the operators that are currently -- that have -
have been negligent. I know Lynn didn't want to

say that, but I will say it, that they are

negligent in our reservation that seem to know that

the jurisdiction inside our Fort Berthold Indian

Reservation boundaries is currently, I guess, in

array -- disarray.

And right now that is one of the things that the tribal chairman is currently working on, with the jurisdiction of the roads and the truck traffic that is currently being out there. So that

is one of the top concerns right now is -- with the tribe right now.

MR. WARD: Great. Thank you very much.

And we'll have a --

MS. KANDI MOSSETT: Oh, I just have to say -- go on public record because you asked from the public what we thought about hydraulic fracturing. And I and the people I represent, the youth, that work on over 700 campuses across this country have said we do not agree or nor will we back up hydraulic fracturing because many of us will be here in 50 years while those that are making the decisions right now might not be.

And I just want to leave you with we can, as human beings, live without oil. We absolutely cannot live with contaminated water.

And with the truck traffic, I understand the fines and everything like that and money that comes in, economic development. I'm not naive.

But is it worth it? Absolutely not.

Two years ago my friend who was 23 years old was crushed by one of those trucks, and just a few months ago my 21-year-old friend was killed and had an accident with one of those trucks. Is it worth the human life of even one individual?

Absolutely not.

And so all of you think about if that was your child out there driving on that road and when you have your child's funeral, you couldn't even have a body, all you could have was a picture, because they were crushed. And you think about the potential of that person and the whole life that they had ahead of them wiped out and not even talked about or looked at because of the bigger picture of money. Think about if it's really worth what we're risking here for our future generations and not think about the next 10 years, but think about in 50 years if you can guarantee that our drinking water and our lands will be safe.

Think about that today when you go home and when you think about hydraulic fracturing because we have alternatives. We're just not putting the money into those technologies.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: Question from the right side.

MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: Thank you. I just had a question for Mr. Helms on the administrative code. I think it's -- is it 43-02-05? Is that right?

MR. HELMS: Yeah. 43-02-03 is the oil and gas code, 05 is underground injection control and 02 is subsurface minerals. Yep.

MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: So does 02-05 where the definition says it's for enhanced recovery of oil and natural gas, is that the applicable section?

MR. HELMS: Are you speaking about water injection for enhanced oil recovery? That would be under 43-02-05. Yes.

MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: Okay. So that's where my question comes from. Under the permitting requirements, 43-02-05-04, I think there's a whole long list of requirements for the permits. Are those -- are all those things -- once they're provided by the fracking agency or the fracking company, are those open records?

MR. HELMS: The answer to that would be yes. Everything that is provided under that regulation is an open record after six months.

There is a confidentiality period in the state of North Dakota for six months. So once that's in our files, six months after the well is spudded, then it becomes an open record.

MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: So under item ten,

- the quantitative analysis from a state-certified laboratory for the representative sample of water to be injected, that's a public record?
- 4 MR. HELMS: Yes, it is.

5

6

7

15

16

- MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: And then can you describe the detail of that quantitative analysis that's required there?
- MR. HELMS: There are -- and I should

 probably punt that to Dennis since what we do is go

 for the -- I guess, the standard analysis that they

 run at the state-certified laboratory for cations

 and anions and heavy metals. Do you want to

 comment on that as to what that standard

 quantitative analysis is?
 - MR. FEWLESS: Well, are -- we're talking
 Class II --
- 17 MR. HELMS: Yes.
- MR. FEWLESS: -- wells?
- 19 MR. HELMS: Class II wells.
- 20 MR. FEWLESS: And I guess I'm not sure
 21 exactly what the requirements are for testing on
 22 that. I would have to go to our UIC program to get
 23 that information. I don't have that right off the
 24 top of my head.
- 25 MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: Okay. Then the --

the concrete that's required, concrete casing for protection around the well, is that -- is that logged ahead of time? Is that provided to the oil and gas division beforehand?

MR. HELMS: It is. And that's a good question. The cement that's used is a hydraulic seal around these wellbores. We occasionally collect samples of that and submit them for compressive strength tests, but we generally just require those compressive strength tests to be kept by the operator as part of their records.

We require a cement bond log of every well in the state of North Dakota and we're maybe a little bit unusual in that before that well can go into production, we actually have a regulatory person review that cement bond log to make sure that there's adequate cement. If there's not, and in about 8 percent of the cases we find some -- something inadequate with regards to the wellbore construction, we will either require an increased level of monitoring or a repair.

We also require -- if there's defective casing identified in a well during a workover operation or something like that, that has to be reported to us. And we have assessed fines for

people who didn't report it, but defective casing
has to be reported and it has to be repaired.

MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: And you said that goes -- that's before it goes into production. How about before fracking?

MR. HELMS: Yes. Those cement bonds -- in fact, if you came to my office and you walked into Richard Suggs' office, you would be dismayed at the amount of logs sitting around because we have prioritized his work until we can get an engineering tech on staff to help him to review cement bond logs and make sure we have adequate cement before the wells are fracked. So he has been setting the logs aside and not picking log tops or formation tops, which is part of our database as well, and reviewing all of those cement bond logs before the wells are fracked.

MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: Okay. And before I sit down, I want to back up to one thing you guys have said. This is in reference to Class II. Is that the disposal wells?

MR. HELMS: That particular section is in reference to Class II, which is disposal wells or enhanced recovery injection wells. But we don't require all of those quantitative analyses of every

producing well, but we require the same cement and casing practices on those. So part of our discussion is applicable to all wells and part of it just to Class II injection wells.

MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: Okay. Because -maybe you're going to have to clarify something for
me because it says underground injection of -meaning the subsurface emplacement of fluids for
enhanced recovery of oil and natural gas. So I
would take that to mean this applies to fracking
wells as well.

MR. HELMS: Well, let me respond to that. The Energy Policy Act of 2005 clarified that and said no, that does not apply to hydraulic fracturing. That applies to permanent emplacement of fluids in the subsurface, but hydraulic fracturing is exempted from the underground injection control program through the Energy Policy Act of 2005. So we have a separate set of regulations that deal with that, and those are found in 43-02-03.

MR. JAMES LENNINGTON: Okay. Thank you.

MR. WARD: Over here.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I would like to go back to the question that was submitted earlier about

the other industries and the other agencies, the --1 the Game and Fish and tourism, because it kind of 3 got short-shrifted. And a question, I think, for 4 Lynn Helms and for the BLM both, are you guys 5 talking to the other agencies that are responsible for -- for the other industries in western North 6 Dakota? Do you talk to the state parks and state 7 tourism and Game and Fish and the agricultural 8 9 commissioner, Lynn? And, BLM, do you talk to the 10 Forest Service about the multiple uses of the grasslands and how you can work with them, you 11 12 know, to protect things like the Maah Daah Hey 13 Trail or deer hunting on the grasslands and -- and 14 those kinds of things? What's the communication, 15 both at the state level and at the federal level, with those agencies to make sure that the impacts 16 17 because of this really rapid development are being dealt with, and then -- and should there even be 18 19 maybe a task force or something that looks at those 20 kinds of things at the state level and -especially the state level because of how quickly 21 22 things are going? 23 MR. HELMS: Well, thank you for asking

that question. And it is never all that it could

be, I can assure you that, but I -- I'm happy to

24

25

respond that Dave Glatt, who is the -- the environmental -- oh, I've forgotten his title now.

MR. FEWLESS: Chief of the Environmental Health Section.

MR. HELMS: -- Chief of the Environmental Health Section at the Health Department, Terry Steinwand at Game and Fish and myself are planning to meet as soon as the legislative session is over and start discussing the overall impacts of a lot of these aspects. It will be a lot like an environmental assessment, but on a statewide basis because there are some documents that Terry has to get through and take a look at and respond to and he needs our assistance in that.

So we try to have quarterly meetings with the Health Department. It ends up being about once a year with Game and Fish and it needs to be more often and more frequent, and I understand that. So that's the extent of our interaction. Hopefully that helps.

With regards to BLM, we have monthly contact with the BLM regulators. They come over for all of our monthly hearings and so I get to see Mike Nash and Dan Lopez on a monthly basis, and we sit down and have a discussion about what's

happening in each other's world.

The really tough problem and the one that Kandi brought up is that jurisdictional issue at that exterior boundary of the reservation where police powers and regulatory powers and stuff just don't mesh, and we need an agreement there in order to make that work better.

My guys quite often -- and I've even reached out to the FBI and always make an attempt to meet the new FBI agents when they move into the state so that we have a go-to person. If we see some type of illegal activity on the reservation lands, my field inspectors have a contact person that they can report that to so that we can hopefully get it taken care of in a timely basis.

Lonny had a comment.

MR. BAGLEY: In regards to the Forest

Service, when the APD comes in, the surface use

plan is peeled off from our review and given to the

Forest Service for their review. There is an

entire comment period that they go through as well.

And on wells that are -- where we have BLM surface or split estate, our wildlife biologist in our office coordinates with not only the North Dakota Game and Fish, but also Fish & Wildlife

Service. So we get a full gamut of what the impacts are going to be and what actions we need to impose on the operator's condition of approval to either protect a special species or endangered species or even just in issues where Fish & Wildlife Service has grassland easements, we work with them to try to locate the well away from those easements, if possible, and to better-off what those needs are as well.

So we do a lot of coordination with the Forest Service and the state agencies.

MR. WARD: We have a lot of people in the queue and we're running short on time, so I'm going to ask you to make your comments quickly and we'll just go back and forth. I'm not sure who's up.

Oh, go ahead.

MS. THEODORA BIRD BEAR: Yes. My name is Theodora Bird Bear. I'm from Mandaree.

I just had a couple comments. I wanted to say that Petro-Hunt's spill of oil and thousands, if not millions, of gallons of saltwater into Lake Sakakawea affected our drinking water. We have three water intake systems; that that water was north of Keene and that water flowed down to us and moved out. Some toddlers on Fort Berthold drank

that water. Some elders drank that water. So that

2 does impact us directly. We are directly impacted.

3 And I'm sure that saltwater probably contained

4 | frack water as well. So they drank that spilled

5 | wastewater. That's my comment about that.

The second comment is in regards to the BIA programmatic environmental assessment for oil and gas on Fort Berthold and I believe that has to do with fracking. It's related to fracking.

That's a federal -- the BIA is a federal agency and so is BLM, and under NEPA the public has the right to have access to the programmatic documents.

There is a 30-day comment period which started on Monday. The five-day public hearings ends three

days before the end of the comment period.

I and another individual, a tribal member, have both contacted the -- they're called TEC.

They're an agency -- a company or something that represents the EPA. They -- I asked -- we both asked for the programmatic agreement. They've only sent us the public notice and a map and a list -- schedule of the hearings, which we already have.

They did not provide the programmatic agreement, and I believe they -- that is not in compliance with NEPA. So we don't have time to prepare

1 | comments.

If there's -- I noticed also in that public -- the public scoping notice, there was no indication at all that any of the documents would be released to the public. I'm sure that BLM is a part of that process and I want BLM to know that.

Thank you.

MR. WARD: Okay.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I don't really have a question. I have more of a comment. I appreciate, first, the opportunity to speak here as a citizen, as a resident of North Dakota, and to be heard.

I thank Don Nelson very much for succinctly stating what I could not have said, but which you spoke for me. I appreciate that. You included factors that -- that were a concern, like water consumption, disclosure and regulation.

At present it seems that -- hopefully it will change if it's true, that the state regulatory commission seems to need a little pushing to act, and that would make it appear more like it's representing oil industry versus North Dakota residents.

Paraphrasing Mr. Nelson again, he did say, as several other people have said, let's slow down.

I'm a mother and a grandmother. I'd like to leave
a legacy to my children and their children and
their children that we can be proud of to give
them.

Somebody mentioned the harsh conditions, excuse me, on the reservation and somebody else said, Well, you know, capitalism, and I understand that. I live here. But we mentioned a couple of other words tonight. We mentioned integrity of wells. I'd like to apply that integrity to each of us, to each of our companies.

(Applause.)

AUDIENCE MEMBER: And the other word I'd like to add and maybe it was said, but accountability. It can't be all about capitalism. I mean I hope to God that it's more than that. We are one country.

I agree with another speaker. If everything is as good as you all are saying it is, what the heck do we have to be afraid of? I don't -- I don't get that.

And I guess I'd like to urge everybody
else -- I'm not involved in any particular way.

Just as I said, I'm here representing myself, but I know that it's a big topic and that the residents

of North Dakota all need to get involved and do some homework of their own and get the information they need to be knowledgeable.

And thank you very much.

MR. WARD: Thank you.

MS. LISA OMLID: My name is Lisa Omlid.

I'm a resident of Bismarck. I'm here with a comment and a question.

First, I urge the Bureau of Land

Management to require the broadest possible

disclosure of the chemicals used in hydraulic

fracturing. While some of the substances involved

in fracking are edible, such as citric acid, others

are dangerous, corrosive and even carcinogenic.

We, the public, are currently prevented from knowing the exact composition of fracking fluids under the guise of trade secrets.

In the past, it's worth noting that other industries have used the trade secret shield in order to escape revealing their full use of asbestos, dioxin and the myriad of chemicals used in the past and currently included in cigarettes. Where there's a lot of money to be made, there's a big incentive to at least hide and to at most lie.

My question is directed to those of you

involved in the industry. How can we trust you?

How can we trust you to regulate yourself and not

3 lie to us?

(Applause.)

MR. EBERHARD: Well, that's an awful loaded question. The facts are the facts. The science is out there. The EPA's studying it again. I don't know what else to say. There -- the history -- everything, the science, everything supports this industry stance. So I don't know how to quell those fears. There's always going to be concern. Everybody has concern.

I guess, you know, I'm a -- I love to fish. I love to hunt. I love the outdoors. I grew up in Montana. I love everything you guys love. It's not -- so I don't know how to belay your fears, I really don't, if there was some way of doing it.

The -- again, the act of hydraulic fracturing, and most of -- all of this discussion today has nothing to do with hydraulic fracturing. That's an act. That's a function that's very short term on the well life. The spills on surface, all this stuff is not a function of hydraulic fracturing. The chemicals that are used do not

contaminate aquifers. They're not injected into aquifers. The oil and gas operations have issues, possibly, in some areas, but it's not the act of hydraulic fracturing.

So the -- we're -- that's why I don't know how to answer your question. The truth, the integrity, I feel I work for a very reputable company. The -- the press has a way of turning things around. You've heard about the exemption, the Halliburton loophole they call it. That had nothing to do with Halliburton. That was in effect -- in 1984 was when the first rule was put into effect under Bill Clinton and it had nothing to do with us.

So the -- the -- I don't know how to -- I really don't know how to answer your question other than we live here, we breathe here, we eat here.

If I felt I was doing something that was destroying the environment, believe me, I would not do it.

I have as much integrity as anybody in this room. I have kids. I have grandparents. I want them to have the same rights, the same abilities, the same freedoms as anybody else in this room. I want them to have the same future as anybody else in this room.

So when you ask me, I don't know what to say, other than I can tell you the science is behind us, the facts are behind us, the truth is behind us and I'm as -- I've got as much integrity as anybody else in this room.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: I think there's a common conflation. Hydraulic fracturing has created this revolution. Without hydraulic fracturing there would be no development up here. So it's created. And so in the public's minds, hydraulic fracturing is parallel to all the social impacts, the roads, everything gets balled up into hydraulic fracturing. You speak to an industry person, they think about something that occurs over a period of hours; at most, days.

And so I guess we just have to appreciate each other's views and honor each other's views and respect each other's views and understand that sometimes we talk like this. Okay.

Next.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you. So I just want to point out that the BLM is my land. It's all of our land. My taxes pay you to host this forum and manage my land. BLM is public land.

So I don't think it's irrational to be concerned about what is being pumped under, stored on or trucked across my land.

(Applause.)

AUDIENCE MEMBER: So while fracking is one -- one thing, chemicals are quite another, and so I think it's very responsible, actually, for us to be asking questions about what the chemicals are, not the process, but what's going in.

So my question is directed to Mike

Eberhard. If you claim that, you know, there's

no -- there's no contamination of soil or of air or

of water, how can anyone prove that anything has

been contaminated if you refuse to tell us what's

in hydraulic fracturing fluid?

(Applause.)

MR. EBERHARD: Well, for one thing, the air and -- I mean, again, as Richard just pointed out, if you want to talk about the oil industry as a whole, that's a whole different operation. Has there been discharge of fluids on surface? Yes. Produced water, flowback water, there's a lot of things. The act of hydraulic fracturing is not one of them.

The disclosure. If you have an issue, if

you think that there is a problem and you want to do testing, we'll be more than happy to tell you if we inject -- if we were on the well that was -- that we did the frack job and the operator has agreed to it, we'll be more than happy to tell you anything to look for in that water. And we've done that. And never once has there ever been any component of anything we've pumped showed up in a water well. So it's not that we won't do it.

It's --

And the disclosure, you can go to -- when we say proprietary, maybe 2 percent of the chemicals are proprietary. You can go to our web site, the voluntary disclosure, you can go and log on to halliburton.com and type on an MSDS and it will show you the gas number, the chemical and everything you need to know about that. It's all out there. There are only a few things. It's -- it's minimal the number of things that we hold under CBI status. Wyoming has a process and we initiate it and everything that we do in Wyoming, I know of five chemicals that have CBI status for one component in that chemical.

It's not -- there's not -- it's not this veil of secrecy that we're trying to hide behind.

- 1 | Keep in mind also that these chemicals --
- 2 | Halliburton doesn't manufacture chemicals.
- 3 | Somebody else makes them. So there's a process --
- 4 | all this stuff has to be -- is well regulated. No
- 5 different than hauling any kind of other chemicals
- 6 across interstates or anywhere else. It's all
- 7 there.

And if there's an issue with contamination

9 of a water well, of -- of an incident on surface

10 | where somebody needs to know, again, it's there.

11 | We'll let you know. We're not trying to hide --

12 | we're not -- we're not trying to -- what's the best

13 | way to put it? We're -- we're trying to be good

14 | neighbors, but we still have to protect business to

15 | some degree. So if there's an incident, we'll help

16 | you all we can.

17 | We've done water testing. We've looked

18 for stuff. Independent labs. We'll send them

19 | samples of what was used so you can validate across

20 them. And the thing is is that most of the time

21 | it's not the -- it's nothing that has to do with

22 | what went on downhole. It's some more shallower

23 | event that's going on that had nothing to do with

24 | what we did, so -- I mean we're there to help you,

25 | but --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can I just ask a 1 2 follow-up question? Thank you. 3 So are you saying that you -- you -- as a 4 private corporation, your proprietary interests can 5 single-handedly upend a basic of American value, which is government transparency for public good? 6 7 MR. EBERHARD: We're not the only ones that have it. Like I say, WD-40® is proprietary, 8 9 and I know people that use it on their arthritis. 10 They use it for fishing lures out in Sakakawea, spray it on there. 11 12 AUDIENCE MEMBER: So you're comparing hydraulic fracturing affluent with WD-40®? 13 MR. EBERHARD: Would that be affluent? 14 Ι 15 mean flow --AUDIENCE MEMBER: For frack fluid or frack 16 17 chemicals or frack water, produced water, drilling mud, whatever you want to call it. 18 19 MR. EBERHARD: Well, they're all separate. 20 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Are you comparing any of those to WD-40®? 21 22 MR. EBERHARD: I don't know of anybody that puts any of them on their wrist, but --23 24 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Precisely.

MR. EBERHARD: WD-40®, tell me what's in

25

it. No, I'm not. I'm saying that a small
component of all the stuff you see is what we call
proprietary. It's very limited.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: So smaller means not as dangerous?

MR. EBERHARD: I already said earlier today anything we come out with that's new is better for the environment than what we had before. Dangerous; you define dangerous. What is dangerous?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well --

MR. EBERHARD: You can't drink Windex®. I mean, again, we can -- you can go around into circles into circles and you define dangerous, define green, define edible. There's a million ways -- carcinogen, I heard the word carcinogen today. I've seen the list of 682 chemicals that Theo Colborn came out with. Do you know what one of those carcinogens is? Sand.

But on the MSDS you have to report silicosis and it's a possible carcinogen. That's sand. So this is what's going on. People -- I don't want to -- I'm going to be careful how I say this. I can take any bit of information and make it sound about as bad as you want it to sound. My

point is it's not -- we have people that work around this stuff and I think -- it's not -- people are thinking this toxic stuff is brewed up in the back room and, boy, I mean this stuff will melt through the earth if we really don't know what it's doing.

That's not the case at all. Most of this stuff comes from the -- the regular industry. We just adapt it. Soaps, biocides, friction reducers, all this stuff. Friction reducers, polyacrylamide farmers put on their -- poly -- on their fields to help water percolation. But the way you put it together, you don't want somebody else to know that because we can take the polyacrylamide and do something a little bit different to it. It's no different than what you're putting on land, but I don't want the other guy to know what I did to tweak that.

So I'm not -- it's not toxic stuff. This is stuff that, man, other than acids or the -- there's not very many things I wouldn't even just put on my hands because it's just not -- and I don't know how to belay the fears, again, because it's not -- I've worked with this stuff for years. I usually never even used PPE when I used it and

I'd be out there testing this stuff with my hands because it's not that bad.

It's just there's this -- there's a perception and there's people that want to make money -- you want to talk about following the dollars. There's people that really want to make money off of, "We need to investigate this." And you can perpetuate that analysis forever. We've done it, we've done it and we're doing it again, so --

I've been very long. I'm sorry.

MR. WARD: Yeah. Thank you.

One thing to keep in mind is it's not a chemical process. It's a physical process. The chemistry is just trying to make the physical aspect. It's about pressuring up above the frack gradient. I mean acid jobs, that's a chemical process and we don't scream about them. And I'm with you. I mean I'm in sustainability. I'm an environmentalist to the core.

MR. KELVIN HULLET: Good evening. My name is Kelvin Hullet. I'm president of the Bismarck-Mandan Chamber, and just a few comments based on some of the conversation tonight.

25 First of all, you know, our organization

supports the responsible development of all of our natural resources here in North Dakota, from oil to coal to natural gas to our renewables, and we've seen our energy companies in the state being fiscally responsible.

When we go back to the global perspective, you know, we spent an hour and a half today with Senator Conrad talking about the federal deficit and the debt. And if you want to stay up late at night and get into detail on that conversation and, quite frankly, it will about scare you to death on where we're at fiscally in this country.

And a piece of what we discussed today was that as we look at our state in relation to what's happening nationally, we're going to see declining resources. North Dakota traditionally has received about 25 percent of its income from the federal government, and that's going to change. And when we look at other states and where they're at today, one of the advantages that we've had in the state is that we've been fiscally responsible. We've also taken advantage of, I think, the responsible way of the resources that we have. And as we look to the future of our country, we think that we're going to have to continue to do that to make sure

that our -- our economy and our country remains viable.

I would note that there has been a good partnership between the state and the local entities and I think in relationship to this conversation as we look to the future, there's going to have to be a solid relationship with the federal level as well, be it the BLM or EPA or whoever that is.

But, you know, if we look at the state level, our legislators at this session, and Lynn had kind of alluded to it, we just passed a DOT budget that was \$1.7 billion. That's the largest DOT budget ever and 500 million of that will go to western North Dakota. When you put in the federal assistance on that, it's about a billion dollars over in western North Dakota for infrastructure. And that, you know -- and that's going to cover a variety of things, and the State is even looking at how do they loan the Northwest Area Water Supply \$100 million to build a new water supply.

So I think there has been a good partnership between the state and the local level and we would hope that those sorts of things would also occur at the national level.

And the last part I would add on to that is that as we think about, you know, the economic impact and where we're at as a state, we are in such a unique position. When I talk to my friends in Detroit and other places and they're bulldozing infrastructure and turning infrastructure back to farmland because they can't support it anymore.

And here we're trying to figure out how do we fund housing and create space so that we can have a viable economy. And that's a great problem to have and one that we would much rather work on than the alternative.

And so those are just a few thoughts that we had. You know, as we look at the industry, we see about 25,000 jobs have been created, the economic impact is \$12 billion, and that's a pretty tremendous impact in a state with 670,000 people.

The last thing I guess I would note and I'll take my chamber hat off for a minute and move over as a parent. You know, it's quite apparent that at least for the next 40 or 50 years we're going to have an oil-based economy. I have a 20-year-old United States Marine in a foreign country, and if I have to make a decision between am I going to develop an oil industry in North

Dakota and pay a farmer for that oil or am I going
to buy it from a foreign country who isn't
necessarily friendly to the ideals and ideas of the
United States, I'll take the North Dakota farmer

So thank you very much.

(Applause.)

any day.

MR. DAVID HYNEK: Good evening. My name is David Hynek. I'm a landowner, I'm a farmer and I'm a rancher from Mountrail County, right in the heart of the Bakken.

My brother and I farm the land that has been in our family since the early 1900s. We're currently working the fifth generation into that farming operation. The soil and the water are our lifeblood.

I also happen to serve as a county commissioner in Mountrail County, have for about 11 years, so I am, on a daily basis, intimately involved with the oil industry. I also own minerals and receive a royalty payment.

On the land that I own I have five water wells that are currently in areas where there is production. Those oil wells have been there, some of them, for going on four years. They're all

producing, have all been fractured. I tested that -- those water wells prior to the drilling companies coming in. I tested those water wells six months or a little later after they've been in production. I have encountered absolutely no problem with any of my water. One of those wells is our drinking water and it provides water for our house. The other wells provide water for our livestock.

As far as I'm concerned, hydraulic fracturing has absolutely no impact on the water on my property, the groundwater, and I am not aware of any incident in Mountrail County where that is also not true. And we have approximately 900-plus wells currently in Mountrail County and I would definitely know if there is a problem.

Thank you very much.

MR. WARD: Thank you.

MR. PETERSON: Thank you. Andy Peterson,
North Dakota Chamber of Commerce. One of the
questions that was raised tonight by the BLM is do
we want this industry here in our state? Well, on
behalf of thousands of North Dakota businesses, I
want to say yes, we want those -- this industry in
our state.

Secondly, are there some challenges?

Absolutely. We probably have challenges like any other state that has a developing industry. Do we need to address those in a responsible way? Yes.

You asked a question about corporate social responsibility. Let me just say on behalf of business we often overlook every single day the benefits that businesses bring. That's thousands of jobs to millions of families around the country, and we should be thankful to business and industry for doing that.

MR. WARD: So Mitchell would maybe go down in history as the hero.

MR. RON NESS: Thank you. Ron Ness with the North Dakota Petroleum Council.

First of all, I want to commend all of you tonight. We've all been here a grueling five-plus hours and for those of you from Denver, we're long overdue for dinner. But you guys have -- you have given the crowd great latitude. You have -- you came here to talk about hydraulic fracturing. You essentially have let them talk about anything they wanted to talk about, and our panelists have done a great job presenting and responding to each of those questions.

And I think, you know, in summary, what I have heard very clearly is that I didn't hear anyone on the panel, and I really haven't heard anyone in the audience, say that the federal government can do a better job of regulating hydraulic fracturing in the state, and specifically in the state of North Dakota we take that very seriously. North Dakota is a very unique state in how we regulate the oil and gas industry. The oil and gas industry is likely the most regulated industry in the world.

Certainly, there are issues and our state will address issues and we will see rules, legislation, and industry will step forward. But in North Dakota we put that responsibility in the hands of our highest elected officials, our governor, our Attorney General and our ag commissioner as part of the Industrial Commission. They are our regulators of the oil and gas industry in North Dakota. So we take it very -- very seriously. We've got a long history of doing it right here.

Certainly, this has gotten to be a big industry in North Dakota. There are a lot of things going on; but at the end of the day, we are

doing things right. There are slips and mishaps and things that happen all the time in every industry. In our industry they're instantly front-page news.

A lot of the discussion about hydraulic fracturing is resonated on the East Coast amongst people who are anti-fossil fuel. There's been a lot of fear mongering going on, but at the end of the day there still have been over a million wells that have been fractured throughout the United States with not one instance of a problem of contaminating groundwater.

And even if there would be one instance, and there may be someday, it's not something that as a regulated community we can't control. We certainly have those things happen in groundwater with other industries regularly. You can properly environmentally take care of those things that do occur, and things are going to happen. It's a commercial business.

But by and large -- you know, we've held about 25 of these town hall meetings across western North Dakota in the past five years. People are very intrigued by the Bakken, but what we really found out is that they want to know what's going

on. They want to understand the technology.

And when you go out to western North

Dakota, it's a renaissance. I'm a small town North

Dakota kid. Isn't it great that we've got a place
to bring kids back to the farms and have jobs for

kids to come? I've got a nephew graduating from
the University of Iowa this spring. Can't find a
job interview anywhere. Uncle Ron's going to be
able to find him a job right here in North Dakota.

Who would have dreamt that that would have ever
happened? It's putting kids back in our schools.

Yep, we've got a lot of challenges, but

Governor Dalrymple has put a billion-dollar stake
in the ground for western North Dakota. I think
that was a tremendous benefit for the people of
western North Dakota because they have to come out
of this ahead.

Industry is working hard on safety issues or forming committees to address these issues. The State's going to be there to push us where we need to be pushed. We're also responding on our own.

So, in essence, you know, I don't know what the BLM is trying to accomplish with these hearings, what they would do with this data, but I think very clearly what I've heard tonight is that

the State of North Dakota is doing just fine in regulating hydraulic fracturing and we'd like you to take that message back to Washington.

Thank you.

MR. WARD: Okay. Thank you.

Last -- last perspective.

MS. ALEXIS DUXBURY: Yes. My name's Alexis Duxbury. I was up speaking once before.

I have some questions and I'd like to make a little statement here, and it's in response to the person that was speaking immediately before me.

And that is given that the topic is hydraulic fracturing on public lands, I would like to say I would like the BLM and the federal agencies to step up even more to see that those lands are managed in a responsible and environmentally sustainable basis.

(Applause.)

MR. WARD: Thank you.

Yeah. I think it's fair that we've had -had a divergent conversation that has embraced all
perspectives. We're going to take this
conversation back. We're going to hear from the
people from Arkansas and Colorado. This is a major
game changer and it merits our attention. And I

think the BLM is being responsible in their role in -- in looking at how things are progressing.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Clearly, when we talked about hydraulic fracturing, there was a thought that we were talking about a process. I actually showed the process. When we go into the public and as an industry I think -- and as a regulatory body, we have to recognize that this is a new technology unleashed by Mitchell in the Barnett, and it's sweeping across the country, that has got other consequences, other unintended consequences, and we heard about that as well. Positive consequences in terms of the economy, negative -- potentially negative consequences if we don't get our planning right and if we don't have -- have forethought and foresight, and that's what this panel is responsible for from a civil society's perspective, from an industry's perspective and from a government's perspective, and it's what your responsibility is in a democratic society, foresight and forethought.

And so with that, I guess we'll close, and thank you very much for your attention and your endurance.

25 (Concluded at 9:24 p.m., the same day.)

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	
3	I, Stephanie A. Smith, a Registered
4	Professional Reporter,
5	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I recorded in
6	shorthand the foregoing proceedings had and made of
7	record at the time and place hereinbefore
8	indicated.
9	I DO HEREBY FURTHER CERTIFY that the
LO	foregoing typewritten pages contain an accurate
L1	transcript of my shorthand notes then and there
L2	taken.
L3	Dated at Bismarck, North Dakota, this 29th
L4	day of April, 2010.
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	Registered Professional Reporter
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

\$	1500 [1] - 74:22	207:17, 214:22 25,000 [2] - 157:1, 209:15	5:04 [1] - 35:5
	1508 [1] - 74:23		
10 22.12	178 [1] - 75:11	250 [2] - 12:9, 146:9	6
310 [1] - 22:13	18 [1] - 36:25	255 [1] - 74:10	
510,000 [1] - 156:25	184 [1] - 51:7	26 [1] - 72:13	6 to 54.6 440.00
5 100 [1] - 208:21	188 [1] - 43:17	26-plus [1] - 40:1	6 [2] - 51:6, 119:23
312 [1] - 209:16	1895 [1] - 37:7	27,000 [2] - 39:24, 132:14	6,000 [3] - 38:11, 38:12, 45:
512,500 [1] - 178:8	19 [1] - 119:1	28 [1] - 29:24	6/1000 [1] - 43:6
5150 [1] - 74:1	1900s [1] - 210:13	29 [1] - 3:7	60 [10] - 18:25, 35:19, 60:14
52,000 [2] - 146:11, 146:12	1950s [1] - 86:19	2:30 [1] - 44:15	61:1, 91:6, 102:20, 119:24
\$200,000 [1] - 178:15		2.30[1] - 44.15	120:3, 167:22
\$25,000 [1] - 157:18	1953 [1] - 151:21		60,000 [2] - 44:22, 118:13
	1966 [1] - 75:25	3	600 [1] - 67:24
\$300 [1] - 179:13	1969 [1] - 74:20		670,000 [1] - 209:17
\$40 [1] - 179:8	1973 [1] - 154:7	3.6 M 10:5	682 [1] - 204:17
	1981 [2] - 37:5, 60:5	3.6 [1] - 12:5	
ı	1984 [2] - 60:15, 198:12	30 [9] - 29:1, 39:12, 60:1,	69 [1] - 3:12
	1997 [1] - 72:13	88:11, 102:20, 119:5,	6:30 [1] - 94:21
	1998 [1] - 37:2	120:4, 120:7, 163:6	6:44 [1] - 94:21
07-'09 [1] - 131:10	1996 [1] - 37.2	30-day [1] - 193:13	
70s [1] - 72:10		30-some [1] - 89:14	7
80s [1] - 72:11	2	30-year-old [1] - 95:24	•
		300 [2] - 12:9, 146:9	
0	0 07:05 00 4 00 4		7 [2] - 3:5, 72:24
U	2 [7] - 27:25, 28:4, 29:1,	3008 [1] - 132:20	7,000 [3] - 54:18, 55:3, 56:16
	158:20, 159:1, 159:5,	31 [1] - 149:18	7-Up ® [2] - 23:9, 23:15
02 [1] - 184:3	201:12	34 [2] - 108:21, 171:25	70 [1] - 73:2
02-05 [1] - 184:4	2,000 [2] - 33:17, 42:22	35 [2] - 49:2, 118:7	
05 [1] - 184:2	2,046 [1] - 42:22	36 [1] - 3:8	700 [1] - 182:9
55 [1] = 104.2	2,500 [4] - 38:7, 42:9, 47:9,	376 [1] - 149:20	72 [1] - 47:14
	65:9	39 [1] - 43:16	75 [1] - 30:8
1	20 [9] - 1:18, 12:23, 12:25,	.,	761,000 [1] - 118:12
	74:13, 94:14, 107:7, 120:1,	4	
1 [7] - 26:24, 26:25, 27:4,	120:2, 139:6		_ 8
27:7, 27:18, 72:20, 158:20	20-year-old [1] - 209:23		
1,200 [2] - 12:11, 65:2	200 [2] - 21:17, 58:20	4 [1] - 3:4	0 400.40
1,530 [1] - 75:12	200,000 [1] - 160:4	4,000 [2] - 65:7, 112:24	8[1] - 186:18
	,	4.3 [1] - 112:18	8,000 [2] - 38:12, 38:13
1-09H [1] - 73:10	200,000-plus [2] - 138:8,	40 [6] - 43:15, 74:22, 112:21,	80 [4] - 3:13, 33:16, 139:8,
1.7 [1] - 208:13	138:12	119:5, 179:9, 209:21	147:8
10 [6] - 72:20, 73:15, 101:14,	2001 [1] - 47:24	400 [1] - 12:11	86 [1] - 3:14
112:23, 183:12	2005 [7] - 27:5, 73:11, 129:3,		8A [1] - 53:6
10,000 [4] - 28:12, 28:15,	130:2, 130:6, 188:13,	43-02-03 [2] - 184:1, 188:21	
153:23, 153:25	188:19	43-02-05 [2] - 183:24, 184:10	9
100 [3] - 72:17, 127:12	2006 [2] - 27:5, 72:24	43-02-05-04 [1] - 184:13	9
100-plus [1] - 72:21	2007 [3] - 27:5, 73:2, 73:8	48 [1] - 3:9	
	2008 [2] - 73:15, 73:22	4:05 [1] - 4:2	9,000 [2] - 45:1, 54:18
101 [2] - 8:24, 10:18		4:52 [1] - 35:5	90 [1] - 5:10
104 [1] - 43:17	2009 [4] - 129:3, 130:2,	110=[1]	
106 [1] - 75:24	132:3, 180:1	5	900-plus [1] - 211:14
1079 [1] - 72:14	201 [1] - 1:17	3	914 [1] - 73:3
	2040 (5) 60.47 446.2		94 [1] - 3:15
109 [1] - 36:8	2010 [5] - 60:17, 116:3,		
109 [1] - 36:8 11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22,	179:11, 180:1, 218:14	5 _[2] - 119:20. 131:23	980,000 [1] - 71:15
		5 [2] - 119:20, 131:23 50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19	980,000 [1] - 71:15 9:24 [1] - 217:25
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19,	
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19 117 [1] - 74:9	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1 20th [1] - 4:3	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19, 91:5, 91:9, 182:12, 183:13,	9:24 [1] - 217:25
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19 117 [1] - 74:9 12 [2] - 101:14, 131:9	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1 20th [1] - 4:3 21-year-old [1] - 182:23	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19, 91:5, 91:9, 182:12, 183:13, 209:21	
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19 117 [1] - 74:9 12 [2] - 101:14, 131:9 1216 [1] - 132:19	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1 20th [1] - 4:3 21-year-old [1] - 182:23 218 [1] - 3:18	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19, 91:5, 91:9, 182:12, 183:13, 209:21 50,000 [1] - 153:25	9:24 [1] - 217:25
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19 117 [1] - 74:9 12 [2] - 101:14, 131:9 1216 [1] - 132:19 13 [3] - 59:1, 73:8, 149:19	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1 20th [1] - 4:3 21-year-old [1] - 182:23 218 [1] - 3:18 22nd [1] - 218:13	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19, 91:5, 91:9, 182:12, 183:13, 209:21	9:24 [1] - 217:25
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19 117 [1] - 74:9 12 [2] - 101:14, 131:9 1216 [1] - 132:19	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1 20th [1] - 4:3 21-year-old [1] - 182:23 218 [1] - 3:18	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19, 91:5, 91:9, 182:12, 183:13, 209:21 50,000 [1] - 153:25	9:24 [1] - 217:25 A abandon [1] - 98:23
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19 117 [1] - 74:9 12 [2] - 101:14, 131:9 1216 [1] - 132:19 13 [3] - 59:1, 73:8, 149:19	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1 20th [1] - 4:3 21-year-old [1] - 182:23 218 [1] - 3:18 22nd [1] - 218:13	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19, 91:5, 91:9, 182:12, 183:13, 209:21 50,000 [1] - 153:25 50/50 [1] - 120:4 500 [2] - 45:2, 208:14	9:24 [1] - 217:25 A abandon [1] - 98:23 Abandoned [1] - 164:15
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19 117 [1] - 74:9 12 [2] - 101:14, 131:9 1216 [1] - 132:19 13 [3] - 59:1, 73:8, 149:19 141 [1] - 43:17	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1 20th [1] - 4:3 21-year-old [1] - 182:23 218 [1] - 3:18 22nd [1] - 218:13 23 [1] - 182:21	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19, 91:5, 91:9, 182:12, 183:13, 209:21 50,000 [1] - 153:25 50/50 [1] - 120:4 500 [2] - 45:2, 208:14 504,898 [1] - 74:7	9:24 [1] - 217:25 A abandon [1] - 98:23 Abandoned [1] - 164:15 abandoned [4] - 34:10,
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19 117 [1] - 74:9 12 [2] - 101:14, 131:9 1216 [1] - 132:19 13 [3] - 59:1, 73:8, 149:19 141 [1] - 43:17 15 [4] - 43:5, 73:2, 74:12, 109:23	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1 20th [1] - 4:3 21-year-old [1] - 182:23 218 [1] - 3:18 22nd [1] - 218:13 23 [1] - 182:21 24 [3] - 45:10, 67:1, 161:22 24-hour [1] - 169:19	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19, 91:5, 91:9, 182:12, 183:13, 209:21 50,000 [1] - 153:25 50/50 [1] - 120:4 500 [2] - 45:2, 208:14 504,898 [1] - 74:7 51 [2] - 3:10, 72:14	9:24 [1] - 217:25 A abandon [1] - 98:23 Abandoned [1] - 164:15 abandoned [4] - 34:10, 131:13, 156:9, 162:9
11 [3] - 119:19, 147:22, 210:19 117 [1] - 74:9 12 [2] - 101:14, 131:9 1216 [1] - 132:19 13 [3] - 59:1, 73:8, 149:19 141 [1] - 43:17 15 [4] - 43:5, 73:2, 74:12,	179:11, 180:1, 218:14 2011 [3] - 1:18, 4:3, 180:1 20th [1] - 4:3 21-year-old [1] - 182:23 218 [1] - 3:18 22nd [1] - 218:13 23 [1] - 182:21 24 [3] - 45:10, 67:1, 161:22	50 [8] - 8:21, 34:1, 71:19, 91:5, 91:9, 182:12, 183:13, 209:21 50,000 [1] - 153:25 50/50 [1] - 120:4 500 [2] - 45:2, 208:14 504,898 [1] - 74:7	9:24 [1] - 217:25 A abandon [1] - 98:23 Abandoned [1] - 164:15 abandoned [4] - 34:10,

abide [1] - 148:24 abilities [1] - 198:23 ability [5] - 5:7, 132:11, 145:5, 157:6, 159:11 able [11] - 8:4, 54:11, 59:22, 91:8, 112:3, 114:22, 132:10, 144:19, 163:16, 178:8, 215:9 abnormal [1] - 32:4 **abrasion** [1] - 55:21 absence [1] - 101:4 absolute [1] - 11:7 absolutely [13] - 20:3, 20:13, 22:23, 43:12, 48:2, 95:5, 110:19, 182:15, 182:20, 183:1, 211:5, 211:11, 212:2 Abstract [1] - 91:15 abstract [1] - 148:16 **Abu** [1] - 37:1 abuse [1] - 144:3 abused [1] - 144:6 acceptable [2] - 41:25, 47:17 accepting [1] - 25:25 access [3] - 76:14, 77:15, 193:12 accessed [1] - 149:20 accessible [1] - 166:18 accident [1] - 182:24 accidents [3] - 49:20, 49:24, 105:12 accomplish [1] - 215:23 accordance [1] - 32:16 according [3] - 33:1, 52:22, 154:7 accordingly [2] - 13:15, 97:18 account [2] - 97:13, 98:12 accountability [1] - 195:15 accurate [3] - 64:8, 112:25, 218:10 acid [2] - 196:13, 206:17 acidic [2] - 95:11, 96:25 acids [1] - 205:20 Acme [2] - 95:20 acre [3] - 146:9, 146:11, 146:12 acres [5] - 71:15, 71:16, 74:6, 74:7, 180:19 act [7] - 56:2, 177:20, 194:20, 197:19, 197:22, 198:3, 200:23 **Act** [9] - 49:8, 49:9, 74:20, 75:25, 129:2, 160:18, 170:23, 188:13, 188:19 action [7] - 93:3, 108:24, 160:19, 161:20, 161:25, 163:1, 177:22 actions [6] - 71:8, 78:1, 161:10, 164:14, 174:6,

192:2 active [3] - 21:22, 22:17, 134:19 activities [5] - 51:15, 74:17, 74:19, 76:14, 159:16 activity [19] - 20:17, 30:17, 65:7, 70:15, 70:20, 70:21, 71:11, 71:21, 72:9, 73:13, 74:5, 76:16, 79:17, 85:8, 106:4, 150:9, 173:19, 178:18, 191:12 actor [1] - 159:8 actors [2] - 159:6 acts [1] - 56:2 actual [3] - 19:20, 158:2, 158:5 adamant [1] - 166:24 adapt [2] - 97:18, 205:9 add [5] - 98:14, 125:4, 133:25, 195:14, 209:1 added [3] - 27:21, 88:24, 129:16 addition [3] - 26:3, 26:24, 49:19 additional [3] - 6:3, 44:2, 83:16 additive [2] - 129:15, 129:25 additives [6] - 13:9, 14:5, 97:8, 97:9, 130:10 address [6] - 83:18, 102:21, 169:4, 212:4, 213:13, 215:19 addressed [1] - 83:19 adds [2] - 15:20, 15:22 adequacy [1] - 31:12 adequate [7] - 31:1, 31:23, 50:9, 50:19, 112:1, 186:17, 187:12 adequately [2] - 144:19, 175:5 adhered [1] - 104:4 adjoining [1] - 64:24 adjust [1] - 173:25 adjusted [1] - 158:1 administers [1] - 49:14 administrative [3] - 46:2, 101:12, 183:23 Administrative [1] - 101:3 administrator [3] - 70:2, 70:3, 80:9 admit [1] - 92:25 **ADP™** [3] - 63:14, 63:21, 130:3 advance [3] - 17:17, 29:6, 92:11 advances [2] - 5:4, 5:5 advantage [5] - 62:8, 170:6, 179:4, 207:22 advantages [2] - 62:5,

207:20

adverse [1] - 92:3 advisory [1] - 86:16 advocate [1] - 99:15 advocated [1] - 88:15 **Affairs** [9] - 78:4, 78:10, 78:22, 79:16, 103:21, 125:17, 126:16, 126:22, 174:9 affect [3] - 143:10, 174:7, 175:3 affected [3] - 141:22, 162:2, 192:22 Affiliated [18] - 70:2, 70:5, 70:23, 71:3, 71:10, 72:4, 73:17, 75:1, 75:3, 76:20, 77:1, 78:10, 79:3, 79:7, 79:19, 103:19, 104:6, 181:8 affluent [2] - 203:13, 203:14 affordable [1] - 114:10 afraid [1] - 195:20 afternoon [7] - 4:10, 4:12, 29:18, 36:6, 36:12, 48:23, 69:23 ag [1] - 213:17 age [1] - 157:12 agencies [28] - 6:20, 26:18, 28:21, 89:1, 89:15, 89:16, 93:11, 93:14, 99:14, 110:7, 133:23, 134:3, 134:8, 141:3, 141:15, 141:17, 141:19, 147:10, 149:23, 151:2, 162:1, 163:7, 166:24, 189:1, 189:5, 189:16, 192:11, 216:15 Agency [2] - 47:4, 145:16 agency [21] - 49:14, 50:5, 87:11, 87:15, 92:23, 100:5, 123:3, 133:24, 135:18, 141:18, 141:21, 151:6, 151:19, 164:5, 174:15, 174:19, 179:12, 184:16, 193:10, 193:18 agency's [1] - 100:19 agenda [2] - 8:20, 10:17 agents [1] - 191:10 aggressive [2] - 134:12, 179:17 aggressively [1] - 84:5 ago [14] - 11:17, 67:15, 86:6, 91:9, 107:22, 130:5, 155:10, 177:6, 177:15, 177:24, 179:7, 180:23, 182:21, 182:23 agree [5] - 133:20, 148:23, 166:9, 182:10, 195:18 agreed [1] - 201:5

agreement [8] - 49:11,

49:13, 74:17, 99:25,

125:24, 191:6, 193:20,

193:23 agricultural [1] - 189:8 agriculture [2] - 132:23, 133:3 ahead [5] - 150:4, 183:8, 186:3, 192:16, 215:17 aim [1] - 7:11 air [15] - 13:8, 13:11, 83:5, 90:16, 91:1, 120:17, 121:3, 121:21, 136:7, 136:11, 139:20, 145:17, 145:21, 200:12, 200:18 air-drilled [1] - 13:11 airplane [1] - 17:24 airport [2] - 53:4, 53:9 Alaska [4] - 12:5, 25:20, 25:23, 37:1 alerts [1] - 135:25 Alexander [1] - 89:12 **ALEXIS** [2] - 164:25, 216:7 **Alexis** [2] - 165:1, 216:8 alleging [1] - 122:6 allottees [2] - 72:5, 126:11 allow [8] - 47:11, 47:15, 63:6, 63:18, 89:12, 139:1, 158:11, 161:9 allowed [4] - 47:13, 52:11, 52:15, 58:7 allowing [1] - 165:12 allows [4] - 4:24, 63:14, 163:7, 170:25 alluded [3] - 84:1, 99:9, 208:12 alluding [1] - 174:2 almost [4] - 11:18, 56:2, 125:12, 172:12 altered [1] - 12:3 alternative [2] - 109:17, 209:12 alternatives [1] - 183:17 altogether [1] - 63:5 always-evolving [1] - 60:24 **ambassadors** [1] - 115:21 amended [2] - 74:21, 75:25 Amerada [1] - 156:14 America [1] - 10:20 America's [2] - 114:2, 115:2 American [1] - 203:5 amount [22] - 17:11, 31:18, 39:14, 76:8, 76:22, 76:23, 77:17, 82:16, 90:2, 97:6, 108:10, 118:15, 127:6, 156:25, 157:3, 157:16, 157:21, 158:19, 159:12, 159:15, 181:6, 187:9 amounts [5] - 39:20, 88:9, 157:6, 157:14, 158:3 analyses [1] - 187:25 analysis [5] - 185:1, 185:6, 185:10, 185:14, 206:8

analyze [1] - 160:20 analyzing [1] - 51:6 **ANDY** [4] - 124:16, 124:18, 124:20, 127:1 **Andy** [2] - 124:21, 211:19 animals [1] - 124:4 anions [1] - 185:12 announcement [1] - 116:21 annually [1] - 162:6 annular [1] - 98:7 annulus [2] - 18:18, 19:6 answer [19] - 9:11, 80:12, 96:3, 99:2, 116:7, 120:21, 123:1, 123:6, 123:7, 128:20, 129:8, 137:23, 141:8, 155:21, 170:18, 176:15, 184:18, 198:6, 198:16 answered [2] - 140:25, 175:6 answering [3] - 10:13, 51:17, 98:1 answers [3] - 66:6, 147:24, 165:22 anti [2] - 81:17, 214:7 anti-fossil [1] - 214:7 anti-oil [1] - 81:17 anticipate [2] - 139:11, 164:21 anticipated [2] - 54:17, 179:10 anytime [1] - 161:19 apart [1] - 173:10 **APD** [6] - 26:11, 29:9, 30:19, 30:23, 33:1, 191:18 **APDs** [2] - 28:9, 30:12 **API** [1] - 148:9 apocryphal [1] - 140:4 apparent [3] - 82:23, 177:24, 209:20 appeal [1] - 92:6 appear [2] - 133:12, 194:21 Applause [4] - 7:4, 29:17, 48:22, 195:12 applause [16] - 25:15, 48:15, 51:19, 59:7, 69:15, 80:14, 85:18, 94:12, 145:23, 183:20, 197:4, 199:6, 200:4, 200:16, 210:7, 216:18 applicable [4] - 64:5, 113:21, 184:6, 188:3 application [6] - 10:23, 26:9, 26:10, 26:13, 97:5, 128:19 applications [1] - 97:5 applies [2] - 188:10, 188:15 apply [6] - 24:6, 70:21, 74:16, 149:7, 188:14, 195:10 appointed [1] - 93:20

appreciate [13] - 7:1, 25:21,

36:5, 69:16, 80:16, 85:21, 133:19, 134:8, 165:22, 171:19, 194:10, 194:15, approach [4] - 35:16, 56:24, 104:19, 173:25 approaches [1] - 52:9 approaching [2] - 56:16, 178:15 appropriate [1] - 115:6 appropriation [1] - 141:4 appropriations [1] - 39:1 approval [5] - 27:20, 27:21, 32:17, 76:1, 192:3 approve [1] - 27:19 approved [2] - 27:23, 28:2 approves [1] - 31:15 approving [2] - 30:23, 109:21 **April** [6] - 1:18, 4:3, 25:21, 73:15, 147:22, 218:14 aquifer [9] - 20:18, 33:22, 34:2, 83:24, 95:25, 96:15, 124:5, 168:2, 171:9 aquifers [15] - 28:15, 28:18, 39:3, 65:10, 75:15, 75:17, 83:15, 88:18, 88:19, 88:22, 123:18, 168:21, 198:1, 198:2 Arabias [1] - 12:14 Area [1] - 208:20 area [31] - 11:20, 25:1, 27:13, 29:8, 29:10, 32:14, 33:17, 33:21, 33:23, 33:24, 37:13, 37:14, 49:18, 56:12, 68:6, 77:13, 87:3, 93:25, 107:5, 114:21, 114:23, 119:1, 120:24, 125:13, 126:5, 129:1, 129:23, 131:6, 139:14, 143:25, 175:16 areas [12] - 27:16, 55:16, 62:22, 63:2, 65:14, 65:18, 97:21, 98:3, 98:20, 109:9, 198:3, 210:23 argue [1] - 146:21 Arikara [2] - 71:4, 171:22 arise [1] - 82:25 arisen [1] - 34:21 Arkansas [3] - 37:1, 117:2, 216:24 Arnegard [1] - 44:13 array [2] - 24:14, 181:21 arrives [1] - 26:7 artesian [1] - 19:6 arthritis [1] - 203:9 artifacts [1] - 77:8

asbestos [1] - 196:21

aspect [6] - 40:7, 83:17,

124:12, 142:11, 142:17,

aside [1] - 187:14

aspects [7] - 36:2, 160:18, 160:24, 166:25, 180:9, 180:16, 190:10 Aspen [2] - 7:10, 7:15 assess [1] - 178:8 assessed [3] - 176:22, 176:25, 186:25 assessment [7] - 30:25, 73:15, 78:2, 103:25, 161:1, 190:11, 193:7 assignment [1] - 26:1 assistance [3] - 149:22, 190:14, 208:16 associated [5] - 65:4, 69:2, 136:5, 136:11, 176:9 **Association** [2] - 2:8, 113:15 association [4] - 82:7, 113:16, 113:20, 115:7 associations [1] - 80:21 assuming [2] - 99:5, 165:5 assurance [3] - 157:2, 158:9, 159:16 assure [4] - 29:4, 41:1, 180:13, 189:25 assured [1] - 180:24 attach [1] - 157:22 attempt [1] - 191:9 attend [1] - 4:13 attention [6] - 10:9, 69:12, 121:13, 174:25, 216:25, 217:23 attest [1] - 172:13 Attorney [2] - 177:9, 213:17 attorneys [1] - 178:17 audience [3] - 8:24, 59:20, 213:4 **AUDIENCE** [16] - 120:12. 122:17, 127:4, 128:21, 188:24, 194:9, 195:13, 199:22, 200:5, 203:1, 203:12, 203:16, 203:20, 203:24, 204:4, 204:11 **Auditorium** [1] - 1:16 authorities [4] - 26:4, 165:13, 165:20, 165:21 authority [3] - 26:3, 40:20, 93:1 auto [1] - 57:2 automatic [1] - 56:21 automatically [2] - 57:1, 100:9 availability [1] - 5:24 available [7] - 44:1, 50:10, 50:12, 62:21, 102:19, 110:7, 169:13 avoid [1] - 137:3 avoids [1] - 114:24 aware [8] - 76:8, 131:14, 174:14, 176:10, 176:22,

206:16

177:1, 177:2, 211:12 **awful** [4] - 10:24, 17:13, 140:3, 197:5

В

B.S[1] - 7:24 baby [1] - 173:12 Bachelor [1] - 29:21 bachelor's [2] - 25:19, 70:8 background [8] - 20:9, 22:14, 25:14, 70:18, 71:13, 72:8, 81:5, 142:9 backgrounds [1] - 8:25 **backside** [1] - 98:5 **backup** [2] - 54:9, 54:13 bacteria [2] - 63:7, 63:10 bad [8] - 19:25, 66:15, 94:2, 145:19, 159:6, 159:8, 204:25, 206:2 **bad-mouthed** [1] - 145:19 **Badlands** [1] - 76:17 bag [1] - 155:23 Bagley [4] - 30:1, 69:25, 80:25, 116:9 BAGLEY [7] - 2:13, 116:7, 116:9, 175:9, 177:1, 177:4, 191:17 Bakken [20] - 11:20, 12:1, 12:4, 14:10, 16:20, 41:12, 42:5, 53:11, 60:6, 60:9, 60:10, 62:24, 65:24, 66:13, 66:25, 68:20, 73:16, 112:19, 210:11, 214:24 **balance** [2] - 8:4 balanced [1] - 4:24 **ball** [1] - 48:2 balled [3] - 42:18, 43:14, 199:13 banks [1] - 110:11 barbecue [1] - 127:21 Barnett [3] - 11:5, 64:20, 217:9 **barrel** [2] - 74:1, 179:9 barrels [5] - 12:6, 44:22, 112:18, 112:22, 112:23 barrier [1] - 96:8 barriers [1] - 18:14 base [1] - 51:11 based [9] - 7:12, 13:8, 64:23, 113:20, 132:1, 149:21, 157:15, 206:24, 209:22 Based [1] - 163:4 baseline [2] - 51:11, 92:13 basic [2] - 84:10, 203:5 **basin** [3] - 25:5, 37:25, 107:5 Basin [5] - 37:20, 65:15, 73:16, 73:21, 107:4

basins [1] - 37:22

92:20, 157:1, 163:16, 172:12, 190:11, 190:24, 191:15, 210:19, 216:17 batteries [1] - 139:17 bear [1] - 115:13 BEAR [1] - 192:17 Bear [1] - 192:18 bearing [1] - 130:16 beautiful [1] - 34:22 became [2] - 100:22, 177:23 become [13] - 5:18, 12:15, 52:11, 52:16, 99:21, 100:4, 100:5, 114:1, 150:8, 156:4, 168:11, 174:14, 179:17 becomes [8] - 17:1, 22:1, 83:9, 131:19, 138:7, 169:25, 176:7, 184:24 becoming [2] - 81:10, 168:7 bedrock [1] - 75:15 beef [1] - 163:19 beforehand [1] - 186:4 began [2] - 44:15, 120:18 begin [5] - 26:6, 35:7, 73:13, 108:8, 180:5 beginning [1] - 88:16 begins [5] - 13:6, 26:7, 26:9, 26:11, 122:8 behalf [4] - 4:13, 171:24, 211:23, 212:6 behind [11] - 18:13, 18:22, 34:2, 42:8, 54:14, 94:25, 99:11, 199:3, 199:4, 201:25 beings [1] - 182:15 belay [2] - 197:16, 205:23 Belfield [2] - 60:4, 60:14 believes [1] - 82:7 Belle [1] - 1:16 belong [1] - 145:8 **below** [10] - 34:1, 38:12, 38:13, 41:7, 44:10, 47:5, 54:8, 112:5, 112:11, 179:9 bend [1] - 42:11 beneficial [1] - 65:14 **beneficiary** [1] - 39:21 benefit [1] - 215:15 benefits [3] - 73:6, 103:4, 212:8 bentonite [1] - 47:9 Berthold [28] - 70:14, 70:19, 71:6, 71:14, 71:20, 71:24, 72:5, 72:9, 72:24, 73:18, 74:5, 74:10, 74:13, 74:18, 75:4, 75:13, 75:20, 76:6, 76:15, 77:5, 78:4, 78:8, 79:3, 80:4, 181:19, 192:25, best [22] - 42:4, 44:1, 55:15,

58:19, 89:24, 99:10, 99:15,

basis [12] - 9:5, 50:14, 68:19,

132:16, 135:2, 136:19, 137:2, 138:18, 145:12, 169:4, 178:25, 202:12 better [25] - 6:9, 61:18, 62:19. 64:13. 64:14. 66:19. 66:20, 85:15, 99:2, 104:18, 104:20, 105:6, 107:22, 119:25, 145:20, 156:22, 170:3, 170:14, 175:22, 175:24, 178:13, 191:7, 192:8, 204:8, 213:5 better-off [1] - 192:8 between [18] - 28:21, 41:3, 41:9, 41:12, 47:6, 47:9, 83:23, 97:4, 100:16, 119:22, 123:24, 130:2, 150:23, 158:8, 178:6, 208:4, 208:23, 209:24 beyond [1] - 22:11 **BIA**[11] - 73:1, 73:3, 162:25, 174:16, 175:11, 175:19, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18, 193:7, 193:10 BIA-14 [1] - 175:13 big [9] - 12:12, 50:21, 61:22, 119:10, 143:2, 172:21, 195:25, 196:24, 213:23 bigger [1] - 183:9 Bill [3] - 72:14, 132:19, 198:13 bill [1] - 72:17 **Billings** [2] - 95:20 billion [5] - 12:5, 208:13, 208:16, 209:16, 215:13 billion-dollar [1] - 215:13 **bills** [2] - 132:19, 140:10 **bimonthly** [1] - 163:16 bio [1] - 34:22 biocides [1] - 205:9 biogenic [2] - 19:23, 23:6 biologist [1] - 191:23 BIRD [1] - 192:17 Bird [1] - 192:18 Bismarck [6] - 1:17, 47:23, 171:23, 196:7, 206:23, 218:13 Bismarck-Mandan [1] -206:23 bit [18] - 14:3, 21:10, 42:14, 50:15, 52:12, 62:10, 63:24, 66:19, 68:16, 81:9, 81:17, 102:16, 105:25, 158:16, 170:16, 186:14, 204:24, 205:15 black [1] - 47:21 blank [1] - 11:17 blanket [2] - 154:1, 154:2 bleed [1] - 57:10

100:21, 102:4, 105:21,

114:18, 114:21, 117:13,

bleeding [1] - 95:24 blend [1] - 138:5 blender [4] - 63:14, 63:22, 130:3 blessed [1] - 39:15 **BLM** [81] - 4:8, 7:10, 9:7, 9:20, 26:11, 29:1, 29:11, 29:13, 29:24, 29:25, 30:7, 30:10, 30:14, 30:18, 30:25, 31:15, 31:25, 32:7, 32:18, 33:9, 34:6, 34:10, 52:1, 59:19, 85:11, 86:3, 86:16, 87:8, 87:21, 88:1, 90:8, 90:12, 90:19, 91:4, 101:25, 102:9, 104:24, 115:17, 116:5, 116:9, 126:2, 132:24, 133:9, 135:3, 140:12, 140:14, 140:20, 140:22, 141:1, 141:11, 153:22, 154:18, 155:5, 157:20, 159:23, 159:25, 160:2, 160:6, 160:7, 161:9, 161:15, 165:11, 173:17, 174:4, 174:12, 175:7, 189:4, 189:9, 190:21, 190:22, 191:22, 193:11, 194:5, 194:6, 199:23, 199:25, 208:8, 211:21, 215:23, 216:14, 217:1 BLM's [3] - 25:13, 26:2, 160:11 blood [1] - 122:9 **blow** [1] - 22:13 blown [1] - 16:22 blowout [1] - 31:22 Blue [1] - 156:13 **blue**[1] - 64:20 **bluffs** [1] - 76:17 board [2] - 142:4, 147:2 boats [1] - 111:2 bodies [1] - 32:13 body [3] - 150:20, 183:5, 217:7 **BOHRER** [11] - 147:1, 150:22, 151:1, 151:6, 151:9, 152:2, 152:9, 153:4, 153:7, 153:12, 153:17 Bohrer [1] - 147:2 bond [22] - 33:2, 154:1, 154:2, 155:8, 157:6, 157:7, 157:14, 157:16, 157:18, 157:21, 158:1, 158:2, 158:17, 158:19, 159:12, 159:20, 164:16, 177:16, 186:12, 186:16, 187:12, 187:17 bonded [1] - 91:8 bonding [10] - 31:1, 33:3,

87:18, 91:4, 99:1, 153:21,

156:25, 157:2, 158:3,

158:6 bonds [9] - 91:5, 99:3, 99:5, 99:12, 154:20, 155:25, 156:3, 157:8, 187:6 bone [1] - 165:19 bonus [1] - 73:6 bonuses [1] - 146:17 **boom** [8] - 57:17, 57:22, 58:11, 123:21, 154:5, 154:20, 156:20, 175:2 **boom-and-bust** [1] - 175:2 boomed [1] - 74:1 booms [3] - 93:22, 111:2, 156:7 born [2] - 11:3, 60:2 borne [2] - 20:25, 168:3 Bottineau [2] - 80:24, 81:8 bottle [4] - 21:14, 21:21, 23:9, 23:15 **bottom** [5] - 13:20, 14:18, 19:8, 33:25, 34:1 bottomhole [1] - 32:3 boundaries [2] - 71:16, 181:20 **boundary** [1] - 191:4 boy [2] - 128:17, 205:4 breadth [1] - 65:4 break [8] - 9:9, 9:23, 34:22, 44:16, 80:19, 86:1, 94:14, 94:15 breaks [2] - 16:8, 49:20 Breast [1] - 75:23 breathe [1] - 198:17 **BRETT** [1] - 117:5 Brett [1] - 117:6 brewed [1] - 205:3 **bridge** [2] - 16:19, 114:4 **brief** [3] - 48:19, 81:4, 81:5 briefly [1] - 51:14 **bring** [10] - 7:14, 10:12, 23:19, 34:15, 67:5, 123:16, 135:6, 178:13, 212:8, 215:5 bringing [2] - 55:24, 115:13 brings [4] - 7:17, 48:7. 131:22, 136:2 broad [1] - 5:22 broaden [1] - 103:12 broader [2] - 25:1, 82:8 broadest [1] - 196:10 broken [3] - 19:21, 143:3, 144:16 brother [1] - 210:12 brought [9] - 44:22, 66:21, 67:22, 90:10, 121:13, 127:5, 137:5, 140:10, 191:3 BRY [3] - 106:12, 108:7, 110:25 bubbles [1] - 23:16

budget [3] - 109:22, 208:13, 208:14 Buffalo [1] - 25:24 **buffer** [1] - 109:9 build [8] - 8:6, 110:22, 110:23, 111:14, 111:25, 112:3, 120:5, 208:21 building [2] - 115:9, 150:7 builds [4] - 8:10, 19:6, 19:18, 99:9 built [1] - 111:21 bulk [2] - 8:22, 67:6 **bulldozing** [1] - 209:5 burdensome [1] - 71:22 Bureau [39] - 1:23, 2:5, 2:6, 2:13, 6:7, 25:18, 25:22, 25:24, 26:15, 26:24, 29:16, 69:24, 78:3, 78:10, 78:22, 79:15, 79:16, 81:1, 103:21, 125:11, 125:17, 125:18, 126:16, 126:21, 126:22, 132:8, 132:9, 132:13, 132:18, 133:1, 135:10, 140:10, 150:12, 157:3, 162:24, 174:8, 177:3, 196:9 burning [1] - 114:4 business [12] - 66:11, 79:24, 130:9, 137:17, 148:21, 167:17, 170:4, 170:17, 202:14, 212:7, 212:10, 214:20 businesses [2] - 211:23, 212:8 **bust** [3] - 57:17, 57:22, 175:2 busy [1] - 178:17 Butte [1] - 75:16 Buttes [1] - 156:14 **buy** [3] - 119:7, 158:20, 210:2 buys [1] - 98:25 buzz [1] - 38:17

C

calculations [2] - 31:12, 54:24
camps [1] - 143:24
campuses [1] - 182:9
Canada [1] - 11:21
cannot [1] - 182:16
cap [1] - 23:9
capability [1] - 157:12
capable [1] - 152:5
capitalism [3] - 179:6, 195:7, 195:15
capitalistic [1] - 178:20
car [2] - 17:24, 53:7
carbonate [1] - 12:2

carcinogen [3] - 204:16, 204:21 carcinogenic [1] - 196:14 carcinogens [1] - 204:19 card [2] - 35:14, 94:15 cards [5] - 35:9, 86:1, 95:1, 95:3, 135:23 care [12] - 38:18, 38:20, 38:21, 48:4, 87:21, 98:24, 122:21, 164:20, 168:13, 174:20, 191:15, 214:18 career [1] - 8:2 careful [1] - 204:23 carefully [2] - 92:1, 155:22 carrier [4] - 63:15, 63:17, 63:19, 130:4 carriers [1] - 84:21 **CAS** [1] - 91:15 case [11] - 14:4, 20:4, 23:4, 24:23, 42:25, 43:14, 54:13, 81:18, 96:24, 108:21, 205:7 cased [1] - 15:10 cases [15] - 19:20, 19:23, 22:23, 65:10, 89:3, 96:8, 108:20, 109:10, 121:17, 121:22, 123:8, 137:9, 144:4, 163:2, 186:18 casing [61] - 12:23, 13:17, 13:18, 13:21, 13:22, 14:2, 14:10, 14:17, 14:22, 15:3, 15:4, 15:5, 18:10, 18:11, 18:22, 19:8, 19:22, 19:23, 19:25, 27:14, 28:1, 31:10, 31:13, 32:5, 32:20, 33:3, 33:14, 33:15, 33:16, 34:3, 34:4, 42:8, 42:9, 42:10, 42:20, 42:21, 43:15, 43:16, 54:7, 55:3, 55:7, 55:10, 55:12, 57:8, 83:21, 95:12, 96:7, 97:2, 97:16, 97:20, 98:17, 99:11, 186:1, 186:23, 187:1, 188:2 casings [2] - 41:2, 95:24 catch [2] - 110:1, 177:19 cations [1] - 185:11 cattle [2] - 86:23, 123:17 caught [1] - 90:15 caused [4] - 19:24, 72:3, 90:13, 175:17 causing [3] - 121:7, 123:13, 168:1 cautious [1] - 71:12 **CBI** [2] - 201:20, 201:22 **CD** [1] - 159:12 cement [41] - 13:25, 14:23, 15:1, 15:3, 15:4, 15:13, 18:11, 19:3, 19:14, 19:16, 20:1, 27:15, 31:10, 31:18,

33:2, 33:3, 33:18, 33:23,

33:25, 34:2, 41:3, 95:12, 96:7, 97:2, 97:6, 97:8, 97:10, 97:16, 97:18, 98:14, 98:16, 98:21, 186:6, 186:12, 186:16, 186:17, 187:6, 187:12, 187:13, 187:16, 188:1 cement-to-casing [1] - 33:3 cemented [2] - 14:19, 14:22 cementing [5] - 28:1, 32:5, 32:20, 83:21, 84:16 cements [3] - 97:3, 97:17, 98:8 Center [3] - 7:11, 7:15, 149:10 central [2] - 67:5, 80:24 centralized [1] - 13:19 ceramic [2] - 45:3, 45:5 certain [3] - 7:14, 110:20, 164:13 certainly [16] - 40:20, 50:24, 96:10, 96:11, 96:17, 116:14, 116:23, 119:10, 119:14, 137:2, 142:21, 145:9, 180:8, 213:12, 213:23, 214:16 **CERTIFICATE** [2] - 3:17, 218:1 **certificate** [1] - 159:13 Certificate [1] - 3:18 certified [2] - 185:1, 185:11 **CERTIFY** [2] - 218:5, 218:9 cetera [4] - 49:21, 112:19, 144:11, 161:14 CFR [1] - 74:22 chair [1] - 142:4 chairman [4] - 71:11, 79:23, 113:7, 181:23 challenge [1] - 50:24 challenges [4] - 12:18, 212:1, 212:2, 215:12 challenging [1] - 95:4 Chamber [3] - 124:22, 206:23, 211:20 chamber [1] - 209:19 chance [5] - 10:2, 10:4, 21:12, 114:24, 175:8 change [4] - 11:16, 173:25, 194:19, 207:18 changed [2] - 11:14, 36:9 changer [1] - 216:25 changes [2] - 14:8, 89:6 characteristics [1] - 32:2 **Charbonneau** [1] - 107:10 charge [2] - 48:3, 152:11 charges [1] - 178:14 check [2] - 108:18, 180:24 checked [2] - 47:24, 149:2 checking [1] - 20:21

checks [1] - 180:21

Chemical [2] - 91:14, 149:14 chemical [19] - 51:6, 61:22, 63:3, 91:16, 91:18, 91:19, 92:4, 92:11, 92:15, 148:14, 148:16, 152:3, 168:16, 168:17, 171:2, 201:16, 201:23, 206:14, 206:17 chemicals [34] - 6:1, 15:21, 23:21, 24:4, 45:22, 61:17, 61:21, 63:4, 67:23, 67:24, 91:22, 121:15, 147:16, 148:6, 148:15, 148:20, 149:3, 149:5, 150:18, 168:23, 168:24, 171:4, 196:11, 196:21, 197:25, 200:6, 200:8, 201:13, 201:22, 202:1, 202:2, 202:5, 203:17, 204:17 chemistry [7] - 65:23, 66:15, 97:7, 98:17, 170:2, 206:15 chemists [1] - 82:2 chief [1] - 190:3 Chief [1] - 190:5 child [3] - 144:2, 144:3, 183:3 child's [1] - 183:4 children [5] - 144:4, 144:5, 195:2, 195:3 **choices** [1] - 93:19 **choke** [1] - 56:11 cigarettes [1] - 196:22 circles [2] - 204:14 cities [1] - 179:22 citizen [2] - 101:21, 194:11 citizen's [1] - 104:15 citizens [8] - 89:12, 93:13, 115:20, 120:6, 150:8, 162:20, 164:10, 164:22 citric [1] - 196:13 City [2] - 36:16, 133:17 civil [5] - 9:18, 35:1, 35:23, 59:15, 217:17 claim [2] - 167:8, 200:11 clamp [1] - 115:1 clarified [2] - 165:6, 188:13 clarify [1] - 188:6 **CLARK** [3] - 113:6, 115:15, 132:6 Clark [4] - 113:7, 117:10, 117:14, 132:7 class [1] - 60:11 Class [6] - 178:13, 185:16, 185:19, 187:20, 187:23, clay [1] - 111:22 clays [1] - 41:8 clean [5] - 6:22, 50:13, 114:4, 123:22, 124:13 Clean [3] - 49:9, 91:12, 129:2 clean-burning [1] - 114:4

cleaner [1] - 62:19 cleaning [2] - 107:19, 160:13 CleanStim™ [2] - 62:14, 62:15 cleanup [3] - 38:21, 45:14, 50:10 cleanups [1] - 49:4 clear [2] - 92:1, 100:17 clearing [1] - 76:14 clearly [3] - 213:2, 215:25, 217:3 Clinton [1] - 198:13 clogged [1] - 44:6 close [4] - 19:24, 49:23, 64:25, 217:22 **close-by** [1] - 64:25 closed [1] - 58:6 closely [3] - 140:25, 161:25, 174:15 closer [1] - 62:23 clumsy [1] - 179:1 coal [9] - 19:24, 23:7, 23:16, 62:22, 95:10, 95:11, 96:25, 136:17, 207:3 Coast [1] - 214:6 Coca [1] - 171:6 Coca-Cola® [1] - 171:6 code [5] - 45:25, 46:2, 125:9, 183:24, 184:2 Code [1] - 101:3 codes [1] - 181:9 coexist [1] - 132:24 cofferdam [1] - 112:4 coin [1] - 166:13 Cola® [1] - 171:6 Colborn [1] - 204:18 collaboration [2] - 126:15, 126:17 collaborative [2] - 150:23, 151:13 collect [2] - 35:10, 186:8 collected [8] - 13:10, 13:13, 14:6, 45:16, 101:10, 138:25, 139:23, 139:24 college [1] - 36:19 colleges [1] - 172:1 Colorado [6] - 38:1, 43:10, 65:15, 117:2, 169:17, 216:24 colored [1] - 33:18 column [1] - 82:4 combine [2] - 12:13, 135:22 combined [3] - 11:6, 119:20, 136:22 comfortable [1] - 59:2 Coming [1] - 91:12 coming [15] - 4:11, 24:1, 35:8, 36:11, 39:17, 48:9, 52:2, 84:17, 94:7, 101:19,

140:19, 165:1, 174:21, 180:1, 211:3 commencing [1] - 4:2 commend [2] - 88:13, 212:16 comment [31] - 40:6, 81:25, 101:9, 101:10, 101:22, 102:1, 102:20, 103:2, 108:9, 113:9, 113:19, 116:15, 116:23, 117:9, 125:23, 126:13, 139:18, 140:9, 142:24, 145:1, 170:2, 185:13, 191:16, 191:21, 193:5, 193:6, 193:13, 193:15, 194:10, 196:8 commentary [1] - 145:3 **Comments** [1] - 3:5 comments [25] - 4:16, 6:15, 6:17, 6:24, 27:6, 35:13, 85:22, 101:10, 102:21, 102:22, 106:16, 115:5, 117:20, 133:17, 138:17, 146:1, 147:1, 147:4, 150:11, 167:13, 192:14, 192:19, 194:1, 206:23 Comments/Questions [1] -3:15 Commerce [2] - 124:22, 211:20 commercial [2] - 5:8, 214:20 Commission [23] - 2:9, 36:1, 38:18, 38:19, 38:22, 38:25, 40:18, 40:23, 41:19, 41:20, 41:21, 45:8, 47:1, 89:11, 100:14, 101:8, 109:8, 109:22, 113:8, 113:11, 147:21, 151:11, 213:18 commission [4] - 102:10, 134:9, 134:22, 194:20 commissioner [3] - 189:9, 210:18, 213:18 **Commissioner** [3] - 117:10, 117:14, 133:16 Commissioners [1] - 113:16 commissioners [3] - 113:18, 113:23, 114:12 **commitment** [1] - 61:13 **commits** [1] - 178:12 **committed** [1] - 53:14 committee [1] - 101:12 committees [1] - 215:19 **committing** [1] - 177:20 common [6] - 5:6, 53:18, 53:19, 68:22, 104:16, 199:7 commonly [1] - 149:5 communication [2] - 19:9,

189:14

communities [7] - 50:17,

50:23, 143:14, 143:19,

community [8] - 148:1, 150:10, 161:12, 161:15, 168:24, 174:1, 180:7, 214:15 Compact [2] - 147:21, 151:11 compaction [2] - 76:7, 111:22 companies [25] - 59:10, 59:12, 61:22, 88:6, 89:24, 89:25, 107:15, 113:12, 131:14, 143:11, 146:6, 148:5, 148:23, 149:18, 149:25, 155:1, 155:2, 155:24, 159:5, 167:6, 172:16, 175:23, 195:11, 207:4, 211:3 company [18] - 72:23, 89:13, 99:5, 119:14, 127:9, 131:10, 136:24, 136:25, 146:12, 158:23, 159:4, 159:14, 169:8, 170:20, 174:25, 184:17, 193:18, compare [2] - 12:10, 24:1 comparing [2] - 203:12, 203:20 comparison [2] - 24:2, 24:4 **competition** [1] - 89:22 competitive [3] - 62:5, 62:8, 170:6 complaining [1] - 94:1 complaint [1] - 178:3 complaints [3] - 121:10, 122:12, 178:14 **complement** [1] - 125:25 complete [2] - 28:24, 53:24 completed [2] - 32:22, 107:19 completes [1] - 27:1 completing [1] - 52:10 completion [4] - 28:25, 29:3, 32:23, 53:21 complexity [2] - 65:25, 66:13 compliance [8] - 32:11, 78:24, 80:11, 93:7, 104:9, 157:17, 160:17, 193:24 complicated [2] - 65:24, 152:25 complications [1] - 63:16 comply [4] - 74:19, 93:5, 157:20, 166:7 component [8] - 54:21, 117:25, 129:25, 130:10, 133:10, 201:8, 201:23, 204:2 components [4] - 82:21, 84:21, 116:1, 117:16 composed [1] - 46:15

144:9, 175:3, 179:19

composition [2] - 148:14, 196:16 compound [1] - 21:20 compounds [1] - 139:16 comprehensive [1] - 107:18 compressed [1] - 139:23 **compressive** [3] - 31:19, 186:9, 186:10 comprises [1] - 150:17 **compromise** [2] - 5:25, 58:22 Computational [1] - 149:10 concentrate [1] - 31:3 concentrated [5] - 33:1, 46:23, 67:23, 68:2, 136:6 concentration [3] - 91:18, 148:17, 148:18 concentrations [3] - 46:20, 138:9, 160:4 concept [2] - 111:6, 133:2 concern [18] - 10:6, 20:16, 56:12, 77:6, 83:10, 85:7, 88:9, 94:16, 94:25, 127:15, 127:16, 168:5, 168:19, 169:4, 170:24, 194:16, 197:12 concerned [14] - 24:12, 68:1, 71:7, 71:9, 76:10, 81:10, 103:6, 141:8, 150:9, 177:11, 181:4, 181:13, 200:2, 211:10 concerning [1] - 160:6 concerns [22] - 5:22, 6:2, 6:6, 6:11, 6:24, 8:14, 9:12, 9:25, 10:16, 35:10, 75:3, 81:2, 81:14, 83:16, 85:20, 106:18, 121:13, 127:5, 142:22, 147:24, 167:9, 182:1 concise [1] - 31:16 concisely [2] - 10:12, 170:19 concluded [1] - 217:25 conclusion [3] - 58:15, 136:16, 136:18 concrete [2] - 186:1 Concurrent [1] - 132:20 condition [4] - 20:14, 34:16, 54:20, 192:3 conditions [5] - 27:20, 32:4, 32:17, 195:5 conduct [1] - 92:13 conducted [3] - 27:24, 103:10, 167:24 conductivity [1] - 66:3 conductor [6] - 12:22, 12:23, 15:2, 15:3, 18:9, 33:15 confidence [1] - 92:22 confidential [4] - 122:10, 148:21, 171:3, 178:7 confidentiality [1] - 184:21

configuration [3] - 14:8, 14:9, 15:15 confined [1] - 25:4 conflation [1] - 199:8 conflict [1] - 82:24 **connection** [1] - 124:2 Connell [1] - 4:14 Conrad [1] - 207:8 conscious [1] - 61:8 consensus [1] - 20:20 consequences [5] - 93:3, 217:11, 217:12, 217:14 conservation [1] - 85:6 **consider** [2] - 25:7, 141:23 considerations [2] - 24:13, 103:14 constant [1] - 101:16 constantly [2] - 65:20, 67:7 **constituent** [1] - 91:17 constituents [2] - 91:19, 92:15 constraints [1] - 64:6 **constructed** [1] - 79:5 **construction** [10] - 40:24, 41:16, 42:13, 69:3, 69:4, 69:5, 76:13, 77:9, 179:21, 186:20 consulted [1] - 143:8 consume [1] - 39:12 consumers [3] - 114:2, 114:14, 115:3 consumption [3] - 87:10, 88:8, 194:17 contact [4] - 80:5, 83:23, 190:22, 191:13 contacted [1] - 193:17 contain [4] - 56:1, 72:20, 167:7, 218:10 contained [5] - 17:7, 77:13, 127:24, 147:15, 193:3 containing [2] - 28:11, 50:3 containment [2] - 49:22, 111:15 contains [2] - 71:15, 75:14 contaminants [1] - 124:7 contaminate [3] - 21:9, 168:21, 198:1 contaminated [7] - 43:25, 83:12, 120:16, 124:5, 169:11, 182:16, 200:14 contaminating [3] - 19:19, 172:20, 214:12 contamination [16] - 5:23, 43:20, 44:11, 44:18, 58:23, 69:1, 76:8, 77:2, 82:9, 123:24, 155:25, 168:1, 171:8, 172:18, 200:12, contemplating [1] - 165:12

content [1] - 68:5

contention [1] - 165:19 context [2] - 129:14, 130:9 continually [1] - 98:6 continue [11] - 59:3, 61:16, 62:1, 62:9, 62:10, 63:5, 66:23, 133:6, 166:3, 207:25 continues [2] - 61:4, 67:10 continuing [2] - 6:19, 61:5 continuously [1] - 69:4 contractor [1] - 152:10 contractor's [1] - 32:11 contractors [2] - 152:20, 153:2 contributes [1] - 119:16 contribution [1] - 119:10 control [17] - 31:22, 32:20, 39:6, 47:2, 48:3, 54:15, 56:10, 95:13, 99:16, 105:2, 134:19, 145:10, 165:4, 165:5, 184:2, 188:18, 214:15 **controlled** [1] - 58:7 **controlling** [1] - 157:17 controls [1] - 104:20 conventional [1] - 25:3 conversation [9] - 7:12, 7:17, 86:2, 146:10, 206:24, 207:10, 208:6, 216:21, 216:23 cooperate [1] - 135:18 **cooperation** [2] - 28:21, 175:11 coordinate [8] - 99:14, 99:20, 102:1, 102:3, 102:7, 102:14, 125:12, 126:9 coordinated [3] - 102:9, 103:20, 162:10 coordinates [1] - 191:24 coordinating [2] - 100:18, 141:17 coordination [6] - 78:19, 79:1, 79:21, 99:23, 141:14, 192:10 copies [1] - 29:2 core [1] - 206:20 Cornell [3] - 136:13, 136:16, 140:3 corners [1] - 58:14 corporate [2] - 174:24, 212:5 corporation [1] - 203:4 Corporation [3] - 2:6, 36:24, 51:23 correct [1] - 151:25 **correlation** [1] - 123:24 correlative [1] - 125:20 corridor [1] - 79:2

corroboration [1] - 78:21

corroded [1] - 96:20

corroding [1] - 95:12

corrosion [3] - 96:7, 96:18 corrosive [3] - 97:15, 97:17, 196:14 cost [4] - 118:23, 152:7, 173:23, 176:24 costing [1] - 53:23 costly [2] - 58:18, 138:7 costs [2] - 62:19, 153:11 Council [16] - 2:11, 67:13, 74:21, 86:8, 117:8, 142:3, 147:3, 147:20, 150:24, 151:7, 151:16, 163:6, 167:5, 169:5, 170:25, 212:15 council [2] - 79:24, 86:16 count [1] - 179:9 counterparts [1] - 102:14 **counties** [1] - 119:22 Counties [1] - 81:9 countries [1] - 143:21 country [20] - 5:19, 11:15, 17:7, 50:11, 113:18, 113:23, 114:13, 145:14, 145:18, 152:22, 172:1, 182:10, 195:17, 207:12, 207:24, 208:1, 209:24, 210:2, 212:9, 217:10 country's [1] - 133:7 county [4] - 82:15, 134:24, 135:1, 210:17 County [11] - 42:16, 80:24, 81:10, 93:23, 131:11, 133:16, 134:12, 210:10, 210:18, 211:13, 211:15 couple [18] - 21:3, 39:11, 44:4, 51:16, 52:6, 54:3, 54:8, 58:21, 64:3, 67:14, 93:19, 106:15, 133:18, 155:20, 158:4, 159:22, 192:19, 195:8 course [8] - 4:19, 98:19, 104:23, 109:13, 110:10, 111:6, 128:3, 151:10 COURT [1] - 218:1 court [1] - 8:14 Court [1] - 3:18 courteous [1] - 10:3 cousin [1] - 173:11 cousins [1] - 173:11 cover [5] - 25:5, 155:8, 158:5, 164:17, 208:18 covered [3] - 112:20, 134:1, 135:8 covering [1] - 25:1 covers [3] - 11:20, 28:14, 37:15 cows [2] - 172:25 crack [1] - 66:17 cracking [1] - 20:19

create [2] - 133:4, 209:9

created [5] - 37:13, 37:14, 199:8, 199:10, 209:15 creation [1] - 119:16 credibility [1] - 7:18 credit [3] - 163:18, 179:24 Creek [4] - 75:16, 75:17, 75:18, 107:11 creeks [2] - 106:25, 111:13 Cretaceous [1] - 37:24 crew [2] - 16:23, 24:18 crisis [1] - 134:7 criteria [4] - 33:10, 157:15, 159:9, 162:4 critical [7] - 12:16, 31:10, 55:19, 83:25, 84:15, 84:24, 85:4 critically [1] - 114:1 crowd [1] - 212:20 Crows [1] - 75:23 crumbling [1] - 173:9 crushed [2] - 182:22, 183:6 cube [1] - 37:12 cultural [8] - 70:25, 71:2, 75:19, 76:3, 77:7, 77:8, 77:11, 77:12 **culvert** [2] - 112:10, 112:13 **cumbersome** [3] - 72:23, 128:2, 167:16 **cumulative** [3] - 71:9, 78:1, 82:22 cumulatively [1] - 161:4 cup [1] - 130:13 current [5] - 112:20, 126:15, 132:20, 142:13, 142:25 cut [2] - 58:6, 141:4 cutouts [3] - 56:22, 57:2, 57:7 **cutting** [2] - 55:21, 56:3 cuttings [1] - 13:12 **cycle** [4] - 38:14, 46:25, 58:11, 91:20 cycles [3] - 57:17, 57:22, 175:2

D

D.C [3] - 8:10, 25:19, 115:11

Daah [1] - 189:12

daily [2] - 172:12, 210:19

dais [1] - 117:3

Dakota [142] - 1:17, 2:9, 2:10, 2:11, 11:20, 18:24, 23:6, 30:2, 30:5, 30:9, 30:13, 31:11, 32:18, 32:25, 33:7, 33:19, 35:25, 36:15, 36:16, 36:17, 37:17, 38:3, 38:16, 39:14, 39:24, 39:25, 40:4, 40:13, 40:22, 42:1, 46:14, 47:1, 47:8, 47:23, 48:25,

49:7, 50:2, 50:8, 52:11, 52:16, 60:4, 60:13, 60:18, 63:23, 68:9, 68:20, 71:19, 73:20, 82:5, 85:3, 85:6, 86:8, 87:3, 87:4, 88:2, 88:4, 90:21, 99:24, 100:12, 101:21, 106:19, 107:16, 108:11, 108:13, 108:14, 113:7, 113:10, 114:9, 117:7, 118:2, 118:19, 119:19, 120:6, 120:12, 120:13, 123:20, 124:22, 125:15, 127:15, 132:8, 132:12, 132:13, 132:15, 132:18, 132:25, 133:4, 133:10, 135:12, 135:24, 138:23, 138:25, 139:9, 140:8, 140:16, 140:18, 141:2, 142:3, 142:15, 143:1, 144:17, 146:16, 151:20, 154:23, 161:24, 162:20, 164:10, 164:12, 166:10, 166:12, 173:6, 173:7, 184:22, 186:13, 189:7, 191:25, 194:12, 194:22, 196:1, 207:2, 207:16, 208:15, 208:17, 210:1, 210:4, 211:20, 211:23, 212:15, 213:7, 213:8, 213:15, 213:20, 213:24, 214:23, 215:3, 215:4, 215:9, 215:14, 215:16, 216:1, 218:13 **Dakota's** [1] - 166:1 Dakotas [1] - 4:8 **DALE** [1] - 133:15 **Dale** [1] - 133:16 **Dalrymple** [1] - 215:13 damaged [1] - 177:12 Dan [2] - 85:22, 190:24 danger [1] - 45:12 dangerous [6] - 196:14, 204:5, 204:9, 204:10, 204:14 data [9] - 21:1, 45:16, 45:17, 46:4, 46:10, 147:17, 149:19, 152:17, 215:24 Data [1] - 163:5 **Database** [1] - 149:15 database [2] - 152:21, 187:16 databases [1] - 152:24 date [4] - 148:9, 149:21, 172:15, 177:15 Dated [1] - 218:13 Dave [1] - 190:1 **DAVID** [1] - 210:8 David [1] - 210:9 days [16] - 21:23, 29:1, 44:9, 44:23, 49:5, 56:7, 61:2,

95:18, 102:20, 109:21, 112:19, 112:24, 113:2, 129:21, 193:15, 199:16 deal [6] - 40:11, 83:3, 94:5, 100:14, 164:22, 188:20 dealing [7] - 7:25, 38:6, 40:7, 51:16, 137:14, 166:16, 178:1 deals [2] - 46:3, 141:18 dealt [1] - 189:18 dear [1] - 52:4 death [1] - 207:11 deaths [1] - 79:25 debate [3] - 7:16, 12:16, 94:10 debt [1] - 207:9 decades [3] - 38:16, 98:18, 166:17 December [2] - 72:24, 73:8 decide [2] - 23:25, 135:11 decided [1] - 152:17 decides [1] - 150:18 decision [4] - 57:16, 92:2, 161:9, 209:24 decision-makers [1] - 161:9 decision-making [1] - 92:2 decisions [4] - 117:12, 117:17, 161:1, 182:13 declined [1] - 159:24 declining [1] - 207:15 dedicated [3] - 7:15, 48:5, 147:11 deep [3] - 12:23, 12:25, 65:2 deeper [2] - 62:24, 65:10 deepest [3] - 47:10, 64:24, 65:9 deeply [1] - 48:4 deer [3] - 159:24, 160:11, 189:13 **default** [2] - 155:4, 157:19 **defective** [3] - 55:10, 186:22, 187:1 defending [1] - 52:14 defense [1] - 57:4 deficit [1] - 207:8 define [5] - 103:11, 204:9, 204:14, 204:15 defined [1] - 92:1 definitely [2] - 181:5, 211:16 definition [1] - 184:5 degree [5] - 29:22, 36:15, 70:8, 70:10, 202:15 **DeKrey** [3] - 140:7, 140:8, 141:7 delay [1] - 72:3 delighted [1] - 40:8 delineates [1] - 49:13

delineation [1] - 124:6

Delvin [1] - 80:10

details [1] - 34:9 demand [1] - 83:15 democracy [1] - 104:15 democratic [2] - 145:9, 217:20 demonize [1] - 86:11 **DENNIS** [1] - 2:10 Dennis [17] - 43:21, 48:16, 48:17, 48:18, 48:24, 51:20, 100:2, 120:21, 122:1, 122:23, 122:24, 123:8, 123:15, 139:18, 151:4, 185:9 **Denver** [2] - 53:3, 212:18 Department [32] - 2:10, 8:16, 36:14, 37:3, 38:20, 45:13, 45:14, 48:25, 49:2, 49:6, 49:16, 100:1, 100:24, 110:5, 110:14, 120:13, 121:20, 122:8, 123:4, 135:10, 139:18, 139:21, 149:9, 150:5, 152:12, 176:14, 177:13, 177:15, 177:21, 190:6, 190:16 department [11] - 37:8, 49:12, 70:3, 70:4, 80:6, 80:7, 103:22, 104:7, 108:16, 125:4, 163:25 department's [1] - 8:18 **Department's** [2] - 46:1, 100:10 departments [2] - 100:17, 160:7 dependence [2] - 4:22, 133:7 dependent [4] - 119:13, 164:7, 180:21, 180:23 depicting [1] - 13:2 **depiction** [1] - 14:12 depleting [1] - 88:20 depletion [1] - 83:14 deposit [1] - 159:13 depth [7] - 14:20, 20:17, 27:11, 64:21, 64:23, 64:25, 148:11 depths [2] - 23:2, 157:10 deputy [1] - 80:9 **Deputy** [1] - 4:7 describe [1] - 185:6 described [1] - 166:4 describes [2] - 12:20, 148:8 design [14] - 18:4, 18:6, 18:9, 19:13, 27:14, 31:13, 31:14, 33:9, 33:10, 97:2, 97:3, 97:17, 98:8, 98:21 designated [1] - 160:8 designations [1] - 92:7 designing [1] - 32:5

destination [1] - 150:8

destroying [1] - 198:18

detail [2] - 185:6, 207:10

detailed [2] - 32:19, 114:19

determine [5] - 31:12, 109:17, 123:13, 124:6, 176:6 determined [2] - 20:25, 167:6 **determining** [1] - 124:13 detriment [2] - 22:5 detrimental [4] - 70:13, 76:25, 104:9, 105:17 Detroit [1] - 209:5 develop [9] - 4:21, 4:23, 61:14, 66:24, 84:5, 133:8, 151:17, 170:2, 209:25 developed [6] - 77:14, 77:25, 152:2, 152:10, 152:20, 163:5 developing [6] - 53:11, 62:4, 63:21, 84:22, 181:9, 212:3 development [37] - 8:9, 25:6, 41:22, 41:24, 62:14, 70:18, 71:13, 71:24, 72:8, 72:12, 73:4, 73:19, 73:24, 74:4, 74:23, 77:18, 80:3, 81:11, 81:13, 81:21, 84:19, 87:5, 91:21, 104:1, 115:25, 118:1, 132:24, 133:5, 136:16, 143:1, 155:23, 161:2, 164:1, 182:19, 189:17, 199:10, 207:1 **deviations** [1] - 29:5 Dhabi [1] - 37:1 diameter [1] - 14:3 Dickinson [2] - 60:5, 143:25 dies [1] - 154:20 diesel [21] - 128:25, 129:3, 129:4, 129:7, 129:13, 129:14, 129:17, 129:18, 129:19, 129:20, 129:25, 130:5, 130:8, 130:13, 131:1, 131:4, 131:8, 131:11, 132:1 diesel-free [1] - 129:13 difference [1] - 61:3 differences [1] - 97:4 different [21] - 8:25, 14:5, 23:3, 25:3, 38:2, 51:6, 52:12, 61:10, 66:14, 89:23, 90:21, 97:10, 99:12, 137:17, 155:6, 168:17, 172:16, 200:20, 202:5, 205:15, 205:16 differently [3] - 16:20, 24:19, 38:3 difficult [4] - 33:8, 62:8, 81:24, 176:8 digenetic [1] - 12:3 dike [1] - 112:1 dikes [2] - 111:21, 111:22 diking [1] - 109:12

diligently [1] - 50:16 dinner [1] - 212:19 dioxin [1] - 196:21 directed [3] - 106:13, 196:25, 200:10 direction [2] - 120:1, 169:17 directional [1] - 10:25 directly [5] - 92:10, 106:16, 119:11, 193:2 Director [1] - 4:8 director [8] - 4:14, 35:25, 36:13, 69:20, 70:6, 75:23, 100:23, 132:7 dirt [2] - 112:1, 112:13 dirt-moving [1] - 112:1 **disappeared** [1] - 57:20 disarray [1] - 181:21 disbelief [1] - 124:1 discharge [3] - 45:14, 46:1, 200:21 disclose [3] - 62:12, 87:13, 87:14 disclosed [5] - 90:7, 91:21, 92:8, 150:18, 150:19 disclosing [4] - 62:11, 87:12, 168:5, 168:6 disclosure [34] - 6:1, 23:21, 24:7, 67:11, 67:21, 68:5, 87:5, 87:10, 87:11, 87:15, 89:19, 90:5, 90:10, 91:10, 91:14, 92:22, 93:2, 93:6, 149:7, 150:16, 151:14, 151:17, 152:4, 167:14, 167:21, 168:10, 169:6, 171:1, 194:17, 196:11, 200:25, 201:11, 201:14 discourse [1] - 7:6 discovery [2] - 12:5, 77:7 discuss [6] - 20:8, 23:20, 24:21, 71:8, 78:23, 79:17 discussed [3] - 30:10, 97:22, 207:13 discussing [4] - 78:14, 79:21, 121:23, 190:9 discussion [23] - 8:4, 24:10, 33:14, 48:8, 51:18, 80:13, 86:4, 98:5, 115:24, 116:1, 130:1, 142:6, 152:15, 165:3, 165:4, 165:14, 167:4, 168:11, 174:10, 188:3, 190:25, 197:20, 214:5 discussions [4] - 67:21, 152:13, 165:7, 168:8 dismayed [1] - 187:8 dispersed [2] - 63:18, 169:2 display [1] - 21:1 disposal [13] - 38:23, 43:8, 47:4, 47:8, 50:19, 77:21, 77:22, 77:24, 83:12,

139:10, 143:18, 187:21, 187:23 disposed [4] - 13:13, 13:14, 17:7, 47:16 dissipate [1] - 57:10 dissolved [3] - 28:12, 28:16, 139:7 distill [1] - 10:8 distilled [1] - 63:20 **distinction** [1] - 158:8 distribution [2] - 113:12, 116:21 disturbance [2] - 17:14, 71:1 divergent [1] - 216:21 Divide [1] - 131:11 division [11] - 37:4, 42:2, 49:1, 50:8, 51:4, 100:23, 101:20, 109:24, 121:11, 177:12, 186:4 **DO** [2] - 218:5, 218:9 document [3] - 30:24, 160:16, 160:20 documented [2] - 167:25, 176:1 documents [5] - 161:5, 161:8, 190:12, 193:12, 194:4 dog [1] - 146:22 dollar [1] - 215:13 dollars [8] - 53:24, 62:4, 146:9, 158:5, 164:19, 170:1, 206:6, 208:16 dolomite [2] - 12:1, 14:14 domain [1] - 170:23 domestic [4] - 5:2, 134:17, 143:25, 144:3 dominant [1] - 131:11 dominantly [1] - 126:6 **DON**[1] - 2:5 Don [8] - 29:14, 29:19, 80:19, 85:23, 85:24, 104:12, 104:21, 194:13 Don's [1] - 85:25 **DONALD** [1] - 2:11 Donald [1] - 106:15 done [33] - 13:7, 14:9, 16:20, 29:4, 30:17, 43:21, 53:11, 53:12, 55:11, 58:13, 72:10, 73:12, 78:3, 78:9, 87:19, 90:14, 95:16, 100:22, 104:1, 104:5, 107:22, 107:23, 109:5, 146:18, 156:6, 157:4, 164:2, 201:6, 202:17, 206:9, 212:23 Donny [4] - 105:19, 133:19, 153:20, 163:2 doorstep [1] - 179:11 dosha [1] - 171:20

DOT [2] - 208:12, 208:14

dots [2] - 18:17, 64:2

doubt [2] - 105:15, 110:18 dovetail [1] - 147:4 down [59] - 10:8, 12:22, 14:3, 15:10, 18:12, 20:17, 21:7, 21:17, 22:2, 24:15, 24:24, 33:25, 35:14, 38:11, 42:10, 42:18, 43:5, 44:5, 54:6, 54:7, 55:25, 57:1, 64:16, 67:7, 83:1, 88:11, 88:22, 88:24, 96:13, 96:14, 97:12, 97:16, 105:9, 105:10, 105:15, 110:18, 115:1, 119:15, 122:5, 122:6, 137:7, 139:3, 144:7, 146:5, 154:2, 154:24, 155:23, 172:4, 172:9, 175:24, 176:3, 179:1, 180:10, 187:19, 190:25, 192:24, 194:25, 212:12 downhole [10] - 16:1, 16:6, 55:4, 58:21, 63:9, 64:12, 81:25, 83:17, 169:10, 202:22 Dow® [1] - 61:23 dozen [1] - 179:21 dozens [1] - 41:8 dragged [1] - 16:10 drain [1] - 42:12 drainage [1] - 112:4 drained [2] - 16:12, 16:13 dramatically [1] - 180:2 drank [3] - 192:25, 193:1, 193:4 draw [1] - 154:24 DRC [2] - 88:16, 105:24 DRC's [1] - 89:4 dreamt [1] - 215:10 **drill** [10] - 13:17, 14:3, 24:16, 26:9, 26:13, 88:6, 146:13, 146:17, 154:3, 173:21 drilled [24] - 5:10, 5:16, 11:2, 13:11, 13:12, 14:16, 15:9, 20:6, 20:7, 20:12, 21:7, 22:17, 24:14, 27:11, 30:5, 30:6, 33:1, 33:20, 43:18, 89:13, 108:14, 156:21, 179:3 drilling [51] - 5:14, 9:1, 10:25, 11:1, 13:6, 13:7, 13:16, 18:4, 18:5, 20:5, 26:8, 27:9, 27:24, 28:24, 30:11, 30:20, 31:3, 31:4, 36:4, 36:18, 36:22, 39:11, 49:17, 52:9, 55:11, 67:2, 73:12, 74:11, 74:12, 74:14, 74:17, 77:2, 91:21, 92:12, 92:15, 103:5, 109:7,

109:11, 112:12, 147:9,

163:10, 178:23, 203:17,

149:4, 150:9, 162:7, 163:9,

211:2 drills [3] - 14:4, 23:11, 110:5 drink [3] - 123:18, 123:19, 204:12 Drinking [2] - 28:19, 49:8 drinking [6] - 5:24, 50:19, 106:23, 183:14, 192:22, 211:7 drive [2] - 21:3, 83:4 driven [4] - 110:10, 173:10, 173:12, 178:21 driving [3] - 17:24, 172:9, 183:3 drop [2] - 15:10, 56:4 dropped [2] - 13:19, 14:18 dropping [1] - 39:5 **drove** [1] - 175:12 dry [3] - 13:11, 63:14, 111:13 dual [1] - 166:6 **DUANE** [2] - 140:7, 141:7 **Duane** [1] - 140:7 due [8] - 55:10, 70:14, 74:1, 76:23, 77:10, 79:25, 107:8, 181:6 dug [1] - 43:11 dump [1] - 97:12 dumped [1] - 175:14 dumping [3] - 172:12, 172:18, 180:10 **Dunn** [1] - 42:16 during [14] - 24:9, 36:17, 40:5, 42:17, 51:17, 55:22, 66:5, 76:14, 77:8, 91:19, 163:9, 163:11, 186:23 dust [1] - 134:19 **DUXBURY** [2] - 164:25, 216:7 **Duxbury** [2] - 165:1, 216:8 dynamic [1] - 150:3

Ε

e-mail [1] - 166:19 early [4] - 61:2, 72:10, 139:15, 210:13 earn [1] - 92:21 earned [1] - 25:19 earth [2] - 38:12, 205:5 earthen [1] - 47:11 easements [2] - 192:6, 192:8 easier [2] - 65:23, 167:18 easily [1] - 83:8 East [3] - 75:17, 128:7, 214:6 east [2] - 112:7, 118:19 easy [3] - 55:18, 111:11, 111:14 eat [2] - 172:25, 198:17 Eberhard [4] - 3:11, 59:11, 147:5, 200:11

EBERHARD [24] - 2:7, 59:14, 96:23, 98:2, 118:5, 127:17, 128:23, 129:8, 129:11, 130:20, 130:24, 137:16, 137:20, 167:13, 171:14, 197:5, 200:17, 203:7, 203:14, 203:19, 203:22, 203:25, 204:6, 204:12 echo [1] - 105:18 economic [6] - 6:3, 17:11, 72:4, 182:19, 209:2, 209:16 economically [2] - 52:22, 173:8 economy [5] - 119:17, 208:1, 209:10, 209:22, 217:13 edible [2] - 196:13, 204:15 education [3] - 36:19, 70:7, educational [1] - 85:13 effect [7] - 67:14, 82:22, 101:13, 101:15, 161:15, 198:12, 198:13 effective [4] - 92:21, 132:2, 134:4, 174:16 effects [9] - 51:12, 76:2, 92:4, 104:9, 119:15, 120:17, 121:14, 123:14, 134:23 effort [5] - 97:2, 105:25, 136:6, 150:23, 151:13 efforts [5] - 100:18, 103:20, 117:21, 125:12, 126:9 eight [3] - 41:6, 43:18, 92:21 eighths [2] - 42:21, 43:16 either [9] - 19:4, 43:10, 54:18, 54:19, 57:23, 74:15, 86:21, 186:20, 192:4 elders [1] - 193:1 elected [3] - 93:18, 93:20, 213:16 electric [3] - 113:11, 114:3, 115:2 electrical [1] - 79:10 elevated [1] - 123:10 elevator [1] - 52:6 Elgin [1] - 75:23 eligible [1] - 76:4 eliminate [4] - 63:4, 63:15, 65:15, 67:3 eliminated [3] - 63:20, 130:5, 130:11 embraced [1] - 216:21 emerge [1] - 72:6 **Emergency** [2] - 110:5, 110:15 emergency [10] - 46:8, 47:12, 78:15, 78:24, 100:11, 103:19, 110:23, 126:19, 138:24, 169:19

emissions [1] - 136:14 emphasize [2] - 50:6, 53:17 emplacement [2] - 188:8, 188:15 **employ** [2] - 96:17, 143:12 employed [1] - 29:23 **employees** [1] - 118:8 encountered [1] - 211:5 encourage [3] - 41:22, 93:7, 101:17 encouragement [2] - 149:23, 150:7 end [19] - 14:25, 19:10, 34:7, 43:24, 46:25, 48:7, 68:24, 73:1, 87:1, 95:23, 122:14, 155:22, 162:6, 164:2, 173:22, 180:6, 193:15, 213:25, 214:8 **endangered** [1] - 192:4 ended [1] - 44:17 ending [1] - 156:19 ends [5] - 105:16, 107:5, 107:12, 190:16, 193:14 endurance [1] - 217:24 energy [18] - 4:19, 11:14, 12:16, 69:21, 70:3, 70:18, 71:13, 72:2, 72:8, 79:1, 80:7, 88:25, 89:7, 93:15, 93:25, 133:3, 207:4 Energy [7] - 2:7, 149:9, 150:6, 152:13, 188:13, 188:18 enforce [1] - 93:2 enforcement [7] - 32:8, 105:20, 106:1, 108:24, 109:23, 163:1, 164:14 engineer [7] - 25:17, 29:15, 29:19, 29:23, 51:22, 52:19, 54:24 engineering [4] - 25:14, 25:20, 36:15, 187:11 engineers [8] - 19:13, 30:15, 30:20, 31:11, 31:21, 32:4, 32:24, 82:1 enhanced [4] - 184:5, 184:9, 187:24, 188:9 enormous [2] - 17:11, 72:3 ensure [9] - 6:21, 28:6, 30:17, 31:19, 31:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:11, 34:14 ensured [1] - 84:18 ensures [2] - 31:1, 31:25 entertain [1] - 116:23 entire [14] - 16:24, 25:5, 34:1, 37:16, 37:21, 37:22, 41:10, 55:2, 91:20, 112:11, 120:8, 145:17, 191:21 entirely [1] - 38:2

entities [1] - 208:5

environment [17] - 26:5,

45:15, 61:18, 82:9, 85:1, 85:4, 93:4, 96:25, 97:17, 114:18, 114:22, 136:21, 160:18, 160:25, 178:5, 198:19, 204:8 environmental [21] - 30:24, 30:25, 42:3, 48:24, 50:1, 58:22. 70:20. 74:16. 78:2. 78:24, 103:8, 103:13, 103:25, 157:13, 160:16, 160:25, 161:8, 181:9, 190:2, 190:11, 193:7 Environmental [8] - 47:4, 74:20, 74:22, 145:16, 160:17, 171:24, 190:3, 190:5 environmentalist [3] - 86:7, 86:10, 206:20 environmentalists [1] -103:22 environmentally [5] - 30:18, 32:14, 61:15, 214:18, 216:17 environments [2] - 65:21, 98:14 EPA [17] - 20:21, 28:17, 47:22, 47:23, 129:2, 130:6, 135:6, 135:14, 135:15, 140:13, 140:20, 141:1, 141:13, 145:7, 149:14, 193:19, 208:8 **EPA's** [2] - 149:10, 197:7 **EPCRA** [3] - 45:15, 46:3, 68:4 **equation** [1] - 11:14 equipment [12] - 26:6, 31:23, 54:3, 55:21, 56:25, 58:9, 58:11, 67:4, 67:8, 83:1, 112:2, 168:16 erode [1] - 56:5 erosion [1] - 76:7 error [1] - 57:13 escape [1] - 196:20 **especially** [9] - 59:22, 62:22, 66:24, 87:3, 87:21, 113:24, 131:6, 174:11, 189:21 essence [1] - 215:22 essential [1] - 84:25 essentially [2] - 11:9, 212:22 established [1] - 154:6 estate [1] - 191:23 estimate [1] - 5:9 et [4] - 49:21, 112:19, 144:11, 161:14 evaluate [2] - 64:1, 163:8 **evaluated** [1] - 76:2 evaluation [1] - 124:11 evaluations [1] - 168:4 evening [8] - 132:6, 132:9, 133:12, 133:15, 145:3,

164:25, 206:21, 210:8 event [5] - 92:5, 103:16, 110:9, 112:2, 202:23 events [2] - 65:4, 104:10 eventually [2] - 107:2, 122:13 evidence [1] - 43:20 evolve [4] - 61:4, 61:5, 62:1, 62:9 evolving [1] - 60:24 exact [5] - 118:6, 118:10, 119:3, 177:14, 196:16 exactly [2] - 45:19, 185:21 examiners [1] - 30:16 example [11] - 33:20, 50:9, 67:15, 68:20, 93:13, 100:8, 111:19, 125:25, 129:15, 164:16, 171:7 **exceed** [2] - 56:19, 57:4 exceeds [1] - 16:7 **excellent** [1] - 110:12 except [1] - 19:17 exchange [1] - 10:3 excuse [4] - 143:22, 144:18, 155:5, 195:6 exempt [1] - 28:18 exempted [1] - 188:17 **exemption** [1] - 198:9 exercise [2] - 110:19, 132:21 exist [1] - 119:7 existed [1] - 147:13 exists [3] - 37:21, 82:10, expanded [2] - 134:21, 150:3 expanding [1] - 96:15 **expansive** [1] - 97:8 expect [3] - 40:1, 140:1, 149:24 expense [1] - 118:21 expenses [1] - 118:20 expensive [2] - 62:3, 62:20 **experience** [4] - 100:22, 102:8, 134:5, 135:24 experienced [4] - 57:19. 57:25, 135:25, 139:12 experiencing [2] - 49:5, 120:15 expert [1] - 150:16 **expertise** [1] - 92:24 expire [1] - 146:20 expires [1] - 146:14 explain [1] - 142:25 explicit [1] - 150:21 exploded [1] - 144:1 exploration [5] - 7:21, 71:18, 85:8, 91:20, 143:12 **explore** [2] - 12:19, 50:6 exposed [1] - 57:9 expressed [1] - 85:20

extended [1] - 98:15
extending [1] - 85:11
extent [3] - 40:8, 40:9,
190:19
exterior [1] - 191:4
extra [1] - 109:12
extraction [1] - 119:23
extreme [1] - 124:9
extremely [2] - 56:4, 166:18
extroverts [1] - 35:18
eye [1] - 48:2
eyes [1] - 172:19

F

fabulous [1] - 7:13 facilitate [1] - 7:9 facilities [2] - 108:19, 118:23 facility [5] - 43:8, 49:15, 111:20, 118:16, 163:21 facing [1] - 8:9 fact [6] - 26:7, 74:2, 77:10, 108:20, 156:12, 187:7 factors [4] - 31:15, 32:10, 157:9, 194:16 facts [3] - 197:6, 199:3 fail [1] - 17:23 fail-safe [1] - 17:23 failed [5] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:15, 43:16, 44:14 failure [2] - 58:9, 93:5 failures [2] - 42:15, 44:4 fair [2] - 155:19, 216:20 Fairbanks [1] - 25:21 fairly [3] - 25:4, 48:19, 124:24 faith [1] - 157:4 fall [2] - 21:17, 81:7 fallen [1] - 179:9 falling [1] - 173:10 Falls [2] - 29:20, 29:21 falls [1] - 122:4 familiar [1] - 8:2 families [1] - 212:9 family [2] - 47:21, 210:13 fantastic [1] - 7:5 far [20] - 9:16, 82:7, 82:12, 98:25, 102:15, 104:25, 105:9, 116:11, 119:12, 122:5, 130:8, 132:1, 135:14, 135:19, 138:1, 165:7, 172:4, 176:9, 180:16, 211:10 Farm [6] - 132:8, 132:9, 132:13, 132:18, 132:25, 140:10 farm [4] - 80:23, 83:1, 132:13, 210:12 farmer [5] - 23:11, 86:17,

143:7, 145:12, 205:11 farming [3] - 82:25, 168:24, 210:15 farmland [1] - 209:7 farms [2] - 81:13, 215:5 fashion [1] - 157:5 fast [4] - 101:5, 143:5, 161:13 fast-growing [1] - 101:5 **fast-moving** [1] - 101:5 fault [1] - 24:3 **FBI** [2] - 191:9, 191:10 fear [1] - 214:8 fears [3] - 197:11, 197:17, 205:23 **February** [1] - 108:15 fed [1] - 172:25 federal [66] - 5:10, 26:12, 26:13, 26:18, 26:20, 26:21, 28:21, 30:6, 30:12, 32:7, 32:16, 34:7, 40:24, 46:3, 46:9, 69:9, 71:17, 71:22, 74:3, 74:19, 76:1, 76:2, 78:19, 86:21, 87:24, 88:3, 88:7, 89:16, 93:8, 93:11, 104:2, 104:25, 114:25, 125:2, 125:3, 126:24, 126:25, 135:5, 135:18, 141:17, 141:19, 141:21, 148:20, 149:7, 161:20, 162:14. 162:21. 165:5. 165:13, 165:17, 165:21, 166:11, 166:15, 166:23, 166:24, 189:15, 193:10, 207:8, 207:17, 208:8, 208:15, 213:4, 216:14 Federal [2] - 42:16, 102:19 Feds [1] - 104:18 fee [3] - 40:24, 74:15, 162:21 fees [2] - 164:9, 164:13 feet [28] - 12:23, 12:25, 21:4, 21:5, 21:9, 21:17, 33:16, 33:17, 34:1, 38:7, 38:12, 38:13, 41:7, 42:9, 42:22, 43:15, 43:16, 43:17, 47:9, 63:1, 64:3, 64:4, 65:7, 65:12 felony [1] - 178:14 felt [1] - 198:18 fertilizer [1] - 83:6 few [24] - 25:23, 31:5, 37:21, 44:9, 51:23, 53:12, 55:16, 56:7, 61:11, 61:22, 106:16, 107:13, 133:17, 137:4, 164:19, 165:6, 177:6, 177:15, 178:17, 182:23, 201:18, 206:23, 209:13 Fewless [5] - 3:9, 43:21,

210:1, 210:4, 210:9

farmers [5] - 81:8, 85:5,

48:17, 48:24, 111:8 FEWLESS [9] - 2:10, 48:23, 120:23, 123:9, 124:3, 185:15, 185:18, 185:20, field [23] - 8:7, 19:11, 25:4, 27:22, 29:3, 29:19, 29:20, 30:2, 52:25, 97:5, 109:16, 109:23, 112:8, 115:25, 121:18, 126:1, 126:3, 137:4, 156:14, 157:12, 172:22, 175:16, 191:13 fieldperson [1] - 121:23 fields [3] - 36:21, 143:22, 205:11 fifth [1] - 210:14 figure [3] - 65:19, 65:20, 209:8 filed [1] - 92:19 files [1] - 184:23 filled [1] - 15:1 final [6] - 44:12, 80:2, 92:14, 101:13, 102:22, 119:12 finally [5] - 15:6, 46:24, 68:10, 98:22, 110:2 fine [4] - 17:25, 59:18, 93:12, 216:1 fines [13] - 164:13, 172:14, 176:9, 176:11, 176:12, 176:17, 176:18, 176:21, 176:24, 178:8, 178:15, 182:18, 186:25 **fingerprint** [1] - 23:25 finish [2] - 35:11, 175:7 fire [3] - 15:11, 22:16, 44:17 fires [1] - 45:24 firing [1] - 11:7 firm [1] - 9:2 first [34] - 8:21, 18:8, 30:4, 31:6, 38:25, 42:16, 54:2, 55:18, 58:4, 58:6, 60:3, 60:5, 60:13, 61:12, 66:11, 73:8, 88:8, 90:19, 106:2, 107:25, 124:3, 133:19, 135:16, 141:8, 149:17, 156:24, 165:9, 174:17, 178:23, 194:11, 196:9, 198:12, 206:25, 212:16 firsthand [1] - 180:9 fiscally [3] - 207:5, 207:12, 207:21 fish [2] - 106:22, 197:14 Fish [8] - 116:2, 189:2, 189:8, 190:7, 190:17, 191:25, 192:5 fishing [3] - 115:19, 115:23, 203:10

fitting [2] - 44:7, 44:8

five [14] - 25:25, 35:9, 42:21,

43:16, 44:23, 73:22, 86:1,

90:22, 92:10, 193:14, 201:22, 210:22, 212:17, 214:23 five-day [1] - 193:14 five-plus [1] - 212:17 fix [2] - 87:17, 90:13 fixed [1] - 90:15 flame [1] - 23:5 flared [2] - 137:5, 139:2 floods [1] - 107:17 floor [1] - 94:23 flour [1] - 98:14 flow [12] - 31:24, 44:7, 44:16, 45:7, 54:10, 54:12, 56:10, 96:14, 111:15, 131:20, 203:15 flowback [12] - 17:3, 46:24, 47:15, 55:23, 131:18, 137:24, 138:1, 138:18, 138:19, 138:24, 200:22 flowed [1] - 192:24 flowing [1] - 22:2 flows [4] - 16:14, 16:15, 17:2, 17:5 fluid [21] - 15:23, 16:6, 46:20, 56:5, 56:23, 61:14, 62:15, 63:19, 64:10, 66:14, 92:17, 92:18, 129:17, 130:14, 131:4, 131:11, 148:14, 148:19, 167:5, 200:15, 203:16 fluid's [1] - 130:15 fluids [26] - 6:5, 16:10, 19:4, 24:4, 41:4, 49:17, 54:5, 55:24, 56:1, 56:5, 61:13, 63:15, 63:17, 68:15, 68:19, 96:14, 107:2, 111:12, 130:4, 132:1, 167:14, 178:5, 188:8, 188:16, 196:17, 200:21 focus [3] - 31:5, 41:16, 151:10 folks [6] - 9:17, 116:11, 116:13, 116:17, 116:22, 165:15 follow [5] - 26:23, 34:24, 58:24, 165:2, 203:2 follow-up [1] - 203:2 followed [4] - 20:12, 28:2, 58:1. 104:3 following [9] - 4:1, 9:6, 9:22, 32:10, 36:17, 36:19, 75:9, 101:1, 206:5 food [1] - 62:16 food-grade [1] - 62:16 foot [2] - 65:2, 65:9 footprint [4] - 25:8, 66:22, 77:18, 87:19 force [2] - 139:21, 189:19 foregoing [2] - 218:6, 218:10

foreign [4] - 4:23, 133:7, 209:23, 210:2 foresight [2] - 217:16, 217:21 Forest [6] - 26:18, 88:3, 189:10, 191:17, 191:20, 192:11 **forethought** [2] - 217:15, 217:21 **forever** [2] - 75:2, 206:8 forgotten [1] - 190:2 Fork [1] - 75:17 Forks [2] - 41:12, 42:6 form [3] - 33:4, 46:23, 68:2 formal [1] - 70:7 format [2] - 24:14, 167:12 formation [15] - 11:23, 14:13, 17:1, 19:9, 27:10, 47:5, 54:8, 54:11, 60:9, 60:11, 65:25, 66:1, 139:9, 187:15 formations [9] - 5:15, 12:11, 27:13, 32:3, 41:6, 41:7, 47:6, 62:25, 130:16 formed [1] - 139:21 forming [1] - 215:19 forms [1] - 13:1 formulate [1] - 94:15 formulation [2] - 62:15, 68:20 Fort [28] - 70:14, 70:18, 71:6, 71:14, 71:19, 71:24, 72:5, 72:9, 72:24, 73:17, 74:5, 74:10, 74:13, 74:18, 75:4, 75:13, 75:19, 76:6, 76:15, 77:5, 78:4, 78:8, 79:3, 80:4, 181:19, 192:25, 193:8 forth [4] - 46:22, 58:25, 59:1, 192:15 **forum** [15] - 4:6, 4:13, 8:10, 30:3, 35:7, 81:2, 85:13, 86:4, 104:23, 115:17, 116:15, 142:23, 165:10, 167:11, 199:25 **FORUM** [2] - 1:3, 1:10 forums [2] - 6:8, 117:2 forward [12] - 4:5, 4:16, 6:10, 6:17, 6:19, 22:15, 25:6, 39:11, 51:17, 60:24, 62:10, 213:14 fossil [2] - 114:5, 214:7 Fossil [1] - 150:6 foster [1] - 41:22 fought [1] - 89:10 **foundation** [1] - 13:1 four [7] - 11:17, 42:14, 83:4, 87:6, 92:8, 135:16, 210:25 four-wheel-drive [1] - 83:4 four-year [1] - 135:16 **FOX** [5] - 2:7, 69:23, 103:15,

126:14, 181:3 Fox [6] - 3:12, 33:22, 34:1, 69:19, 70:1, 75:15 FracFocus [3] - 147:19, 148:2, 148:25 fracfocus.org [4] - 68:7, 147:22, 148:7, 149:18 frack [40] - 21:22, 42:25, 46:20, 55:14, 58:18, 58:20, 60:5, 60:6, 65:5, 65:6, 66:20, 68:19, 129:1, 129:3, 129:5, 129:7, 129:17, 129:18, 129:20, 129:21, 129:24, 131:1, 131:4, 131:8, 131:9, 131:18, 131:21, 136:2, 136:5, 136:6, 136:10, 167:24, 172:10, 193:4, 201:4, 203:16, 203:17, 206:16 fracked [2] - 187:13, 187:17 fracking [36] - 21:2, 22:9, 44:20, 82:1, 82:3, 82:13, 82:17, 82:21, 84:11, 84:21, 89:25, 90:3, 107:2, 112:12, 113:24, 114:14, 114:17, 115:25, 120:18, 127:7, 127:10, 134:10, 134:14, 135:7, 136:12, 167:5, 172:23, 184:16, 187:5, 188:10, 193:9, 196:13, 196:16, 200:5 fracks [5] - 21:3, 21:24, 64:3, 65:22, 168:22 fracture [14] - 16:12, 22:3, 33:4, 55:8, 55:22, 62:17, 64:1, 65:13, 65:16, 92:16, 128:12, 148:9, 148:12, 148:19 fractured [6] - 11:2, 16:25, 29:11, 41:5, 211:1, 214:10 fractures [5] - 16:9, 16:11, 16:14, 16:17, 21:8 fracturing [96] - 4:17, 5:5, 5:12, 5:17, 6:2, 6:4, 6:16, 6:21, 11:1, 15:15, 15:19, 15:21, 16:4, 16:23, 17:13, 18:5, 18:6, 20:16, 24:18, 26:6, 27:8, 29:7, 29:8, 31:8, 38:10, 38:15, 39:9, 41:25, 42:12, 42:17, 44:3, 46:14, 52:5, 52:8, 53:22, 59:4, 60:21, 60:25, 68:12, 68:15, 68:24, 69:3, 87:1, 91:23, 131:24, 132:12, 132:17, 132:22, 133:8, 135:17, 140:21, 140:23, 141:1, 141:12, 147:10, 147:16, 147:25, 148:6,

148:14, 149:6, 149:12,

149:19, 152:4, 166:2,

166:16, 167:4, 167:22, 168:1, 171:10, 171:11, 172:3, 178:5, 182:8, 182:11, 183:16, 188:15, 188:17, 196:12, 197:20, 197:21, 197:25, 198:4, 199:8, 199:9, 199:11, 199:14, 200:15, 200:23, 203:13, 211:11, 212:21, 213:6, 214:6, 216:2, 216:13, 217:4 Fracturing [3] - 8:23, 10:18, 165:10 FRACTURING [1] - 1:3 frame [2] - 131:10, 167:25 Franchuk [1] - 43:14 frankly [1] - 207:11 Fred [9] - 69:19, 69:21, 70:1, 80:15, 103:4, 103:14, 126:12, 172:13, 176:22 FRED [1] - 2:7 free [1] - 129:13 freedoms [1] - 198:23 frequency [1] - 160:9 frequent [1] - 190:18 frequently [2] - 157:7, 157:14 freshwater [14] - 18:20, 34:12, 38:8, 38:13, 41:7, 41:13, 42:7, 47:6, 47:10, 84:7, 84:20, 95:24, 131:22, 138:5 friction [2] - 205:9, 205:10 friend [3] - 103:8, 182:21, 182:23 friendly [2] - 61:15, 210:3 friends [5] - 34:20, 48:12, 116:5, 171:21, 209:4 friendship [1] - 78:20 front [3] - 68:13, 112:12, 214:4 front-page [1] - 214:4 frozen [3] - 111:1, 111:4, 111:17 fuel [3] - 114:2, 114:4, 214:7 fuels [2] - 114:5, 115:12 fugitive [1] - 136:14 full [5] - 23:8, 48:5, 124:5, 192:1, 196:20 full-time [1] - 48:5 fully [1] - 67:18 fun [2] - 52:13, 57:23 function [2] - 197:22, 197:24 Fund [4] - 120:2, 120:4, 120:5, 164:16 fund [7] - 36:18, 120:3, 120:5, 120:7, 164:5, 164:20, 209:8 funded [4] - 152:12, 164:10, 179:15, 179:16

funding [1] - 164:9 funds [1] - 150:17 funeral [1] - 183:4 funny [1] - 85:2 FURTHER [1] - 218:9 future [12] - 4:25, 11:12, 28:9, 50:7, 104:1, 109:3, 120:6, 129:6, 183:11, 198:24, 207:24, 208:6

G

gain [1] - 84:3 gallon [2] - 129:16, 129:17 gallons [7] - 39:12, 45:2, 82:20, 88:12, 130:14, 131:20, 192:21 Game [6] - 116:2, 189:2, 189:8, 190:7, 190:17, 191:25 game [1] - 216:25 gamut [1] - 192:1 gas [124] - 4:18, 5:3, 5:7, 5:14, 10:20, 11:11, 11:19, 12:8, 12:12, 12:13, 12:21, 16:14, 17:2, 18:17, 19:5, 19:17, 19:18, 23:1, 23:6, 23:8, 23:10, 23:15, 23:25, 26:14, 36:4, 37:4, 37:5, 39:23, 41:23, 42:2, 45:6, 49:11, 49:14, 50:8, 51:3, 70:14, 70:19, 70:21, 71:6, 71:11, 72:2, 72:12, 72:19, 73:4, 73:7, 73:19, 74:18, 74:24, 76:16, 78:8, 78:23, 79:4, 79:14, 80:3, 81:20, 82:11, 85:15, 91:20, 95:17, 98:6, 100:23, 101:20, 102:10, 103:4, 109:24, 113:12, 113:13, 113:25, 114:1, 114:3, 114:10, 115:1, 115:3, 115:12, 117:12, 118:1, 121:11, 122:7, 127:11, 136:2, 136:3, 136:17, 136:20, 137:5, 137:6, 137:7, 137:8, 139:1, 139:2, 141:18, 142:14, 143:1, 147:7, 147:25, 149:18, 151:12, 151:22, 152:21, 152:23, 162:24, 163:7, 163:15, 164:1, 164:8, 166:5, 166:6, 166:25, 171:10, 177:12, 178:18, 181:9, 184:2, 184:6, 186:4, 188:9, 193:8, 198:2, 201:16, 207:3, 213:9, 213:10, 213:19 Gas [6] - 2:9, 29:20, 36:1, 147:20, 151:11, 169:17 gas-producing [2] - 151:22,

handle [4] - 40:11, 152:18,

168:16, 174:16

163:15 gases [1] - 90:17 GasFrac [2] - 127:11, 127:17 gather [1] - 72:22 gathering [3] - 139:3, 139:4, 139:5 gears [1] - 50:15 gel [1] - 63:14 gelled [1] - 66:14 general [8] - 14:10, 32:13, 71:5, 120:3, 120:7, 160:11, 164:5, 174:6 General [1] - 213:17 General's [1] - 177:9 generally [11] - 13:7, 13:10, 15:22, 16:19, 16:21, 28:11, 28:14, 28:16, 30:24, 111:12, 186:9 generate [5] - 40:3, 65:12, 66:2, 128:12, 170:7 generating [1] - 39:24 generation [2] - 86:18, 210:14 generations [2] - 5:1, 183:11 generic [2] - 12:21, 14:8 gentleman [2] - 84:2, 113:4 gentlemen [1] - 99:7 genuine [1] - 10:13 **geologic** [2] - 5:14, 114:20 Geological [1] - 37:6 geological [3] - 37:21, 37:22, geologist [2] - 7:21, 7:23 geologists [3] - 30:15, 82:2, geology [4] - 7:24, 37:12, 37:18, 117:15 geometries [1] - 128:12 gift [1] - 71:12 given [12] - 10:15, 27:14, 32:9, 52:7, 93:18, 125:1, 143:8, 143:12, 162:7, 191:19, 212:20, 216:12 glad [1] - 69:12 Glatt [1] - 190:1 global [3] - 112:22, 142:6, 207:6 go-to [1] - 191:11 goal [4] - 49:22, 111:8, 111:17, 163:13 God [1] - 195:16 govern [1] - 40:21 governance [1] - 117:25 governing [2] - 52:23, 150:19 government [14] - 26:20, 40:6, 71:17, 87:11, 87:15, 87:25, 90:6, 114:25, 120:8, 145:21, 169:22, 203:6, 207:18, 213:5

government's [2] - 159:15, 217:19 Governor [1] - 215:13 governor [4] - 109:20, 151:23, 163:18, 213:17 governor's [1] - 179:24 governors [1] - 151:22 governs [1] - 40:17 grab [1] - 35:9 grade [2] - 31:14, 62:16 gradient [2] - 21:23, 206:17 graduated [2] - 7:22, 60:1 graduating [1] - 215:6 grandmother [1] - 195:1 grandparents [1] - 198:21 grasp [1] - 9:2 grassland [1] - 192:6 grasslands [2] - 189:11, 189:13 grassroots [1] - 145:8 gray [3] - 13:1, 33:17, 129:23 graze [1] - 86:23 Great [2] - 29:20, 29:21 great [17] - 12:12, 23:2, 33:20, 39:15, 80:20, 85:24, 86:2, 101:24, 104:11, 156:1, 164:23, 173:7, 182:3, 209:10, 212:20, 212:24, 215:4 greater [3] - 8:1, 10:10, 21:3 greatly [1] - 24:22 green [5] - 61:6, 61:12, 61:13, 68:16, 204:15 greener [1] - 61:6 greeting [1] - 36:8 grew [1] - 197:15 gross [1] - 119:21 Ground [11] - 36:10, 67:13, 147:3, 147:19, 150:23, 151:7, 151:16, 163:6, 167:4, 169:5, 170:25 ground [7] - 9:3, 45:1, 51:11, 52:21, 76:14, 105:22, 215:14 ground-clearing [1] - 76:14 groundbreaking [1] - 147:23 grounding [3] - 8:23, 9:6, 25:10 groundwater [28] - 13:23, 15:6, 15:7, 19:19, 21:10, 22:5, 39:2, 39:8, 41:4, 43:24, 45:11, 49:7, 62:24, 75:13, 75:14, 77:2, 82:10, 83:15, 83:24, 100:15, 134:16, 147:17, 149:1,

149:9, 172:3, 211:12,

groups [4] - 9:19, 51:7,

group [5] - 51:4, 81:7, 86:7,

214:12, 214:16

151:10, 151:14

88:14, 115:22 grow [1] - 64:3 growing [2] - 96:15, 101:5 grown [1] - 5:13 growth [1] - 5:2 grueling [1] - 212:17 guarantee [2] - 95:6, 183:13 guaranteed [2] - 95:22, 96:9 guard [1] - 39:2 guess [38] - 46:15, 58:15, 58:24, 59:14, 59:17, 84:9, 86:5, 86:9, 93:9, 93:11, 96:1, 99:2, 104:21, 105:5, 105:6. 105:18. 117:23. 119:9, 120:22, 124:3, 126:17, 127:7, 130:12, 136:9, 136:23, 159:21, 164:4, 167:10, 170:18, 173:16, 181:20, 185:10, 185:20, 195:22, 197:13, 199:17, 209:18, 217:22 guidelines [3] - 58:24, 58:25, 59:1 guise [1] - 196:17 gun [1] - 16:22 guns [1] - 15:11 guy [8] - 11:4, 23:23, 53:6, 139:19, 139:20, 170:15, 205:17 quys [10] - 94:1, 94:8, 106:8, 175:8, 176:22, 187:19, 189:4, 191:8, 197:15, 212:19 **GWPC** [1] - 67:14 Н

Halliburton [20] - 2:7, 56:23, 59:12, 59:25, 60:3, 60:13, 61:20, 67:18, 68:11, 84:2, 96:22, 117:24, 118:3, 127:7, 129:12, 130:8, 167:10, 198:10, 198:11, 202:2

Halliburton's [2] - 61:11, 68:10

halliburton.com [2] - 68:13, 201:15

hand [4] - 33:12, 46:18, 100:3, 166:23

hand-off [1] - 100:3

handedly [1] - 203:5

handful [1] - 67:25

half [10] - 17:5, 43:5, 119:20,

119:23, 131:20, 141:4,

149:17, 179:21, 207:7

half-lives [1] - 43:5

Hall [2] - 71:11, 80:8

hall [1] - 214:22

handled [1] - 99:23 handouts [1] - 91:11 hands [4] - 135:2, 205:22, 206:1, 213:16 Hanley [2] - 3:4, 4:7 HANLEY [1] - 4:10 HANSON [4] - 2:8, 80:22, 105:18, 146:2 Hanson [4] - 3:13, 80:17, 80:23, 104:13 happy [9] - 80:12, 110:21, 115:5. 141:11. 150:11. 181:2, 189:25, 201:2, 201:5 Happy [1] - 36:8 hard [3] - 77:23, 142:12, 215:18 harder [2] - 21:21, 94:5 hardship [1] - 159:20 harm [1] - 72:4 harmful [1] - 115:2 harming [1] - 57:11 harsh [1] - 195:5 hat [1] - 209:19 hauled [2] - 46:7, 168:25 hauler [1] - 177:6 haulers [4] - 177:10, 177:17, 180:11 hauling [2] - 181:7, 202:5 hauls [1] - 63:17 hay [2] - 172:22, 172:24 Haynesville [1] - 12:9 hazard [1] - 175:18 head [3] - 39:5, 118:6, 185:24 Head [1] - 80:10 headed [1] - 139:25 heads [1] - 40:10 health [11] - 26:5, 48:25, 92:3, 93:3, 93:4, 106:20, 120:17, 121:14, 122:6, 122:16, 123:14 Health [24] - 2:10, 38:20, 45:13, 46:1, 49:1, 49:2, 49:6, 49:16, 100:1, 100:10, 120:13, 121:20, 122:8, 123:4, 139:17, 139:20, 177:13, 177:15, 177:20, 190:4, 190:6, 190:16 hear [21] - 6:15, 8:7, 8:8, 9:12, 9:14, 35:20, 40:5, 101:25, 106:12, 106:14, 110:21, 116:13, 130:12, 135:12, 140:19, 140:22, 172:2, 174:23, 181:2, 213:2, 216:23 heard [19] - 5:23, 7:6, 11:24, 20:15, 55:17, 87:20, 88:10,

89:6, 90:21, 116:3, 142:23, 194:12, 198:9, 204:16, 213:2, 213:3, 215:25, 217:12 hearing [7] - 4:16, 6:18, 12:15, 113:22, 116:17, 141:13, 173:7 hearings [6] - 78:5, 125:21, 190:23, 193:14, 193:22, 215:24 heart [2] - 52:4, 210:11 heating [1] - 114:9 **heavily** [1] - 59:9 heavy [1] - 185:12 heck [2] - 127:23, 195:20 height [3] - 64:1, 65:12, 65:13 heights [1] - 92:17 held [9] - 8:10, 26:21, 26:22, 71:16, 78:6, 95:7, 102:11, 162:23, 214:21 Hell [1] - 75:16 hello [4] - 4:4, 171:20 **HELMS** [37] - 2:9, 36:5, 99:22, 108:2, 108:5, 108:8, 111:6, 112:25, 119:19, 121:9, 122:24, 125:6, 125:9, 129:10, 131:2, 138:21, 151:20, 151:25, 162:18, 164:4, 164:7, 166:9, 177:2, 177:5, 184:1, 184:8, 184:18, 185:4, 185:8, 185:17, 185:19, 186:5, 187:6, 187:22, 188:12, 189:23, 190:5 Helms [9] - 3:8, 35:25, 88:10, 99:8, 106:14, 124:23, 181:11, 183:23, 189:4 help [17] - 36:18, 105:11, 108:2, 108:6, 123:1, 123:7, 134:22, 147:23, 152:3, 174:5, 175:19, 175:20, 179:20, 187:11, 202:15, 202:24, 205:12 helped [2] - 120:19, 122:20 **helpful** [1] - 140:5 helping [2] - 122:19, 179:18 helps [2] - 37:17, 190:20 **HEREBY** [2] - 218:5, 218:9 herein [1] - 4:2 hereinbefore [1] - 218:7 hero [1] - 212:13 heroes [1] - 11:13 Hess [3] - 36:24, 156:14, 156:15 Hidatsa [2] - 71:3, 171:22 hide [3] - 196:24, 201:25, 202:11 high [13] - 16:1, 19:16, 32:15, 44:24, 56:4, 56:13,

57:9, 71:2, 98:13, 138:8, 160:8, 162:5, 162:6 high-end [1] - 162:6 **high-priority** [1] - 32:15 high-risk [1] - 160:8 high-temperature [1] - 98:13 higher [4] - 22:4, 57:7, 67:8, 163:13 highest [3] - 8:16, 134:15, 213:16 **highly** [2] - 95:11, 122:10 highways [3] - 172:11, 176:13 hill [1] - 112:5 Hills [3] - 33:22, 34:2, 75:15 hindered [1] - 74:3 **HIPAA** [1] - 122:4 historic [3] - 75:21, 76:3, 76:19 **Historic** [2] - 75:24, 76:5 history [4] - 157:9, 197:9, 212:13, 213:21 hit [2] - 10:19, 28:6 **hmm** [1] - 158:24 **HOFF** [1] - 142:2 Hoff [1] - 142:2 Hog [1] - 36:10 hold [3] - 89:8, 171:2, 201:19 holding [3] - 6:8, 86:4, 155:23 **holds** [3] - 16:11, 54:25, 99:5 hole [11] - 13:19, 13:20, 13:24, 14:1, 14:18, 14:21, 14:24, 15:1, 18:19, 24:1, 42:12 holes [2] - 15:13, 177:25 home [2] - 173:8, 183:15 homes [1] - 120:16 homework [1] - 196:2 honest [1] - 124:25 honestly [1] - 153:9 honor [1] - 199:18 hope [7] - 6:9, 84:4, 117:20, 150:7, 156:20, 195:16, 208:24 hopefully [7] - 8:3, 17:17, 24:21, 50:25, 190:19, 191:15, 194:18 hoping [1] - 122:24 horizontal [3] - 10:25, 41:13, 42:11 horsepower [1] - 67:8 host [1] - 199:24 Hosted [1] - 1:23 hot [1] - 67:11 hotlines [1] - 169:19

hour [2] - 94:14, 207:7

hours [8] - 45:10, 47:14,

56:7, 67:1, 137:5, 161:22,

house [3] - 80:17, 80:19, 211:8 housekeeping [1] - 7:8 housing [4] - 143:14, 144:9, 179:16, 209:9 Hovda [1] - 73:10 huge [9] - 39:13, 83:15, 87:19, 88:8, 90:23, 92:24, 127:5, 139:12, 143:21 hugely [1] - 115:20 HULLET [1] - 206:21 Hullet [1] - 206:22 human [8] - 39:22, 40:14, 48:11, 57:13, 142:8, 142:11, 182:15, 182:25 humans [1] - 123:19 hump [1] - 179:19 hundred [4] - 21:4, 64:3, 158:4, 159:2 hundreds [5] - 21:4, 62:3, 82:19, 153:5, 153:8 hunt [1] - 197:14 Hunt's [1] - 192:20 hunting [3] - 115:19, 115:23, 189:13 hurry [4] - 58:12, 143:2, 144:14, 178:20 hurt [1] - 179:2 hybrid [1] - 68:20 Hydraulic [3] - 8:23, 10:18, 165:10 hydraulic [98] - 4:17, 5:5, 5:11, 5:17, 6:2, 6:4, 6:15, 6:21, 11:1, 11:2, 15:15, 15:19, 15:21, 16:3, 16:23, 18:4, 18:6, 20:16, 24:18, 26:6, 27:8, 29:7, 29:8, 31:8, 38:15, 39:9, 41:25, 42:12, 42:17, 46:14, 52:5, 52:8, 53:22, 54:5, 56:22, 59:3, 60:21, 60:25, 68:12, 68:15, 68:24, 69:3, 82:1, 82:13, 87:1, 91:22, 113:24, 114:13, 114:16, 127:6, 132:11, 132:16, 132:22, 133:8, 135:16, 147:10, 147:16, 147:25, 148:6, 148:13, 148:18, 149:5, 149:12, 152:4, 166:2, 166:15, 167:3, 167:22, 168:1, 171:10, 171:11, 172:2, 178:4, 182:7, 182:11, 183:16, 186:6, 188:14, 188:16, 196:11, 197:19, 197:21, 197:24, 198:4, 199:8, 199:9, 199:11, 199:13, 200:15, 200:23, 203:13, 211:10,

199:16, 212:18

House [2] - 132:19, 132:20

212:21, 213:6, 214:5, 216:2, 216:13, 217:3 **HYDRAULIC** [1] - 1:3 hydraulically [4] - 29:11, 38:10, 41:5, 44:3 hydrocarbon [3] - 129:22, 129:24, 132:1 hydrocarbon-based [1] -132:1 hydrocarbons [3] - 59:24, 63:21, 64:15 hydrofracking [1] - 106:19 hydrology [1] - 149:1 hydrostatic [1] - 23:14 HYNEK [1] - 210:8 Hynek [1] - 210:9

1

ice [1] - 111:17 Idaho [1] - 43:10 idea [2] - 13:23, 145:4 ideal [2] - 18:16, 18:21 ideals [1] - 210:3 ideas [2] - 10:3, 210:3 identified [1] - 186:23 identifier [1] - 91:16 II [5] - 185:16, 185:19, 187:20, 187:23, 188:4 **III** [1] - 68:4 illegal [6] - 172:11, 172:18, 177:20, 180:9, 191:12 illness [1] - 123:24 illustration [2] - 37:11, 44:25 images [1] - 103:8 immediate [1] - 147:24 immediately [3] - 103:18, 112:10, 216:11 impact [22] - 24:22, 50:1, 60:17, 61:18, 79:9, 81:12, 82:3, 82:8, 82:15, 116:3, 125:23, 142:13, 143:16, 160:19, 161:12, 174:1, 193:2, 209:3, 209:16, 209:17, 211:11 **impacted** [1] - 193:2 **impacts** [20] - 6:3, 70:13, 71:5, 71:9, 76:6, 78:1, 79:18, 82:12, 84:11, 84:13, 103:11, 136:4, 160:22, 161:3, 161:7, 174:11, 189:16, 190:9, 192:2, 199:12 impermeable [4] - 11:9, 41:8, 41:14, 47:7 implement [2] - 49:8, 136:19 **implementing** [2] - 104:7, 112:16

implicating [1] - 23:23

implication [1] - 155:21 implied [1] - 153:14 implying [1] - 143:17 importance [2] - 58:16, 83:20 important [29] - 4:6, 4:17, 4:19, 8:13, 25:7, 63:8, 67:22, 70:23, 71:1, 75:1, 75:21, 77:3, 77:21, 79:6, 79:23, 85:13, 87:2, 106:21, 114:2, 114:7, 114:8, 114:11, 114:13, 115:14, 115:20, 116:1, 133:9, 140:18, 162:19 impose [2] - 176:12, 192:3 impoundment [1] - 15:17 impractical [1] - 138:7 impression [1] - 141:10 imprint [1] - 90:23 **improve** [1] - 69:5 improvement [1] - 62:2 **improving** [1] - 59:5 in-state [1] - 118:8 inadequate [2] - 164:17, 186:19 incentive [1] - 196:24 inch [7] - 39:18, 42:20, 43:15, 44:8, 45:2, 54:7, 55:3 incident [12] - 44:9, 51:8, 78:17, 100:4, 110:17, 169:9, 169:10, 169:18, 170:20, 202:9, 202:15, 211:13 incidents [2] - 45:18, 105:11 incinerated [1] - 139:25 include [7] - 5:23, 15:16, 103:13, 117:2, 150:3, 157:9 included [4] - 76:3, 145:19, 194:16, 196:22 includes [1] - 50:18 including [3] - 82:9, 147:8, 148:14 income [2] - 118:9, 207:17 incorporated [1] - 125:24 increase [4] - 5:7, 109:23, 133:6, 149:24 increased [6] - 5:18, 76:21, 83:11, 146:16, 166:14, 186:20 incredible [2] - 40:14, 82:18 indeed [1] - 20:22 independent [2] - 148:3, 202:18 **INDEX** [1] - 3:2 Indian [38] - 30:6, 30:12, 32:7, 34:8, 70:14, 70:19, 71:6, 71:14, 71:15, 71:20,

71:23, 72:9, 73:18, 74:10,

74:13, 74:18, 74:19, 75:4, 75:20, 76:7, 77:5, 78:4, 78:10, 78:22, 79:15, 80:4, 103:21, 125:14, 125:17, 125:23, 126:6, 126:10, 126:16, 126:22, 126:25, 174:8, 181:19 indicated [1] - 218:8 indication [1] - 194:4 Indigenous [1] - 171:24 indirect [1] - 164:4 individual [11] - 51:9, 109:14, 121:2, 121:24, 122:5, 122:16, 148:9, 163:8, 176:6, 182:25, 193:16 individually [1] - 78:14 individuals [6] - 81:15, 120:15, 121:12, 125:19, 146:5, 180:19 induced [1] - 16:9 Industrial [11] - 38:19, 38:22, 40:22, 41:18, 41:20, 41:21, 45:8, 47:1, 101:8, 109:22, 213:18 industries [9] - 50:17, 61:4, 61:5, 117:21, 133:3, 189:1, 189:6, 196:19, 214:17 industry [82] - 5:4, 5:6, 6:20, 7:19, 7:21, 9:17, 10:20, 34:25, 35:23, 37:6, 40:15, 48:21, 50:7, 52:15, 52:23, 57:18, 60:22, 61:9, 67:10, 67:18, 72:3, 81:20, 82:11, 84:5, 85:15, 86:11, 87:2, 87:12, 88:25, 89:7, 90:25, 91:7, 93:10, 93:15, 93:21, 93:25, 96:2, 101:5, 105:3, 109:1, 110:3, 110:21, 111:24, 115:22, 116:12, 117:12, 117:18, 133:6, 133:10, 136:23, 139:21, 140:18, 142:14, 151:10, 151:14, 152:16, 152:23, 168:17, 177:8, 194:22, 197:1, 197:10, 199:14, 200:19, 205:8, 209:14, 209:25, 210:20, 211:22, 211:24, 212:3, 212:10, 213:9, 213:10, 213:11, 213:14, 213:19, 213:24, 214:3, 215:18, 217:7 industry's [2] - 180:4, 217:18 inevitable [1] - 49:24 influx [2] - 40:8, 50:22 info [1] - 120:19 inform [1] - 8:11 **Information** [1] - 149:11 information [34] - 32:1, 32:5,

46:11, 51:12, 67:20, 80:5,

148:5, 148:7, 148:21, 149:1, 149:4, 149:9, 150:2, 150:4, 152:19, 169:12, 178:7, 185:23, 196:2, 204:24 informative [2] - 79:14, 79:15 infra [1] - 179:13 infrastructure [8] - 50:18, 84:13, 143:7, 144:24, 179:13, 208:17, 209:6 ingested [1] - 46:19 ingredient [2] - 148:17, 148:18 initial [1] - 83:23 initiate [1] - 201:21 initiative [1] - 115:10 inject [3] - 63:8, 64:12, 201:3 injected [2] - 185:3, 198:1 injection [8] - 47:2, 151:3, 184:2, 184:9, 187:24, 188:4, 188:7, 188:18 **innovation** [1] - 11:5 innovations [1] - 5:4 **innovative** [1] - 52:9 input [6] - 27:3, 102:25, 113:22, 116:15, 141:21, 143:9 inside [29] - 42:19, 58:4, 58:6, 71:15, 73:7, 73:19, 73:20, 73:24, 74:2, 74:6, 74:10, 74:13, 75:12, 76:9, 77:19, 77:22, 77:25, 78:17, 79:5, 79:9, 79:13, 79:17, 79:18, 79:25, 80:6, 103:17, 104:1, 104:2, 181:19 inspected [5] - 32:15, 162:5, 162:17, 162:18, 162:22 inspection [6] - 32:8, 55:8, 55:12, 160:9, 162:4, 162:15 inspections [4] - 32:19, 32:20, 32:21, 160:7 inspector [5] - 109:17, 112:8, 121:18, 126:1, inspectors [1] - 191:13 install [1] - 12:22 installed [4] - 12:24, 14:3, 16:19, 41:3 installs [1] - 44:1 instance [3] - 55:22, 214:11, 214:13 instances [2] - 69:6, 83:9 instantly [1] - 214:3 instead [1] - 24:24

89:19, 89:21, 92:1, 92:5,

122:4, 122:10, 140:4,

147:12, 147:13, 147:15,

92:8, 110:8, 121:19, 122:3,

instigated [1] - 127:14 Institute [1] - 149:13 instituted [1] - 177:16 insufficient [1] - 111:22 insurance [5] - 157:2, 158:9, 158:21, 159:4 intake [1] - 192:23 Integrated [1] - 149:11 integrity [18] - 19:22, 20:2, 31:7, 33:10, 53:20, 54:1, 54:22, 58:17, 83:22, 84:17, 95:5, 95:7, 96:6, 195:9, 195:10, 198:7, 198:20, 199:4 intended [3] - 31:20, 33:8, 125:19 intent [1] - 158:6 intently [2] - 113:24, 157:16 interaction [1] - 190:19 interdisciplinary [1] - 30:14 interest [9] - 5:18, 10:11, 22:10, 53:25, 86:14, 142:10, 149:22, 167:7, 171:12 interested [3] - 95:19, 116:17, 150:9 interesting [1] - 36:25 interests [3] - 58:19, 142:20, 203:4 Interior [2] - 8:17, 135:10 interject [1] - 156:24 intermingled [1] - 88:2 Interstate [3] - 147:20, 150:24, 151:11 interstates [1] - 202:6 intertwined [1] - 87:9 interval [1] - 64:22 intervals [2] - 15:12, 38:9 interview [1] - 215:8 intimate [2] - 117:15, 152:22 intimately [1] - 210:19 intrastate [1] - 113:13 intrigued [1] - 214:24 introduce [4] - 25:11, 29:14, 35:24, 80:6 introduced [2] - 63:13, 72:14 introducing [1] - 4:7 introduction [1] - 8:21 introverts [1] - 35:17 inversions [1] - 139:13 investigate [2] - 169:14, investment [1] - 62:9 investor [1] - 155:2 invitation [2] - 85:11, 116:10 invitations [1] - 116:19 invited [1] - 115:22 inviting [4] - 30:2, 52:1, 69:25, 81:1

involve [3] - 17:10, 30:13, 151:2 involved [16] - 34:14, 52:24, 85:8, 98:17, 131:8, 137:20, 151:2, 157:11, 160:2, 160:12, 161:21, 195:23, 196:1, 196:12, 197:1, 210:20 involves [1] - 102:23 IOGCC [3] - 151:16, 151:19, 151:21 lowa [1] - 215:7 irrational [1] - 200:1 isolate [2] - 27:16, 28:3 isolated [1] - 34:12 issue [15] - 136:15, 137:12, 143:18, 151:13, 152:3, 160:5, 161:13, 167:21, 169:3, 169:8, 181:12, 181:14, 191:3, 200:25, 202:8 issued [1] - 129:6 issues [21] - 5:22, 6:6, 8:9, 17:20, 49:3, 49:4, 67:11, 121:8, 128:3, 134:6, 136:11, 137:21, 157:13, 157:17, 159:11, 192:5, 198:2, 213:12, 213:13, 215:18, 215:19 item [1] - 184:25 items [1] - 38:24 itself [7] - 14:1, 18:5, 18:7, 54:22, 97:8, 101:6, 150:1

J

JAMES [10] - 183:22, 184:4,

184:11, 184:25, 185:5,

185:25, 187:3, 187:18, 188:5, 188:22 Jamie [1] - 4:14 **January** [2] - 36:8, 36:11 Jerome [1] - 80:9 **job** [30] - 16:17, 19:3, 20:1, 37:3, 37:7, 39:1, 40:3, 41:1, 41:21, 46:14, 58:13, 60:3, 60:5, 90:3, 93:12, 93:14, 93:19, 105:8, 106:5, 119:15, 129:3, 131:8, 156:22, 166:18, 201:4, 212:24, 213:5, 215:8, 215:9 jobs [18] - 39:25, 40:9, 53:2, 57:20, 65:5, 65:6, 129:1, 129:5, 129:7, 129:21, 131:1, 131:9, 131:21, 167:24, 206:17, 209:15, 212:9, 215:5 John [5] - 51:21, 59:8, 64:13,

99:2, 155:10 JOHN [1] - 2:6 joining [3] - 4:12, 25:22, 25:23 joint [3] - 147:19, 149:14, 174.8 **JONATHAN** [3] - 106:12, 108:7, 110:25 Journal [1] - 19:1 Judice [3] - 3:7, 29:15, 29:19 JUDICE [12] - 2:5, 29:18, 156:24, 158:13, 158:23, 158:25, 159:9, 160:15, 161:16, 161:18, 162:13, 174:5 juice [1] - 46:18 **July** [2] - 72:13, 101:18 June [1] - 101:18 jurisdiction [11] - 30:7, 41:18, 45:12, 46:1, 100:20, 125:16, 126:8, 162:2, 166:6, 181:19, 181:24 jurisdictional [1] - 191:3

Κ

K-12[1] - 120:2 KANDI [3] - 171:20, 176:21, 182:5 Kandi [2] - 171:21, 191:3 keen [1] - 24:8 Keene [2] - 107:9, 192:24 keep [20] - 10:5, 11:10, 39:3, 50:25, 52:17, 52:20, 59:3, 59:5, 89:20, 96:24, 111:8, 121:10, 130:15, 136:19, 160:1, 168:20, 173:6, 202:1, 206:13 keeping [1] - 171:19 **keeps** [1] - 134:20 **KELVIN** [1] - 206:21 **Kelvin** [1] - 206:22 Ken [1] - 80:8 kept [7] - 11:7, 18:18, 92:4, 116:12, 178:17, 186:10 kernel [1] - 10:9 key [9] - 20:3, 31:8, 33:10, 54:3, 54:21, 69:4, 95:5, 98:20, 116:13 kick [1] - 10:18 kickoff [1] - 14:11 kicks [2] - 45:15, 170:23 kid [1] - 215:4 kids [4] - 198:21, 215:5, 215:6, 215:11 kill [1] - 63:9 Killdeer [2] - 43:13, 51:2

killed [1] - 182:23

kind [24] - 13:14, 17:23,

21:18, 37:10, 60:16, 60:21, 63:25, 68:21, 73:11, 89:22, 112:15, 129:23, 130:22, 136:21, 141:7, 141:10, 144:25, 155:9, 156:5, 165:22, 189:2, 202:5, 208:12

kinds [4] - 135:24, 174:17, 189:14, 189:20

knowing [3] - 32:3, 181:12, 196:16

knowledge [6] - 110:8, 114:20, 117:15, 134:5, 152:22, 174:17

knowledgeable [1] - 196:3

L

known [2] - 29:6, 53:6

label [1] - 81:16

laboratory [2] - 185:2, 185:11 labs [1] - 202:18 lack [2] - 105:25, 145:19 ladies [1] - 180:20 laid [1] - 55:15 Lake [8] - 39:17, 75:10, 75:11, 76:18, 106:25, 112:9, 134:14, 192:21 lake [15] - 39:19, 107:1, 107:9, 107:19, 107:20, 109:5, 110:17, 111:1, 111:3, 111:13, 111:16, 111:18, 112:6, 112:7 land [37] - 30:15, 41:13, 55:4, 72:21, 74:7, 77:22, 80:21, 86:18, 86:20, 86:22, 86:23, 87:8, 87:19, 88:3, 88:4, 88:7, 90:8, 90:19, 90:23, 104:25, 105:4, 120:16, 143:10, 153:22, 178:22, 180:19, 199:23, 199:24, 199:25, 200:3, 205:16, 210:12, 210:22 Land [18] - 1:23, 2:5, 2:6, 2:13, 6:7, 25:18, 29:16, 69:24, 78:23, 79:16, 81:1, 125:11, 125:18, 126:22, 150:12, 162:25, 177:3, 196:9 landed [1] - 37:2 landowner [3] - 23:23, 95:9, 210:9 landowners [10] - 9:2, 9:19, 72:18, 73:5, 74:4, 75:5, 80:21, 92:10, 146:4, 180:17 Landowners [1] - 2:8 Lands [2] - 26:17, 165:11

LANDS [1] - 1:3 lands [36] - 5:11, 5:20, 5:21, 26:19, 40:24, 71:23, 74:19, 95:22, 103:5, 103:10, 103:17, 104:2, 115:18, 125:14, 125:17, 126:7, 126:25, 132:24, 133:9, 162:1, 162:14, 162:21, 162:22, 165:13, 165:17, 165:25, 166:3, 166:11, 166:23, 174:7, 174:11, 183:14, 191:13, 216:13, 216:16 landscape [2] - 40:2, 161:7 landscapes [1] - 4:25 large [9] - 17:4, 50:22, 67:19, 83:1, 83:5, 128:9, 152:24, 152:25, 214:21 largely [3] - 71:20, 110:10, 177:7 larger [1] - 67:6 largest [2] - 12:5, 208:13 last [24] - 35:12, 47:22, 51:16, 52:6, 53:12, 58:21, 61:15, 65:17, 71:21, 80:18, 81:7, 85:22, 93:8, 97:23, 120:25, 145:2, 146:9, 178:17, 180:22, 181:12, 209:1, 209:18, 216:6 lastly [4] - 58:8, 87:23, 153:1, 154:19 late [3] - 72:10, 81:7, 207:9 lateral [4] - 14:19, 16:24, 17:12, 42:18 laterals [2] - 52:10, 127:22 latitude [2] - 148:10, 212:20 Laughter [5] - 116:8, 130:19, 130:23, 141:6, 155:15 launched [1] - 147:22 law [5] - 30:15, 40:17, 41:21, 46:3, 46:9 laws [3] - 71:22, 101:1, 149:7 lawyers [1] - 178:6 layer [4] - 13:25, 41:11, 42:10, 44:2 layers [8] - 18:14, 37:18, 41:3, 41:8, 41:11, 41:12, 42:9 layoffs [1] - 57:21 laypeople [1] - 21:11 layperson [2] - 22:25, 37:17 leader [1] - 52:11 leads [1] - 117:20 leak [2] - 19:4, 44:15 leaking [1] - 107:2 leaks [3] - 18:22, 45:25, 55:6 learn [3] - 6:9, 85:14, 111:25 learning [1] - 100:21 lease [14] - 72:19, 72:23, 73:1, 73:3, 73:10, 146:6,

146:8, 146:11, 146:13, 156:25, 173:23, 174:13 leased [3] - 73:2, 74:6, 180:10 leases [5] - 146:17, 146:19, 146:24, 155:17, 178:24 leasing [2] - 72:14, 73:7 least [11] - 53:7, 84:7, 84:22, 119:4, 120:25, 162:5, 163:21, 178:3, 179:21, 196:24, 209:21 leave [6] - 40:12, 49:17, 128:21, 150:12, 182:14, 195:1 leaves [2] - 34:18, 118:16 left [6] - 105:21, 112:12, 113:5, 117:13, 135:2, 142:1 legacy [1] - 195:2 **Legacy** [2] - 120:3, 120:5 legal [2] - 124:12, 148:20 **legislation** [1] - 213:14 legislative [5] - 101:1, 101:2, 132:19, 132:21, 190:8 legislator [1] - 140:8 legislators [1] - 208:11 legislature [4] - 101:13, 109:21, 163:18, 179:7 legislature's [1] - 179:24 **legitimate** [1] - 145:15 lemon [1] - 46:18 length [2] - 31:14, 75:11 lengths [1] - 92:16 **LENNINGTON** [10] - 183:22, 184:4, 184:11, 184:25, 185:5, 185:25, 187:3, 187:18, 188:5, 188:22 less [10] - 5:15, 17:6, 28:11, 61:18, 130:13, 132:1, 137:12, 163:10, 163:15, 179:8 letter [1] - 150:20 letters [1] - 108:16 letting [1] - 52:3 level [29] - 8:16, 14:23, 27:22, 31:16, 32:9, 34:3, 34:5, 38:7, 93:17, 94:3, 110:6, 134:9, 134:22, 135:1, 135:8, 149:22, 153:21, 158:9, 186:21, 189:15, 189:20, 189:21, 208:8, 208:11, 208:23, 208:25 levels [3] - 29:25, 43:12, 123:10 levied [1] - 176:18 levy [2] - 164:8, 176:11 liability [1] - 99:6 liable [2] - 155:7, 156:4

license [3] - 176:4, 177:16,

177:22 lid [1] - 23:15 lie [2] - 196:24, 197:3 lies [2] - 33:22, 41:18 life [15] - 34:7, 38:14, 46:25, 84:25, 91:20, 97:9, 97:19, 98:3, 98:10, 98:11, 98:15, 98:18, 182:25, 183:7, 197:23 lifeblood [1] - 210:16 light [2] - 63:6, 72:25 lights [2] - 63:9, 106:8 likelihood [1] - 82:8 likely [2] - 122:25, 213:10 likewise [2] - 31:18, 112:7 limestone [1] - 14:14 limestones [1] - 41:9 limit [1] - 97:22 limitations [2] - 56:16, 56:17 limited [3] - 102:7, 125:15, 204:3 line [9] - 24:16, 44:13, 44:16, 57:4, 94:25, 139:24, 155:23, 163:23, 176:2 lined [1] - 13:10 liner [3] - 16:21, 18:11, 55:5 lines [4] - 44:14, 64:20, 79:10, 128:10 link [1] - 68:7 links [2] - 149:8, 149:12 liquid [2] - 83:6, 127:11 liquified [1] - 127:18 LISA [1] - 196:6 Lisa [1] - 196:6 list [10] - 85:22, 91:2, 91:13, 121:10, 133:25, 149:25, 181:14, 184:14, 193:21, 204:17 listen [2] - 66:7, 105:3 listening [2] - 6:10, 6:24 listing [1] - 76:4 lists [1] - 67:24 literally [2] - 57:20, 173:10 live [12] - 48:12, 53:3, 80:23, 93:23, 93:24, 143:24, 148:2, 149:17, 182:15, 182:16, 195:8, 198:17 lived [1] - 60:4 lives [5] - 9:1, 43:5, 85:4, 94:1, 144:6 livestock [2] - 134:17, 211:9 living [2] - 36:3, 173:1 load [1] - 180:12 loaded [2] - 104:17, 197:6 loader [1] - 112:13 loan [1] - 208:20 lobby [1] - 35:8

local [32] - 26:4, 29:1, 29:3,

29:13, 29:15, 40:6, 50:16,

94:3, 105:5, 114:19, 117:13, 119:2, 134:17, 135:8, 143:14, 143:16, 143:18, 143:19, 144:8, 145:4, 145:10, 162:1, 165:4, 165:12, 179:19, 208:4, 208:23 locate [1] - 192:7 located [5] - 38:8, 42:7, 43:2, 50:10, 162:21 location [12] - 26:7, 26:8, 32:13, 34:15, 44:22, 67:9, 112:2, 112:3, 121:16, 148:8, 157:10, 175:13 **log** [7] - 33:2, 55:8, 55:13, 186:12, 186:16, 187:14, 201:14 logged [1] - 186:3 logger [1] - 36:23 logs [6] - 29:2, 32:1, 187:9, 187:12, 187:14, 187:17 long-life [1] - 97:9 long-term [5] - 95:6, 96:6, 96:11, 96:12, 121:25 longitude [1] - 148:11 **LONNY** [1] - 2:13 Lonny [9] - 30:1, 69:24, 80:25, 116:9, 174:6, 174:21, 174:22, 175:7, 191:16 look [35] - 12:8, 18:3, 18:8, 20:5, 40:25, 41:10, 45:21, 51:16, 54:2, 60:24, 67:10, 68:18, 77:23, 79:9, 89:9, 101:22, 118:15, 125:19, 128:15, 157:8, 157:15, 159:5, 160:18, 160:24, 161:4, 161:7, 171:5, 190:13, 201:6, 207:14, 207:19, 207:23, 208:6, 208:10, 209:14 looked [5] - 20:24, 79:13, 160:25, 183:9, 202:17 looking [21] - 4:16, 6:17, 6:19, 31:8, 32:12, 63:4, 67:1, 67:2, 67:7, 102:24, 122:21, 127:21, 127:23, 128:3, 128:10, 128:16, 138:2, 158:3, 176:7, 208:19, 217:2 looks [10] - 13:5, 17:23, 24:17, 24:19, 44:19, 47:7, 55:13, 115:9, 161:6, 189:19 loophole [1] - 198:10 Lopez [1] - 190:24 lose [3] - 10:10, 62:7, 136:20

lost [2] - 57:18, 123:22

Louisiana [1] - 37:1

75:8, 87:24, 92:10, 93:9,

love [7] - 37:2, 66:4, 197:13, 197:14, 197:15, 197:16 loves [1] - 57:22 low [6] - 15:24, 21:11, 21:13, 22:1, 46:19, 139:6 lower [1] - 138:4 lowering [1] - 23:13 lowers [1] - 23:13 LPG [1] - 127:13 luck [1] - 104:18 lucky [1] - 21:16 lures [1] - 203:10 Lynn [23] - 35:24, 35:25, 36:4, 49:12, 49:14, 51:1, 56:14, 88:10, 88:12, 99:8, 106:13, 119:18, 124:24, 126:21, 133:23, 151:18, 156:4, 156:23, 176:16, 181:16, 189:4, 189:9, 208:11 **LYNN** [1] - 2:9

M

Maah [1] - 189:12 mad [2] - 105:12, 105:16 magnesium/calcium [1] -12:1 mail [1] - 166:19 main [2] - 75:3, 106:18 maintain [8] - 53:25, 54:15, 54:22, 56:9, 56:21, 57:3, 83:21, 96:19 maintaining [3] - 53:19, 58:16, 152:11 maintains [1] - 26:20 maintenance [3] - 41:17, 58:10, 152:14 major [5] - 110:17, 127:4, 136:15, 161:23, 216:24 majority [5] - 17:5, 20:6, 20:14, 30:8, 108:20 makers [1] - 161:9 makeup [1] - 46:17 man [2] - 143:23, 205:20 manage [8] - 22:7, 136:3, 136:4, 145:5, 162:13, 165:13, 165:17, 199:25 managed [3] - 26:14, 30:8, 216:16 management [9] - 7:25, 8:1, 70:10, 83:13, 100:12, 100:21, 103:19, 166:11, 166:22 Management [22] - 1:23, 2:5, 2:6, 2:13, 6:8, 25:18, 26:15, 29:16, 69:24, 78:23,

79:16, 81:1, 110:6, 110:15, 125:11, 125:18, 126:22,

150:12, 162:25, 163:5, 177:3, 196:10 manager [3] - 29:20, 30:2, 52:18 managing [1] - 152:6 **Mandan** [3] - 71:3, 171:21, 206:23 Mandaree [2] - 76:17, 192:18 mandate [1] - 61:16 mandatory [3] - 99:18, 99:21, 102:4 manifold [3] - 15:25, 16:1, manner [4] - 6:23, 30:18, 47:17, 90:14 manufacture [2] - 61:21, 202:2 manufacturers [1] - 61:23 map [4] - 11:16, 25:2, 109:9, 193:21 Marcellus [3] - 11:25, 12:9, 128:6 March [1] - 108:15 MARIE [1] - 142:2 Marie [1] - 142:2 Marine [1] - 209:23 MARK [11] - 147:1, 150:22, 151:1, 151:6, 151:9, 152:2, 152:9, 153:4, 153:7, 153:12, 153:17 Mark [1] - 147:2 Martin [1] - 42:16 Mary [1] - 70:11 mass [2] - 148:17, 148:19 master's [2] - 7:23, 70:10 material [6] - 41:14, 45:16, 45:17, 46:4, 46:10, 47:13 materials [6] - 19:5, 50:9, 50:13, 82:17, 84:14, 119:7 math [1] - 112:24 matter [4] - 21:23, 56:6, 121:24, 150:16 matters [1] - 125:16 mature [1] - 139:5 maximizing [1] - 64:15 maximum [5] - 56:20, 56:25, 64:25, 148:16, 148:18 maximums [1] - 172:15 McKenzie [3] - 93:23, 133:16, 134:12 mean [28] - 12:7, 15:4, 20:6, 21:8, 23:5, 38:7, 47:25, 48:1, 57:22, 66:8, 99:6, 107:16, 128:2, 130:17, 144:11, 154:8, 156:18, 171:6, 180:10, 188:10, 195:16, 200:18, 202:24, 203:15, 204:13, 205:4, 206:17, 206:19

meaning [1] - 188:8

meaningful [1] - 115:24 means [12] - 21:12, 28:11, 49:8, 50:5, 66:12, 66:16, 86:20, 154:2, 157:3, 157:16, 157:22, 204:4 meant [1] - 66:12 meantime [1] - 73:25 measurable [1] - 65:7 measure [1] - 99:10 measures [1] - 160:21 mechanical [4] - 55:7, 55:12, 58:17, 63:3 mechanism [2] - 173:24, 179:1 media [1] - 20:15 medical [4] - 92:6, 168:14, 169:18, 169:21 meet [5] - 31:14, 141:20, 148:20, 190:8, 191:10 meeting [6] - 6:14, 78:13, 109:16, 116:21, 141:10, 177:8 meetings [11] - 6:9, 78:12, 79:14, 79:15, 102:11, 102:24, 126:18, 190:15, 214:22 meets [1] - 147:21 Mehus [1] - 1:16 melt [1] - 205:4 MEMBER [16] - 120:12, 122:17, 127:4, 128:21, 188:24, 194:9, 195:13, 199:22, 200:5, 203:1, 203:12, 203:16, 203:20, 203:24, 204:4, 204:11 member [8] - 142:3, 147:3, 151:1, 151:4, 151:6, 151:18, 151:20, 193:16 members [7] - 9:11, 35:15, 94:17, 116:12, 132:14, 146:10, 151:21 memorandum [1] - 130:7 memorandums [2] - 99:24, 99:25 men [1] - 143:21 mention [2] - 30:4, 47:19 mentioned [12] - 49:12, 51:1, 82:14, 99:10, 99:11, 115:23, 130:3, 142:18, 150:20, 195:5, 195:8, 195:9 merits [1] - 216:25 mesh [1] - 191:6 message [3] - 20:2, 40:12, 216:3 metals [1] - 185:12

methane [2] - 22:19, 22:24

methods [2] - 6:4, 56:10

method [1] - 91:23

MHA [1] - 2:7

microannulus [1] - 98:5 microannuluses [1] - 98:9 microphone [6] - 117:4, 120:11, 127:3, 140:6, 142:1, 150:14 microphones [2] - 94:23, 94:25 microseismic [6] - 21:1, 63:25, 64:4, 64:17, 65:4, 65:7 microseismics [2] - 64:19, microsite [1] - 68:11 middle [2] - 36:9, 135:15 midsummer [1] - 101:18 might [10] - 7:14, 18:2, 21:16, 34:20, 122:22, 142:7, 159:8, 162:16, 176:20, 182:13 Mike [8] - 25:12, 25:17, 30:10, 59:11, 69:16, 99:9, 190:24, 200:10 **MIKE** [2] - 2:6, 2:7 mike [9] - 106:10, 106:11, 108:4, 113:4, 132:5, 133:14, 153:18, 174:21, 174:22 Mike's [1] - 59:12 mikes [1] - 35:16 mile [6] - 14:20, 14:21, 16:24, 21:7, 24:15, 47:5 miles [7] - 14:20, 14:21, 16:25, 21:7, 24:16, 75:11, 75:12 mill [1] - 164:8 million [22] - 22:13, 28:12, 28:15, 39:12, 53:23, 88:12, 112:18, 112:22, 112:23, 118:8, 119:1, 131:20, 131:23, 158:4, 159:2, 164:19, 167:24, 179:13, 204:15, 208:14, 208:21, 214:9 millions [8] - 45:3, 62:4, 82:20, 153:5, 153:7, 170:1, 192:21, 212:9 mind [9] - 52:17, 53:16, 86:14, 96:24, 111:5, 130:15, 134:25, 202:1, 206:13 minds [1] - 199:11 mineral [11] - 34:8, 39:15, 40:13, 48:10, 72:5, 72:21, 74:17, 86:19, 178:21, 179:4, 180:17

Mineral [4] - 36:14, 37:3,

minerals [24] - 26:14, 26:15,

27:16, 30:6, 30:12, 32:7,

26:16, 26:17, 26:21, 26:22,

100:24, 120:14

73:9, 73:14, 73:23, 74:7, 74:8, 74:11, 74:15, 86:21, 86:22, 125:23, 163:1, 184:3, 210:21 Mines [1] - 36:16 minimal [1] - 201:19 minimize [2] - 77:18, 96:18 minimizes [1] - 79:8 minimum [1] - 41:2 **minimums** [1] - 172:15 mining [1] - 39:2 minor [2] - 75:8, 138:2 Minot [1] - 70:9 minute [8] - 9:9, 9:22, 35:20, 45:3, 45:23, 94:13, 125:7, 209:19 Minutes [1] - 18:25 minutes [11] - 8:21, 9:13, 10:7, 10:10, 10:14, 34:19, 35:19, 56:6, 94:17, 97:23, 112:14 mishaps [1] - 214:1 misrepresentation [1] - 66:9 missed [2] - 71:20, 74:1 Missouri [12] - 75:7, 75:8, 106:20, 107:1, 107:3, 107:4, 107:6, 107:12, 107:24, 110:9, 110:12 Mitchell [4] - 11:5, 11:12, 212:12, 217:9 mitigate [1] - 134:22 mitigating [3] - 109:15, 109:18, 160:21 mix [1] - 97:11 mixed [1] - 121:15 mixer [3] - 15:20, 15:22 mixing [1] - 172:24 mixture [2] - 15:23, 56:23 **MOA** [2] - 45:13, 100:13 MOAs [1] - 100:1 model [1] - 41:10 moderate [1] - 7:9 moderator [2] - 7:2, 31:7 Moderator/Facilitator [1] -2:2 moms [1] - 180:20 Monday [1] - 193:14 money [20] - 118:16, 146:6, 146:8, 146:19, 152:12, 155:24, 158:19, 159:13, 159:20, 170:5, 170:16, 173:6, 178:20, 182:18, 183:10, 183:18, 196:23, 206:5, 206:7 moneys [1] - 164:19 mongering [1] - 214:8 monitor [6] - 40:21, 93:1, 98:7, 144:19, 144:22,

monitored [1] - 16:2

monitoring [4] - 43:18, 43:22, 51:5, 186:21 monitors [1] - 16:4 Monsanto [1] - 61:24 Montana [10] - 4:8, 11:21, 29:21, 29:25, 36:21, 60:1, 60:3, 60:7, 116:19, 197:15 month [1] - 24:18 monthly [8] - 102:10, 163:14, 163:20, 180:21, 180:23, 190:21, 190:23, 190:24 months [10] - 101:14, 150:4, 177:6, 177:15, 178:17, 182:23, 184:20, 184:22, 184:23, 211:4 moon [3] - 21:14, 21:16, 21:21 morning [3] - 36:7, 36:10, 44:15 mortgages [2] - 155:14, 155:18 **MOSSETT** [3] - 171:20, 176:21, 182:5 Mossett [1] - 171:21 most [27] - 21:16, 27:8, 30:24, 44:12, 52:21, 55:19, 75:6, 82:15, 88:22, 90:2, 92:24, 93:14, 106:21, 134:5, 137:6, 137:22, 149:5, 154:5, 163:9, 171:1, 196:24, 197:20, 199:16, 202:20, 205:7, 213:10 mother [1] - 195:1 Mountrail [5] - 81:9, 210:10, 210:18, 211:13, 211:15 mouthed [1] - 145:19 move [15] - 4:5, 18:19, 22:14, 25:6, 58:13, 69:18, 77:12, 83:1, 84:6, 84:20, 104:22, 115:12, 120:22, 191:10, 209:19 moved [4] - 7:24, 36:24, 77:10, 192:25 moves [1] - 84:5 moving [5] - 10:21, 35:6, 51:21, 101:5, 112:1 MR [278] - 2:2, 2:5, 2:6, 2:6, 2:7, 2:7, 2:8, 2:9, 2:10, 2:11, 2:13, 4:4, 7:5, 25:16, 29:18, 34:18, 35:6, 36:5, 48:16, 48:23, 51:20, 51:25, 59:8, 59:14, 69:16, 69:23, 80:15, 80:22, 85:19, 86:3, 94:13, 94:22, 96:4, 96:6, 96:10, 96:22, 96:23, 97:25, 98:2, 99:3, 99:7, 99:22, 101:24, 102:6, 103:3, 103:15, 104:11, 104:23, 105:18, 106:7, 106:12, 108:2, 108:4, 108:5, 108:6,

108:7, 108:8, 110:25, 111:6, 112:17, 112:25, 113:1, 113:6, 115:9, 115:15, 115:16, 116:7, 116:9, 117:1, 117:5, 117:22, 118:5, 119:9, 119:18, 119:19, 120:10, 120:21, 120:23, 121:9, 122:23, 122:24, 123:9, 123:15, 124:3, 124:14, 124:16, 124:17, 124:18, 124:19, 124:20, 125:5, 125:6, 125:8, 125:9, 126:12, 126:14, 127:1, 127:2, 127:17, 128:23, 128:24, 129:8, 129:10, 129:11, 130:20, 130:24, 130:25, 131:2, 132:4, 133:13, 133:15, 135:9, 135:21, 137:2, 137:9, 137:11, 137:13, 137:16, 137:19, 137:20, 137:21, 137:23, 138:1, 138:11, 138:13, 138:14, 138:16, 138:17, 138:21, 140:2, 140:7, 141:7, 141:16, 141:24, 145:24, 146:2, 146:21, 147:1, 150:13, 150:22, 150:25, 151:1, 151:4, 151:6, 151:8, 151:9, 151:18, 151:20, 151:23, 151:25, 152:1, 152:2, $152{:}5,\,152{:}9,\,153{:}1,\,153{:}4,$ 153:5, 153:7, 153:10, 153:12, 153:13, 153:17, 153:18, 153:19, 153:23, 154:4, 154:6, 154:8, 154:10, 154:11, 154:12, 154:15, 154:17, 154:19, 154:22, 155:9, 155:12, 155:13, 155:16, 155:20, 156:2, 156:24, 158:7, 158:13, 158:14, 158:15, 158:23, 158:24, 158:25, 159:1, 159:9, 159:18, 159:22, 160:15, 161:11, 161:16, 161:17, 161:18, 162:10, 162:13, 162:16, 162:18, 163:23, 164:4, 164:6, 164:7, 164:23, 165:24, 166:9, 167:2, 167:13, 171:12, 171:14, 171:16, 173:16, 174:5, 174:22, 175:9, 177:1, 177:2, 177:4, 177:5, 180:3, 180:8, 181:3, 182:3, 183:21, 183:22, 184:1, 184:4, 184:8, 184:11, 184:18, 184:25, 185:4, 185:5, 185:8, 185:15, 185:17, 185:18, 185:19,

185:20, 185:25, 186:5, 187:3, 187:6, 187:18, 187:22, 188:5, 188:12, 188:22, 188:23, 189:23, 190:3, 190:5, 191:17, 192:12, 194:8, 196:5, 197:5, 199:7, 200:17, 203:7, 203:14, 203:19, 203:22, 203:25, 204:6, 204:12, 206:12, 206:21, 210:8, 211:18, 211:19, 212:12, 212:14, 216:5, 216:19 **MS** [10] - 4:10, 132:6, 142:2, 164:25, 171:20, 176:21, 182:5, 192:17, 196:6, 216:7 MSDS [4] - 68:3, 168:13, 201:15, 204:20 MSDS's [1] - 67:21 mud [9] - 13:8, 13:9, 13:20, 13:21, 14:5, 14:6, 15:5, 36:23, 203:18 mule [2] - 159:24, 160:11 multiple [5] - 24:23, 56:9, 56:10, 133:1, 189:10 multiple-use [1] - 133:1 multistate [1] - 147:17 multistate-related [1] -147:17 must [19] - 26:12, 26:23, 28:2, 28:6, 28:25, 31:14, 74:19, 91:15, 91:21, 92:1, 92:3, 92:8, 92:10, 92:14, 92:19, 92:23, 143:11, 148:23, 166:7 myriad [1] - 196:21 Myron [10] - 80:17, 80:20, 80:22, 85:19, 86:12, 104:13, 104:22, 106:15, 146:1, 153:21 MYRON [1] - 2:8

Ν

nadir [1] - 95:18
naive [1] - 182:19
name [23] - 25:16, 29:18,
48:24, 53:5, 70:1, 80:22,
107:13, 113:6, 117:5,
124:20, 132:6, 133:15,
142:2, 147:2, 148:10,
148:15, 165:1, 171:21,
192:17, 196:6, 206:21,
210:8
name's [1] - 216:7
named [1] - 27:11

NARLOCH [1] - 117:5

Narloch [1] - 117:6

Nash [1] - 190:24 nasties [1] - 18:12 nasty [1] - 22:7 nation [2] - 11:13, 11:18 Nation [1] - 71:4 Nation/FBIR [1] - 2:7 national [5] - 113:20, 115:7, 145:4, 145:20, 208:25 National [9] - 26:17, 74:20, 75:24, 76:4, 113:15, 145:16, 149:10, 149:13, 160:17 nationally [1] - 207:15 nations [1] - 12:12 nationwide [2] - 154:1, 157:1 natural [27] - 4:18, 8:19, 30:16, 30:21, 34:13, 36:4, 70:4, 72:12, 76:17, 76:18, 81:21, 103:4, 103:21, 106:21, 113:11, 113:13, 113:25, 114:1, 114:3, 114:10, 115:1, 115:3, 145:5, 184:6, 188:9, 207:2, 207:3 nature [1] - 84:15 NDIC [1] - 58:25 near [3] - 50:7, 52:4, 107:9 nearby [1] - 120:18 nearly [1] - 58:20 necessarily [4] - 14:25, 23:4, 119:7, 210:3 necessary [5] - 84:14, 111:10, 112:15, 117:11, 160:22 necessity [1] - 92:6 need [43] - 4:21, 4:23, 27:12, 37:25, 45:20, 49:25, 54:10, 54:21, 56:8, 83:1, 87:1, 89:18, 91:13, 97:14, 98:23, 99:3, 100:6, 109:20, 110:18, 126:8, 145:10, 145:24, 146:17, 146:18, 168:10, 168:13, 169:12, 169:14, 169:20, 173:21, 174:5, 174:13, 174:15, 191:6, 192:2, 194:20, 196:1, 196:3, 201:17, 206:7, 212:4, 215:20 needed [4] - 108:18, 109:25, 143:6. 143:15 needing [1] - 76:1 needs [16] - 10:13, 19:22, 86:5, 87:21, 116:3, 116:4, 144:12, 147:21, 150:21, 174:25, 175:2, 190:14, 190:17, 192:9, 202:10 negative [3] - 120:17, 217:13, 217:14 negligence [1] - 178:10

negligent [2] - 181:16,

181:18 negotiated [1] - 100:16 negotiating [1] - 178:6 neighborhood [2] - 39:24, 44:21 neighbors [2] - 48:13, 202:14 NELSON [15] - 2:11, 86:3, 104:23, 153:23, 154:6, 154:10, 154:12, 154:17, 154:22, 155:12, 156:2, 158:15, 158:24, 159:1, 159:18 Nelson [6] - 3:14, 85:23, 104:12, 194:13, 194:24 NEPA [3] - 104:2, 193:11, nephew [1] - 215:6 NESS [1] - 212:14 Ness [1] - 212:14 nested [3] - 43:18, 51:4, 51:5 Network [1] - 171:24 never [8] - 57:9, 93:22, 93:23, 169:15, 180:15, 189:24, 201:7, 205:25 New [6] - 18:25, 44:4, 53:1, 55:20, 75:18, 171:22 new [28] - 8:9, 10:22, 38:15, 38:18, 39:25, 40:1, 40:9, 54:19, 54:20, 59:4, 60:8, 60:9, 60:10, 61:17, 85:12, 85:19, 101:1, 101:14, 133:4, 147:18, 171:2, 177:23, 191:10, 204:7, 208:21, 217:8 news [8] - 12:15, 19:2, 73:17, 123:17, 128:25, 143:24, 147:9, 214:4 newspaper [1] - 17:19 **newspapers** [1] - 116:11 next [13] - 22:17, 35:19, 58:13, 78:6, 99:8, 104:12, 120:11, 133:14, 180:12, 183:12, 199:21, 209:21 nice [1] - 106:14 nicely [2] - 33:17, 147:4 night [2] - 61:3, 207:10 nine [5] - 31:4, 42:21, 43:16, 91:13, 93:5 nine-and-five-eighths [2] -42:21, 43:16 **nobody** [4] - 64:9, 115:21, 115:23, 179:25 noncrisis [1] - 134:7 nondetailed [1] - 32:19 **none** [1] - 69:2 nonplussed [1] - 40:10 nonsignificant [1] - 160:23 normally [3] - 33:15, 33:16, 33:21

North [138] - 1:17, 1:17, 2:9, 2:10, 10:20, 11:20, 18:24, 25:23, 30:1, 30:5, 30:9, 30:13, 31:11, 32:18, 32:24, 33:7, 33:19, 35:25, 36:15, 37:17, 38:2, 38:16, 39:14, 39:23, 39:25, 40:4, 40:13, 40:22, 42:1, 46:14, 46:25, 47:23, 48:25, 49:7, 50:2, 50:8, 52:11, 52:16, 60:4, 60:13, 60:18, 63:23, 68:9, 68:20, 71:19, 73:20, 82:5, 85:3, 85:5, 87:2, 87:3, 88:1, 88:4, 90:21, 99:24, 100:12, 101:21, 106:19, 107:16, 108:11, 108:13, 108:14, 113:7, 113:10, 114:9, 117:7, 118:2, 118:19, 119:19, 120:6, 120:12, 120:13, 123:20, 124:21, 125:15, 127:14, 132:8, 132:12, 132:13, 132:15, 132:18, 132:25, 133:4, 133:10, 135:12, 135:23, 138:23, 138:25, 140:8, 140:16, 140:18, 141:2, 142:14, 143:1, 144:17, 146:16, 151:20, 154:23, 161:24, 162:20, 164:10, 164:12, 166:1, 166:10, 166:12, 173:6, 173:7, 184:22, 186:13, 189:6, 191:24, 194:12, 194:22, 196:1, 207:2, 207:16, 208:15, 208:17, 209:25, 210:4, 211:20, 211:23, 212:15, 213:7, 213:8, 213:15, 213:20, 213:24, 214:23, 215:2, 215:3, 215:9, 215:14, 215:16, 216:1, 218:13 north [3] - 53:1, 80:23, Northwest [2] - 2:8, 208:20 northwestern [2] - 37:15, 142:16 note [2] - 208:3, 209:18 notes [1] - 218:11 nothing [9] - 19:16, 38:15, 38:17, 44:10, 197:21, 198:11, 198:13, 202:21, 202:23 **Notice** [1] - 34:8 **notice** [5] - 86:7, 101:19, 165:9, 193:21, 194:3 **noticed** [2] - 112:8, 194:2 notification [2] - 45:24, 46:8 notified [2] - 29:11, 92:11 notify [1] - 161:22 noting [1] - 196:18

November [2] - 8:11, 73:2 nowadays [1] - 49:19 number [40] - 5:12, 22:22, 28:20, 30:18, 53:13, 53:14, 53:16, 53:17, 64:23, 68:24, 74:6, 74:8, 74:9, 74:11, 74:12, 75:14, 83:9, 87:9, 87:10, 95:3, 108:13, 110:5, 114:17, 116:10, 116:18, 118:6, 118:11, 118:21, 119:3, 119:4, 148:9, 148:10, 148:16, 149:24, 150:14, 157:10, 157:11, 163:17, 201:16, 201:19 Number [1] - 175:13 numbers [4] - 28:22, 28:23, 91:15, 143:21 numerous [2] - 79:4, 168:3

0

objective [1] - 34:15 obligation [1] - 104:15 obstinacy [1] - 11:7 **obtained** [1] - 155:17 obtains [1] - 155:18 obvious [3] - 82:15, 83:24, 171:6 obviously [4] - 10:3, 67:12, 67:16, 111:16 occasionally [2] - 139:14, 186:7 Occupational [1] - 149:14 occupying [1] - 83:3 occur [6] - 20:23, 103:16, 123:20, 161:3, 208:25, 214:19 occurred [3] - 19:11, 175:12, 181:6 **occurrence** [1] - 167:25 occurring [1] - 177:25 occurs [2] - 178:24, 199:15 October [2] - 73:10, 73:22 **OF** [3] - 1:9, 3:2, 218:1 off-gases [1] - 90:17 off-location [1] - 112:3 off-reservoir [1] - 96:14 offer [2] - 147:4, 148:25 offered [1] - 146:11 office [11] - 25:19, 27:22, 29:1, 29:3, 29:21, 63:11, 75:22, 103:19, 187:7, 187:8, 191:24 Office [2] - 150:6, 177:9 officer [2] - 80:10, 80:11 offices [1] - 60:14 official [1] - 150:19 officials [4] - 40:7, 114:19, 117:13, 213:16

often [4] - 14:7, 190:18, 191:8, 212:7 oil [150] - 4:18, 4:23, 5:2, 5:7, 5:14, 10:20, 11:11, 11:18, 11:19, 12:5, 18:18, 23:3, 25:4, 25:5, 26:13, 37:4, 37:5, 38:11, 39:22, 41:23, 42:1, 45:6, 49:11, 49:14, 50:7, 50:11, 51:3, 51:5, 52:15, 52:21, 53:11, 53:13, 61:9, 61:22, 66:1, 70:14, 70:19, 70:21, 71:6, 71:11, 71:17, 72:2, 72:12, 72:18, 72:23, 73:4, 73:7, 73:19, $73{:}25,\, 74{:}18,\, 74{:}23,\, 76{:}9,\,$ 76:12, 76:16, 78:8, 78:23, 79:4, 79:14, 80:3, 81:11, 81:13, 81:17, 81:20, 82:11, 85:15, 86:18, 87:1, 88:5, 89:13, 90:2, 91:20, 93:22, 96:21, 97:5, 100:23, 101:20, 102:10, 103:5, 103:15, 104:10, 105:13, 107:15, 109:24, 113:13, 115:24, 116:3, 117:11, 118:1, 119:23, 120:24, 121:11, 122:7, 130:16, 132:23, 133:5, 133:7, 133:9, 133:10, 136:24, 140:17, 141:18, 142:13, 143:1, 143:12, 144:15, 146:6, 146:12, 147:7, 147:25, 149:18, 151:12, 151:22, 152:21, 152:23, 156:14, 162:23, 163:7, 163:14, 164:1, 164:8, 166:5, 166:6, 166:25, 171:10, 172:23, 177:12, 178:18, 179:8, 181:9, 182:15, 184:1, 184:6, 184:9, 186:3, 188:9, 192:20, 193:7, 194:22, 198:2, 200:19, 207:2, 209:22, 209:25, 210:1, 210:20, 210:24, 213:9, 213:19 Oil [7] - 2:9, 29:20, 35:25, 51:22, 147:20, 151:11, oil-based [1] - 209:22 oil-bearing [1] - 130:16 **old** [3] - 11:4, 129:20, 182:22 **OMLID** [1] - 196:6 Omlid [1] - 196:6 **ON**[1] - 1:3 on-site [2] - 109:16, 160:9 once [21] - 28:24, 29:10, 35:11, 43:11, 55:11, 62:7, 69:13, 72:5, 111:16, 122:5, 122:8, 163:10, 167:25,

117:3, 135:22, 213:3,

169:24, 170:22, 178:23, 184:15, 184:22, 190:16, 201:7, 216:8 oncoming [1] - 82:25 one [129] - 5:5, 11:12, 21:16, 23:19, 23:24, 24:23, 24:24, 27:8, 35:13, 37:14, 38:24, 39:18, 40:2, 42:5, 42:16, 43:13, 44:4, 44:12, 44:14, 44:20, 45:18, 47:19, 52:19, 53:1, 53:15, 53:16, 53:17, 54:13, 59:5, 61:15, 62:5, 62:13, 63:2, 64:17, 65:17, 65:18, 66:21, 66:22, 67:11, 67:12, 68:24, 75:2, 82:13, 83:17, 84:2, 84:12, 86:14, 87:9, 87:25, 88:21, 89:1, 89:20, 90:9, 90:10, 91:14, 93:21, 95:8, 96:8, 97:1, 97:4, 97:15, 97:21, 98:2, 98:3, 98:11, 98:20, 99:2, 105:1, 106:18, 106:21, 107:21, 109:13, 114:5, 114:18, 117:23, 118:21, 120:23, 121:17, 121:22, 121:24, 122:14, 125:13, 125:21, 127:4, 129:1, 130:4, 130:9, 131:17, 131:21, 133:20, 134:21, 145:14, 146:10, 147:5, 148:3, 149:17, 160:10, 161:5, 167:13, 168:11, 169:6, 175:11, 175:25, 180:22, 181:3, 181:4, 181:22, 182:1, 182:22, 182:24, 182:25, 187:19, 191:2, 195:17, 200:6, 200:17, 200:23, 201:22, 204:18, 206:13, 207:20, 209:11, 211:6, 211:20, 214:11, 214:13 one-half [1] - 149:17 onerous [1] - 153:10 ones [3] - 61:24, 90:19, 203:7 ongoing [1] - 152:13 Onshore [7] - 26:23, 26:25, 27:4, 27:7, 27:18, 27:25, 28:4 onshore [1] - 32:16 onslaught [1] - 173:19 oop [1] - 64:19 oops [5] - 12:24, 17:17, 55:2, 57:12, 68:23 open [13] - 4:6, 16:12, 16:14, 17:13, 42:6, 47:11, 153:2, 172:10, 176:2, 176:3, 184:17, 184:20, 184:24 opened [1] - 175:14 opening [1] - 33:13

Opening [1] - 3:5 operates [1] - 59:2 operating [3] - 22:21, 22:23, 118:22 operation [17] - 15:19, 16:5, 20:5, 21:22, 22:9, 22:13, 28:24, 42:18, 63:23, 83:21, 84:11, 118:15, 126:2, 181:2, 186:24, 200:20, 210:15 operational [1] - 55:16 operations [20] - 5:20, 25:3, 27:24, 29:7, 29:8, 30:21, 52:25, 66:25, 78:9, 82:11, 83:18, 85:5, 122:7, 137:3, 157:4, 158:5, 160:13, 171:11, 174:13, 198:2 operator [17] - 26:10, 27:1, 31:2, 31:9, 31:25, 32:10, 33:4, 78:12, 99:4, 148:10, 157:11, 157:19, 157:25, 170:14, 186:11, 201:4 operator's [2] - 31:13, 192:3 operators [14] - 33:24, 59:9, 78:13, 98:6, 98:23, 99:17, 108:16, 137:14, 137:22, 166:7, 175:21, 175:22, 178:4, 181:15 opinion [1] - 135:20 opinions [1] - 166:2 opportunities [2] - 69:1, 116:14 opportunity [9] - 7:5, 9:24, 10:15, 59:20, 113:14, 132:11, 133:12, 170:13, 194:11 opposite [1] - 166:13 optimism [1] - 71:12 option [2] - 109:13, 109:15 Order [7] - 26:24, 26:25, 27:4, 27:7, 27:18, 27:25, 28:4 order [7] - 36:3, 92:21, 109:25, 127:18, 127:24, 191:6, 196:20 orders [3] - 26:25, 27:2, 32:16 Ordovician [1] - 37:23 organic [1] - 139:16 organization [9] - 81:6, 81:19, 81:23, 85:12, 85:20, 105:24, 132:14, 145:9, 206:25 organizing [1] - 110:22 original [2] - 34:16, 43:6 originally [1] - 171:22 **OSHA** [1] - 68:3 **OSHA/U.S** [1] - 149:14

ourselves [1] - 157:22

out-of-town [1] - 115:21

outdated [1] - 71:22 outdoors [1] - 197:14 outreach [1] - 8:12 outside [4] - 13:22, 58:5, 60:6, 162:2 outward [1] - 96:15 overall [2] - 109:24, 190:9 overdue [1] - 212:19 overestimate [1] - 142:13 overlook [1] - 212:7 overreach [1] - 114:24 overridden [1] - 167:8 overseen [1] - 92:23 oversees [1] - 45:14 oversight [7] - 47:3, 47:20, 47:22, 48:5, 49:16, 114:16, 158:11 overview [1] - 81:4 overwhelmed [2] - 106:5, own [11] - 12:18, 86:20, 94:24, 145:11, 172:19, 173:2, 196:2, 210:20, 210:22, 215:21 owner [2] - 86:19, 86:20 owners [3] - 72:21, 178:21, 180:18 ownership [3] - 174:8, 174:19, 179:4 owns [2] - 26:20, 86:21 ozone [3] - 135:25, 139:11, 140:1

Ρ

p.m [6] - 4:2, 35:5, 94:21,

pace [3] - 142:25, 144:21,

pacing [1] - 144:21

217:25

173:19

pad [5] - 12:22, 13:4, 24:23, 24:25, 67:1 pads [1] - 24:25 Page [2] - 3:3, 3:18 page [2] - 68:14, 214:4 pages [1] - 218:10 paid [2] - 86:13, 153:2 pairs [1] - 114:6 PANEITZ [13] - 2:6, 51:25, 96:4, 96:10, 99:3, 119:9, 137:2, 137:11, 137:21, 138:1, 138:13, 138:16, 180:8 Paneitz [2] - 3:10, 51:21 panel [22] - 9:10, 9:16, 9:21, 10:14, 20:8, 24:10, 35:2,

35:11, 35:15, 48:8, 51:17,

66:5, 69:13, 69:25, 86:2,

94:16, 116:11, 116:16,

217:16 panelists [1] - 212:23 **PANELISTS** [1] - 2:4 paper [1] - 91:10 parallel [1] - 199:12 parameters [1] - 51:7 paraphrasing [1] - 194:24 pare [1] - 10:8 parent [1] - 209:20 parked [1] - 112:13 parks [1] - 189:7 Parshall [2] - 37:13 part [43] - 4:19, 5:3, 6:23, 8:1, 8:17, 18:8, 27:9, 28:4, 28:14, 35:7, 35:12, 37:11, 40:19, 48:8, 58:3, 59:16, 59:17, 72:2, 73:19, 82:24, 97:23, 99:19, 103:1, 114:25, 130:6, 136:9, 137:6, 141:8, 142:16, 144:1, 144:3, 145:14, 147:8, 163:11, 165:14, 179:14, 186:11, 187:15, 188:2, 188:3, 194:6, 209:1, 213:18 partially [1] - 140:25 participate [5] - 4:15, 10:4, 30:3, 69:25, 81:2 participated [1] - 110:4 participating [2] - 7:1, 149:25 participation [1] - 149:16 particular [5] - 113:22, 114:21, 114:23, 187:22, 195:23 particularly [3] - 142:15, 145:6, 160:3 parties [1] - 51:3 partners [1] - 6:20 partnership [2] - 208:4, 208:23 parts [5] - 18:3, 27:7, 28:12, 28:15, 99:23 Parts [1] - 74:22 party [4] - 124:8, 124:10, 164:18, 174:9 pass [1] - 100:11 passed [8] - 72:17, 99:4, 101:2, 113:21, 114:14, 115:7, 140:11, 208:12 passing [2] - 143:15, 155:11 passionate [2] - 171:15, 171:17 past [8] - 5:16, 29:24, 61:9, 76:24, 179:19, 196:18, 196:22, 214:23 patch [2] - 50:11, 120:24 patent [3] - 89:23, 167:15

path [1] - 6:10

pathway [1] - 41:15 patient [1] - 106:9 **PATTEN** [1] - 133:15 Patten [1] - 133:16 pay [11] - 118:10, 119:11, 123:22, 152:7, 152:14, 156:19, 170:15, 174:25, 199:24, 210:1 paying [4] - 146:7, 146:12, 152:16, 164:2 payment [1] - 210:21 payments [1] - 73:6 payroll [4] - 118:7, 118:11, 119:11. 119:15 peeled [1] - 191:19 penalties [1] - 93:5 Pennsylvania [1] - 38:1 pension [1] - 180:24 people [55] - 8:24, 9:18, 10:9, 22:16, 24:12, 40:9, 48:4, 50:22, 53:4, 55:17, 57:19, 57:21, 57:25, 80:6, 89:17, 93:15, 93:16, 93:24, 105:21, 108:12, 118:21, 118:23, 119:13, 120:19, 122:17, 122:18, 122:21, 135:12, 142:19, 143:11, 143:24, 145:11, 155:2, 158:16, 173:10, 177:13, 179:2, 179:3, 182:8, 187:1, 192:12, 194:25, 203:9, 204:22, 205:1, 205:2, 206:4, 206:6, 209:17, 214:7, 214:23, 215:15, 216:24 people's [2] - 69:18, 166:1 per [11] - 28:12, 28:15, 39:19, 45:2, 88:12, 90:22, 129:16, 152:6, 153:23, 153:25, 156:25 percent [29] - 5:10, 30:6, 30:8, 72:14, 72:17, 109:25, 117:25, 119:5, 119:20, 119:23, 119:24, 120:1, 120:2, 120:3, 120:4, 120:7, 127:12, 139:6, 139:8, 140:17, 148:17, 148:19, 158:20, 159:5, 186:18, 201:12, 207:17 percentage [1] - 118:14 perception [1] - 206:4 percolation [1] - 205:12 perennial [1] - 109:9 perfect [1] - 110:18 perforation [1] - 15:11 perforations [2] - 15:12, 16:7 perform [1] - 128:8 performed [5] - 31:16, 33:2, 33:5, 33:9, 58:20 performs [1] - 32:18

perhaps [2] - 15:16, 20:17 period [11] - 36:17, 40:6, 43:23, 44:23, 50:22, 103:2, 184:21, 191:21, 193:13, 193:15, 199:15 permanent [2] - 40:3, 188:15 permeable [1] - 5:15 permit [12] - 26:9, 26:13, 26:23, 27:1, 27:19, 27:21, 27:22, 30:11, 32:17, 111:7, 164:9, 164:13 permits [8] - 39:7, 76:2, 109:7, 109:11, 129:5, 129:6, 129:9, 184:14 permitting [5] - 40:23, 49:4, 73:12, 74:2, 184:12 perpetual [1] - 23:5 perpetuate [1] - 206:8 person [12] - 47:23, 120:23, 121:4, 124:13, 124:25, 176:15, 183:7, 186:16, 191:11, 191:13, 199:14, 216:11 personal [2] - 122:3, 146:4 personally [3] - 52:24, 53:1, 59:25 personnel [1] - 50:12 perspective [17] - 9:13, 35:21, 35:22, 35:23, 48:20, 80:16, 81:24, 84:12, 86:15, 86:24, 146:4, 180:4, 207:6, 216:6, 217:17, 217:18, 217:19 perspectives [3] - 35:21, 165:23, 216:22 pertain [1] - 71:23 pertains [1] - 27:8 pertinent [1] - 136:12 **PETERSON** [5] - 124:16, 124:18, 124:20, 127:1, 211:19 Peterson [2] - 124:21, 211:19 Petro [1] - 192:20 Petro-Hunt's [1] - 192:20 petroleum [18] - 7:22, 25:14, 25:17, 25:19, 29:15, 29:19, 29:23, 30:15, 30:19, 31:11, 31:21, 32:4, 32:24, 51:22, 52:19, 54:24, 82:1, 127:11 Petroleum [2] - 2:6, 212:15 **phase** [3] - 121:25, 163:9, 163:12 phenomenal [1] - 12:4 **phone** [1] - 166:19 photos [3] - 90:18, 175:25, physical [5] - 142:17, 143:6,

144:23, 206:14, 206:15

physics [1] - 20:24

Piceance [1] - 65:14 pick [1] - 55:9 Pickens [1] - 115:10 picking [1] - 187:14 pictorially [1] - 17:22 picture [4] - 44:19, 64:2, 183:5, 183:10 pictures [5] - 22:15, 22:20, 90:24, 90:25, 172:9 piece [1] - 207:13 pile [1] - 112:13 Pinedale [1] - 139:13 pipe [8] - 13:18, 13:25, 15:1, 15:13, 19:21, 56:17, 95:14, 136:20 pipeline [4] - 49:20, 113:12, 137:7, 139:4 pipelines [2] - 79:4, 106:24 **pit** [4] - 13:10, 14:6, 43:2, 138:25 pit's [1] - 43:11 pitch [1] - 136:25 pits [1] - 47:11 Pittsburgh [1] - 29:22 place [22] - 18:14, 42:13, 44:11, 77:11, 85:9, 95:7, 103:24, 106:22, 107:25, 126:18, 126:20, 126:25, 134:10, 134:11, 134:24, 137:6, 144:18, 146:3, 156:11, 161:24, 215:4, 218:7 placed [1] - 41:19 Places [1] - 76:5 places [7] - 36:25, 76:18, 108:12, 114:8, 116:20, 157:3, 209:5 plan [16] - 8:5, 27:9, 27:10, 27:19. 30:20. 30:21. 31:4. 78:8, 107:18, 110:13, 110:15, 110:24, 144:8, 173:21, 191:19 planned [2] - 79:1, 79:7 planning [5] - 143:5, 143:13, 179:18, 190:7, 217:14 **plans** [2] - 55:15, 110:4 plant [2] - 137:6, 137:8 plants [1] - 179:22 plates [1] - 176:5 play [2] - 98:21, 174:10 player [1] - 154:24 plays [1] - 11:22 pleasure [1] - 4:14 plenty [1] - 10:7 plug [1] - 16:19 plugging [4] - 34:10, 126:2, 126:4, 164:17 plus [1] - 212:17 podium [2] - 7:18, 48:17 point [19] - 14:7, 38:6, 38:14,

43:4, 43:20, 61:20, 64:9, 82:14, 83:23, 100:4, 113:2, 117:1, 129:12, 131:15, 159:21, 176:19, 180:2, 199:23, 205:1 pointed [5] - 8:7, 95:9, 103:4, 104:13. 200:18 pointing [1] - 33:23 points [5] - 31:4, 54:3, 54:8, 87:6. 91:13 police [2] - 173:2, 191:5 policies [1] - 58:1 Policy [5] - 74:20, 117:7, 160:17, 188:13, 188:18 policy [2] - 117:6, 132:7 policymaker [1] - 52:19 policymakers [1] - 108:23 poly[1] - 205:11 polyacrylamide [2] - 205:10, 205:14 **pond** [1] - 15:17 pool [1] - 46:17 pooling [1] - 125:22 **pop** [2] - 57:5, 57:8 pop-off [1] - 57:5 population [1] - 50:24 ported [1] - 100:10 portfolio [1] - 4:20 portion [3] - 118:22, 138:6, 150:2 pose [1] - 95:3 poses [1] - 12:17 position [5] - 114:21, 129:4, 132:16, 137:16, 209:4 positions [2] - 9:15, 94:18 positive [2] - 125:10, 217:12 possession [1] - 45:19 possibility [4] - 45:11, 77:4, 83:11, 121:14 possible [10] - 5:3, 6:23, 41:15, 49:23, 84:8, 84:23, 139:24, 192:8, 196:10, 204:21 **possibly** [2] - 152:16, 198:3 posts [1] - 159:14 potential [14] - 5:23, 6:3, 31:17, 50:1, 55:16, 68:25, 72:11, 76:6, 79:18, 112:8, 124:7, 156:19, 160:22, 183:7 potentially [5] - 7:13, 19:9, 19:19, 160:19, 217:13 pounds [3] - 45:1, 45:3, 131:23 poverty [1] - 72:6 power [1] - 79:10 powers [2] - 191:5 **PPE** [1] - 205:25 **PR** [1] - 94:2 practically [1] - 158:10

practice [5] - 99:10, 136:19, 137:3, 138:19, 177:7 practices [6] - 99:16, 100:21, 102:4, 102:5, 151:3, 188:2 praise [1] - 109:20 Precambrian [1] - 37:23 precipitation [1] - 76:23 precisely [1] - 203:24 predicted [1] - 179:25 prejob [1] - 58:10 premium [1] - 53:8 prepare [1] - 193:25 prepared [2] - 30:25, 138:22 present [5] - 15:8, 51:23, 59:20, 138:10, 194:18 **Presentation** [9] - 3:6, 3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 3:10, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14 presentation [16] - 8:23, 9:6, 25:10, 31:7, 48:7, 59:13, 60:20, 69:22, 70:12, 70:17, 80:18, 83:20, 85:24, 85:25, 102:17, 166:4 presentations [8] - 9:7, 34:21, 34:25, 35:20, 52:7, 59:16, 90:11, 104:14 presented [1] - 102:16 presenters [1] - 53:18 presenting [1] - 212:24 preservation [1] - 75:22 Preservation [1] - 75:25 preserve [1] - 39:8 president [3] - 113:15, 124:21, 206:22 press [1] - 198:8 pressure [28] - 15:24, 16:1, 16:4, 19:6, 19:18, 22:1, 23:14, 32:3, 42:19, 44:24, 54:17, 54:18, 54:25, 55:1, 55:2, 55:5, 55:12, 56:4, 56:17, 56:20, 56:24, 57:6, 58:17, 88:24, 96:13, 98:8, 99:11. 180:11 pressure-test [3] - 55:1, 55:2, 55:5 pressure-tested [2] - 54:17, 56:20 pressured [1] - 44:6 pressures [7] - 56:13, 56:14, 56:15, 56:19, 57:5, 57:9, 92:16 pressuring [1] - 206:16 pressurized [2] - 127:19, 128:1 pretend [1] - 124:1 pretty [13] - 11:3, 83:3, 90:25, 111:11, 111:14, 112:25, 128:18, 140:18, 141:11, 141:12, 165:7,

178:17, 209:16

prevailing [1] - 71:18 prevalent [1] - 72:7 prevent [2] - 98:8, 109:5 **prevented** [1] - 196:15 prevention [3] - 31:22, 110:2, 110:4 preventive [1] - 112:15 prevents [2] - 69:6, 98:15 previous [5] - 16:17, 22:20, 156:7, 157:9, 159:10 previously [1] - 65:21 price [3] - 73:25, 105:16, 179:8 primacy [1] - 49:6 **primarily** [1] - 81:22 **primary** [4] - 42:15, 45:12, 85:7, 100:5 principles [1] - 84:11 prioritized [1] - 187:10 priority [2] - 32:15, 53:17 private [10] - 5:21, 26:19, 51:10, 81:23, 87:8, 88:2, 88:6, 149:2, 155:6, 203:4 privately [1] - 26:21 proactive [3] - 8:19, 49:25, 68:10 probability [3] - 21:11, 21:13, 21:19 problem [25] - 20:13, 62:18, 87:16, 87:17, 87:22, 90:13, 107:16, 121:5, 139:12, 139:16, 155:4, 155:17, 168:7, 169:15, 169:16, 169:24, 174:20, 177:24, 191:2, 201:1, 209:10, 211:6, 211:16, 214:11 problems [7] - 31:17, 90:15, 122:7, 122:16, 139:11, 140:1, 164:21 procedure [1] - 148:13 **procedures** [1] - 168:15 proceed [1] - 161:10 PROCEEDINGS [1] - 3:2 proceedings [2] - 4:1, 218:6 process [65] - 6:2, 26:12, 27:1, 27:3, 28:9, 29:9, 29:12, 30:19, 31:9, 35:13, 35:17, 35:18, 39:9, 41:25, 42:3, 55:11, 59:4, 60:25, 68:25, 74:2, 82:3, 82:18, 83:13, 84:4, 84:16, 85:14, 92:2, 94:22, 101:7, 101:12, 101:16, 102:15, 102:18, 103:1, 109:6, 109:19, 124:11, 127:9, 127:14, 127:17, 128:2, 128:7, 128:19, 134:15, 141:22, 144:8, 147:9, 147:16, 161:21, 167:15, 167:16,

178:3, 179:2, 179:5,

179:18, 181:8, 194:6, 200:9, 201:20, 202:3, 206:14, 206:18, 217:5, 217:6 processed [1] - 30:13 processes [6] - 10:23, 20:4. 52:15, 81:25, 102:2, 153:22 **produce** [8] - 5:7, 11:11, 90:2, 96:20, 96:21, 128:13, 138:20, 157:24 produced [7] - 18:17, 20:7, 47:15, 83:14, 160:2, 200:22, 203:17 **producer** [3] - 22:6, 53:13 producers [2] - 5:14, 22:18 producing [15] - 19:4, 19:15, 22:19, 23:12, 36:20, 36:21, 73:22, 74:8, 74:9, 151:22, 154:25, 163:15, 163:22, 188:1, 211:1 product [1] - 63:8 production [28] - 14:22, 15:4, 15:5, 18:11, 18:20, 21:25, 32:23, 34:4, 49:15, 49:17, 59:24, 71:18, 77:20, 96:12, 112:22, 115:1, 119:21, 133:9, 141:18, 147:8, 157:12, 162:8, 164:8, 186:15, 187:4, 210:24, 211:5 productive [2] - 17:1, 98:22 products [2] - 61:17, 62:16 Professional [2] - 218:4, 218:18 professional [2] - 142:9, 169:21 profit [1] - 119:6 profits [2] - 118:1, 119:12 program [15] - 27:15, 35:12, 47:3, 47:18, 47:20, 47:22, 48:3, 48:6, 78:14, 78:15, 92:22, 134:20, 177:16, 185:22, 188:18 programmatic [7] - 78:2, 78:7, 103:24, 193:7, 193:12, 193:20, 193:23 programs [2] - 28:1, 78:16 progressing [2] - 81:12, 217:2 prohibit [1] - 137:22 **prohibition** [1] - 131:3 project [1] - 143:13 projecting [1] - 39:10 projects [1] - 76:1 **promote** [1] - 37:8 promulgated [2] - 27:2, 101:8 prone [2] - 57:18, 58:12 proof [1] - 92:2

propagate [1] - 22:10 propane [7] - 127:18, 127:20, 127:23, 128:4, 128:10, 128:17, 131:13 proper [12] - 31:19, 32:1, 33:3, 58:10, 69:5, 87:11, 87:14, 98:19, 98:21, 134:10, 168:14, 168:15 properly [6] - 13:13, 17:8, 22:22, 22:24, 50:12, 214:17 **properties** [1] - 76:3 property [3] - 81:23, 118:12, 211:12 **proportions** [1] - 149:6 proposal [1] - 29:5 proposed [10] - 27:14, 28:1, 29:4, 29:9, 31:9, 31:13, 31:22, 71:8, 78:1, 102:18 proposing [3] - 89:14, 166:10, 166:22 proppant [5] - 15:22, 45:4, 45:6, 66:17, 127:25 proprietary [13] - 89:19, 89:21, 91:25, 92:5, 92:7, 167:7, 167:19, 171:4, 201:12, 201:13, 203:4, 203:8, 204:3 protect [19] - 4:24, 8:18, 13:8, 13:23, 15:6, 28:3, 36:3, 93:4, 97:14, 97:16, 97:19, 98:17, 104:16, 114:22, 125:19, 134:16, 189:12, 192:4, 202:14 protected [4] - 18:12, 28:8, 34:13, 103:12 protecting [4] - 19:17, 38:9, 83:22, 126:10 protection [14] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:6, 34:4, 34:5, 42:3, 70:25, 75:19, 85:7, 100:14, 109:12, 159:17, 170:9, 186:2 Protection [12] - 47:4, 67:13, 145:16, 147:3, 147:20, 150:24, 151:7, 151:16, 163:6, 167:5, 169:5, 170:25 protections [1] - 91:25 protective [1] - 168:15 **protocol** [2] - 100:17, 110:15 proud [8] - 48:9, 53:10, 60:17, 60:19, 62:13, 166:21, 179:11, 195:3 prove [1] - 200:13 **proven** [1] - 42:2 provide [6] - 91:16, 92:3, 116:15, 144:23, 193:23, 211:8 provided [6] - 27:11, 75:7,

109:8, 184:16, 184:19, 186:3 provides [1] - 211:7 providing [3] - 50:19, 113:19, 147:11 provinces [1] - 23:4 provision [1] - 161:17 proximity [2] - 32:12, 109:7 prudent [2] - 81:20, 157:5 **psi** [3] - 54:18, 55:3, 56:16 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:3, 1:10 Public [5] - 3:15, 26:17, 113:8, 113:10, 165:10 public [61] - 5:20, 7:6, 24:7, 27:3, 27:6, 78:5, 86:22, 86:23, 90:7, 90:8, 92:9, 92:22, 93:3, 93:4, 93:24, 101:9, 102:17, 102:19, 102:24, 113:22, 115:18, 117:6, 120:20, 122:3, 122:11, 122:13, 132:7, 132:10, 132:24, 142:22, 147:11, 147:14, 147:23, 149:21, 150:2, 155:22, 156:19, 165:25, 166:3, 167:8, 169:4, 169:25, 170:22, 170:23, 175:4, 182:6, 182:7, 185:3, 193:11, 193:14, 193:21, 194:3, 194:5, 196:15, 199:25, 203:6, 216:13, 217:6 public's [3] - 102:25, 159:17, 199:11 publish [2] - 102:18, 102:22 **puddle** [1] - 172:23 puddles [1] - 172:21 **pull** [1] - 173:2 pulling [2] - 83:5, 96:12 pulse [1] - 178:23 pump [6] - 15:25, 44:23, 54:5, 56:23, 64:10, 96:19 pumped [10] - 45:4, 45:20, 54:5, 55:25, 95:17, 130:16, 131:10, 169:12, 200:2, 201:8 pumps [1] - 56:22 **punt** [1] - 185:9 purchase [2] - 118:18, 158:25 purpose [6] - 6:14, 8:6, 45:5, 135:23, 148:15, 178:12 purposeful [1] - 178:11 **pursuing** [1] - 134:13 **push** [7] - 89:15, 93:13, 94:3, 104:15, 133:23, 163:3, 215:20 pushed [4] - 89:4, 89:11, 133:24, 215:21 pushes [1] - 89:6

pushing [5] - 88:5, 93:10, 163:3, 173:23, 194:20 put [36] - 8:15, 11:9, 12:22, 13:20, 14:17, 16:10, 18:14, 24:5, 43:1, 58:25, 59:1, 64:11, 97:16, 101:9, 101:14, 126:1, 136:13, 137:7, 139:23, 154:2, 158:17, 158:18, 158:20, 159:1, 159:2, 159:4, 169:24, 170:22, 198:12, 202:13, 205:11, 205:12, 205:22, 208:15, 213:15, 215:13 **puts** [2] - 13:17, 203:23 **putting** [10] - 30:7, 51:4, 95:14, 109:10, 139:3, 159:19, 172:10, 183:18, 205:16, 215:11 PVC [1] - 95:14

Q

Qatar [1] - 12:10 qualified [1] - 93:16 quality [9] - 49:1, 49:3, 90:16, 91:1, 121:21, 134:15, 136:7, 136:11 Quality [1] - 74:22 quantitative [4] - 185:1, 185:6, 185:14, 187:25 quantities [1] - 5:8 quantity [2] - 92:17, 92:18 quarter [4] - 37:15, 47:5, 112:22, 129:17 quarterly [4] - 102:12, 163:16, 163:21, 190:15 quell [1] - 197:11 questioned [1] - 146:2 questions [26] - 9:12, 9:24, 34:20, 35:10, 51:17, 66:5, 66:6, 69:12, 80:13, 94:8, 95:8, 97:25, 106:16, 133:18, 136:22, 147:24, 150:15, 153:20, 155:20, 159:23, 160:10, 165:2, 200:8, 211:21, 212:25, 216:9 queue [2] - 95:1, 192:13 quick [1] - 167:2 quickest [1] - 134:6 quickly [6] - 56:6, 91:13, 131:19, 139:22, 189:21, 192:14 quite [10] - 19:13, 52:13, 72:1, 89:3, 116:20, 160:5, 191:8, 200:6, 207:11, 209:20 quiz [1] - 112:18

R

Rabbit [1] - 80:10

radioactive [3] - 42:25, 43:1, 43:9 radioactivity [3] - 43:4, 43:6, 43:12 rain [1] - 11:10 raise [4] - 9:24, 157:6, 159:7, 159:11 raised [5] - 60:2, 91:5, 136:14, 157:14, 211:21 raising [1] - 91:6 rambled [1] - 170:20 **rambling** [1] - 171:13 ramp [1] - 164:1 ramp-up [1] - 164:1 rancher [2] - 86:17, 210:10 ranchers [4] - 81:8, 85:5, 143:8, 145:12 ranches [1] - 81:14 random [1] - 58:8 ranging [1] - 165:8 Rapid [1] - 36:16 rapid [1] - 189:17 rapidly [1] - 161:13 rather [3] - 105:5, 142:6, 209:11 rating [3] - 16:7, 162:5, 162:6 rational [2] - 7:12, 7:15 reached [1] - 191:9 reaches [1] - 111:16 reaching [3] - 50:2, 95:18, 111:15 react [1] - 174:18 reaction [1] - 134:6 read [6] - 17:19, 33:8, 35:15, 40:19, 67:16, 116:4 readily [2] - 82:23, 169:13 ready [5] - 32:22, 55:14, 78:18, 97:11, 112:2 ready-mix [1] - 97:11 real [5] - 8:8, 20:16, 71:24, 159:13, 165:19 realize [3] - 57:17, 107:15, 110:3 realizing [1] - 114:13 really [49] - 7:1, 8:13, 9:2, 10:9, 10:22, 11:11, 11:23, 19:3, 19:24, 21:2, 22:1, 24:22, 52:5, 55:23, 59:4, 65:15, 66:8, 79:11, 80:15, 96:13, 113:19, 113:21, 114:17, 117:8, 125:13, 126:8, 128:18, 138:9, 142:24, 144:1, 144:16. 145:13, 165:16, 169:1, 171:16, 176:19, 177:23, 181:1, 181:4, 183:10,

189:17, 191:2, 194:9, 197:17, 198:16, 205:5, 206:6, 213:3, 214:24 realm [1] - 21:18 reaping [1] - 73:6 reason [5] - 9:14, 28:16, 113:25, 114:17, 127:13 reasons [4] - 62:5, 97:15, 131:12, 131:17 receive [4] - 26:12, 29:12, 102:20, 210:21 received [5] - 70:8, 70:10, 120:14, 162:5, 207:16 receiving [2] - 27:6, 73:5 recent [5] - 44:12, 46:16, 121:9, 136:13, 154:5 recently [2] - 62:14, 147:14 receptacles [1] - 47:12 recessed [2] - 35:5, 94:21 recharge [2] - 39:5, 88:19 recharged [1] - 88:17 reclaim [2] - 84:7, 136:8 reclaimed [2] - 34:14, 156:7 reclaiming [2] - 84:22, 136:6 reclamation [3] - 87:18, 91:4, 153:22 recognize [5] - 4:21, 8:24, 145:10, 161:5, 217:8 recognized [2] - 19:15, 139:15 reconditioned [1] - 54:19 reconstruction [1] - 179:14 record [21] - 4:2, 6:15, 9:15, 37:11, 37:21, 37:23, 40:20, 41:19, 42:2, 108:10, 108:13, 113:9, 132:10, 166:21, 169:25, 182:6, 184:20, 184:24, 185:3, 218:7 recorded [2] - 8:13, 218:5 records [6] - 47:24, 121:9, 166:19, 166:20, 184:17, 186:11 recover [1] - 62:9 recoverable [1] - 127:12 recovered [2] - 92:18, 127:13 recovering [1] - 38:11 recovery [7] - 64:15, 83:12, 137:25, 184:5, 184:9, 187:24, 188:9 recreate [1] - 106:23 recycle [1] - 136:7 recycled [1] - 139:8 recycling [2] - 137:25, 138:3 red [3] - 18:17, 74:3, 126:24 reduce [9] - 4:22, 24:22, 25:7, 31:16, 67:3, 133:7, 136:7, 157:21, 160:22 reduced [1] - 158:1 reducers [2] - 205:9, 205:10

reduces [2] - 23:14, 49:25 redundant [1] - 54:4 reef [2] - 12:2 reemphasize [1] - 58:16 refer [2] - 57:1, 82:4 reference [2] - 187:20, 187:23 referenced [1] - 163:24 refinery [1] - 110:11 reframe [1] - 146:23 refuse [1] - 200:14 regard [1] - 142:25 regarding [6] - 106:18, 106:19, 108:9, 110:6, 135:7, 178:4 regardless [3] - 148:1, 159:12, 162:20 regards [11] - 100:2, 100:18, 109:4, 110:2, 174:11, 178:2, 178:19, 186:19, 190:21, 191:17, 193:6 region [1] - 86:17 regional [1] - 68:19 Register [2] - 76:4, 102:19 Registered [2] - 218:3, 218:18 registry [2] - 67:14, 67:17 regular [3] - 92:6, 102:11, 205:8 regularly [2] - 141:20, 214:17 regulate [14] - 28:22, 41:24, 69:10, 89:3, 117:17, 129:2, 132:16, 144:19, 144:22, 145:17, 165:25, 166:3, 197:2, 213:9 regulated [10] - 42:4, 47:2, 68:3, 69:7, 87:13, 147:7, 169:7, 202:4, 213:10, 214:15 regulates [7] - 37:5, 40:23, 45:8, 47:1, 68:4, 87:24, 113:11 regulating [6] - 39:1, 117:21, 132:22, 167:1, 213:5, 216:2 regulation [15] - 38:15, 42:2, 47:17, 79:22, 94:4, 105:20, 114:16, 131:1, 134:10, 135:2, 158:12, 166:15, 178:1, 184:20, 194:17 regulations [27] - 6:4, 14:24, 26:4, 26:25, 32:16, 52:23, 69:9, 70:21, 71:23, 74:16, 74:21, 89:8, 106:2, 117:11, 122:4, 125:10, 131:3, 135:5, 135:7, 135:11, 148:4, 157:7, 161:22, 166:6, 166:8, 173:13, 188:20 regulator [1] - 28:17

regulators [8] - 9:20, 121:20, 125:2, 125:3, 135:5, 190:22, 213:19 regulatory [15] - 26:3, 92:23, 93:10, 93:14, 99:14, 99:16, 99:23, 100:18, 126:24, 149:23, 151:10, 186:15, 191:5, 194:19, 217:7 **Regulatory** [1] - 113:15 **REINICKE** [11] - 153:19, 154:4, 154:8, 154:11, 154:15, 154:19, 155:9, 155:13, 155:16, 158:7, 158:14 Reinicke [1] - 153:19 reinject [1] - 138:19 reinvents [1] - 101:5 reiterate [3] - 117:10, 117:19, 138:22 related [12] - 56:13, 106:17, 123:15, 128:24, 136:1, 147:17, 147:25, 152:4, 161:11, 161:20, 174:3, 193:9 relates [2] - 49:10, 113:25 relating [1] - 161:13 relation [2] - 114:5, 207:14 relationship [4] - 125:10, 134:3, 208:5, 208:7 relatively [2] - 85:12, 114:4 relax [1] - 48:1 release [11] - 46:11, 49:23, 50:1, 50:4, 50:13, 51:13, 92:3, 92:5, 100:4, 100:8, 178:4 released [2] - 120:20, 194:5 releases [4] - 38:21, 45:9, 111:9, 131:7 reliable [1] - 114:10 rely [3] - 59:9, 100:19, 125:13 remain [2] - 167:18, 167:19 remaining [1] - 139:8 remains [2] - 77:8, 208:1 remarks [2] - 138:22, 143:16 remember [10] - 27:4, 31:6, 33:13, 38:1, 54:9, 64:22, 86:1, 108:2, 108:6, 118:10 reminding [1] - 108:17 remit [1] - 8:18 removal [1] - 131:25 remove [1] - 138:4 removed [3] - 43:3, 43:7, 43:11 remover [1] - 46:17 renaissance [1] - 215:3 renewable [1] - 114:6 renewables [1] - 207:3

rental [1] - 53:7

Renville [1] - 81:8

reorganize [1] - 93:2 rep [1] - 117:24 repair [1] - 186:21 repaired [1] - 187:2 repeat [1] - 58:15 replaced [1] - 15:14 reply [1] - 140:3 report [11] - 8:15, 28:25, 29:3, 29:12, 32:23, 32:25, 92:14, 116:3, 187:1, 191:14, 204:20 reported [11] - 28:6, 45:10, 91:15, 100:9, 103:18, 148:7, 148:13, 148:22, 180:14, 186:25, 187:2 Reporter [3] - 3:18, 218:4, 218:18 **REPORTER** [1] - 218:1 reporter [2] - 8:14, 115:8 reporting [2] - 45:9, 100:6 reports [4] - 33:4, 92:19, 120:14, 122:13 represent [4] - 18:17, 64:21, 117:6, 182:8 representative [3] - 127:8, 169:22, 185:2 representatives [1] - 151:15 represented [2] - 65:6, 142:21 representing [8] - 9:18, 35:1, 59:11, 69:19, 86:8, 194:22, 195:24 represents [3] - 80:20, 113:17, 193:19 reps [3] - 96:2, 101:25, 118:4 reputable [1] - 198:7 reputation [2] - 124:25, 177:11 request [1] - 148:23 requests [1] - 149:22 require [9] - 101:3, 157:8, 186:10, 186:12, 186:20, 186:22, 187:25, 188:1, 196:10 required [10] - 27:2, 32:23, 33:24, 34:9, 63:15, 82:17, 128:13, 129:5, 185:7, 186:1 requirements [10] - 45:25, 46:8, 93:2, 93:6, 104:3, 157:20, 161:21, 184:13, 184:14, 185:21 requires [8] - 27:3, 27:10, 27:18, 27:25, 66:13, 75:25, 109:16, 160:15 requiring [1] - 109:11 research [6] - 62:2, 62:3, 97:6, 131:5, 156:6, 170:8

reseeded [1] - 34:15

Reservation [24] - 70:14,

70:19, 71:7, 71:14, 71:15, 71:20, 71:25, 72:10, 72:25, 73:18, 74:5, 74:10, 74:13, 74:18, 75:4, 75:14, 75:20, 76:7, 76:15, 77:5, 78:5, 79:3, 80:4, 181:20 reservation [43] - 70:20, 70:22, 71:16, 72:13, 72:15, 72:19, 73:7, 73:9, 73:13, 73:24, 74:3, 74:6, 75:9, 75:12, 75:22, 76:9, 77:15, 77:16, 77:19, 77:22, 77:25, 78:17, 79:5, 79:9, 79:12, 79:13, 79:17, 79:19, 79:25, 103:17, 104:1, 172:17, 173:9, 173:15, 175:10, 176:10, 176:17, 176:23, 181:18, 191:4, 191:12, reservations [1] - 72:7 reserves [1] - 112:18 reservoir [4] - 60:11, 66:2, 75:10, 96:14 reservoirs [1] - 66:9 resident [2] - 194:12, 196:7 residential [1] - 114:9 residents [4] - 133:20, 134:17, 194:23, 195:25 resistant [1] - 166:14 resolution [5] - 113:20, 114:15, 115:6, 140:11, 140:13 **Resolution** [1] - 132:20 resonated [1] - 214:6 resource [16] - 7:13, 8:1, 9:19, 11:19, 30:16, 30:22, 34:13, 70:5, 70:25, 75:7, 75:19, 103:21, 114:7, 115:13, 136:21, 137:25 Resource [3] - 2:11, 86:8, 142:3 **Resources** [5] - 36:14, 37:3, 100:24, 120:1, 120:14 resources [51] - 4:18, 4:22, 4:25, 6:12, 8:19, 12:8, 26:5, 36:3, 37:8, 38:8, 38:13, 39:2, 39:6, 39:8, 39:15, 39:16, 39:22, 40:13, 40:14, 40:15, 40:18, 41:14, 41:23, 42:7, 47:10, 48:11, 48:12, 70:4, 70:22, 71:2, 72:13, 74:25, 77:7, 77:11, 77:12, 80:3, 81:21, 92:24, 93:1, 100:15, 106:21, 110:7, 110:16, 117:15, 144:18, 145:6, 160:7, 207:2, 207:16, 207:23 respect [5] - 5:19, 137:24, 145:6, 180:6, 199:19 respond [10] - 10:15, 20:10,

110:16, 145:24, 158:15, 162:11, 175:9, 188:12, 190:1, 190:13 responded [4] - 101:10, 121:18, 121:22, 179:12 responding [5] - 18:25, 49:20, 180:2, 212:24, 215:21 responds [2] - 122:8, 122:24 response [17] - 50:4, 50:5, 78:15, 78:16, 78:25, 79:22, 106:14, 110:12, 110:22, 110:24, 123:3, 123:4, 126:19, 161:23, 216:10 responsibilities [1] - 49:13 responsibility [11] - 23:24, 30:11, 101:22, 126:7, 126:23, 174:24, 180:5, 180:6, 212:6, 213:15, 217:20 responsible [19] - 50:4, 51:3, 74:23, 80:3, 87:5, 124:8, 124:10, 154:15, 164:18, 189:5, 200:7, 207:1, 207:5, 207:21, 207:22, 212:4, 216:16, 217:1, 217:17 responsibly [3] - 4:22, 94:6, 135:8 responsive [1] - 134:4 rest [2] - 119:6, 144:5 restate [1] - 84:10 **Restoration** [1] - 164:16 result [2] - 122:7, 171:10 results [1] - 180:9 retired [1] - 180:20 retrievable [1] - 55:4 retrogression [1] - 98:16 return [1] - 170:7 reuse [6] - 65:20, 136:7, 136:10, 137:25, 138:1, 138:6 reused [1] - 139:7 revealing [2] - 122:2, 196:20 revenue [4] - 118:9, 119:5, 140:17, 170:7 review [18] - 26:11, 28:9, 29:13, 30:14, 30:19, 30:20, 31:10, 31:16, 31:21, 32:25, 33:2, 33:9, 92:6, 109:6, 186:16, 187:11, 191:19, 191:20 reviewed [3] - 27:15, 30:21, 31:19 reviewing [1] - 187:16 reviews [3] - 26:11, 34:6, 157:7 revised [1] - 27:5 revisions [1] - 101:23 revoke [1] - 177:21 revolution [4] - 10:19, 10:21,

17:15, 199:9 rhetoric [1] - 168:8 **RICH** [1] - 2:2 Rich [8] - 7:2, 25:16, 34:17, 36:5, 36:13, 51:25, 108:3, 138:23 Richard [6] - 59:14, 63:24, 66:22, 69:1, 187:8, 200:18 **rig** [15] - 13:1, 13:4, 13:5, 13:17, 15:10, 15:11, 15:14, 16:2, 16:4, 26:8, 32:11, 163:10, 163:20, 172:23, 179:9 rights [7] - 81:22, 81:23, 125:20, 126:10, 132:21, 157:24, 198:22 rigs [6] - 36:18, 36:22, 74:12, 74:14, 163:17 risk [11] - 31:17, 32:9, 32:11, 46:21, 58:22, 112:8, 160:8, 163:8, 163:13, 163:15 Risk [2] - 149:11, 163:4 risking [1] - 183:11 risks [4] - 7:14, 18:3, 55:16, 82:8 risky [1] - 163:9 River [14] - 75:7, 75:8, 75:16, 106:20, 107:1, 107:3, 107:4, 107:6, 107:12, 107:24, 110:9, 110:12 road [16] - 67:5, 67:7, 77:14, 79:21, 79:22, 83:8, 112:9, 122:6, 172:10, 173:12, 175:13, 175:17, 175:18, 176:4, 179:16, 183:3 roads [15] - 76:14, 77:15, 77:17, 82:16, 83:2, 134:20, 143:7, 161:14, 173:9, 173:11, 175:3, 176:12, 180:10, 181:24, 199:12 rock [10] - 12:3, 16:8, 20:19, 20:24, 21:25, 41:14, 45:6, 66:17, 131:24 rocket [2] - 21:14, 21:21 rocks [3] - 11:7, 37:23, 37:24 Roggenbuck [2] - 58:3, 111:20 role [13] - 9:8, 25:13, 69:20, 145:7, 145:15, 145:20, 159:24, 160:11, 174:4, 174:10, 174:12, 180:5, 217:1 roll [1] - 61:17 rollovers [1] - 49:21

RON [1] - 212:14

Ron [1] - 212:14

Ron's [1] - 215:8

room [5] - 198:21, 198:24,

198:25, 199:5, 205:4

roof [1] - 11:10

rough [1] - 181:1 routinely [1] - 180:17 royalty [1] - 210:21 rule [6] - 101:23, 102:18, 102:22, 129:24, 141:22, 198:12 rulemaking [6] - 27:3, 100:25, 101:7, 101:20, 102:2, 102:15 rules [18] - 20:12, 36:2, 42:4, 48:18, 49:9, 101:7, 101:12, 101:14, 101:17, 102:1, 102:17, 102:25, 104:16, 131:16, 148:4, 162:24, 179:6, 213:13 rumblings [1] - 140:19 run [6] - 29:2, 31:11, 55:7, 107:1, 111:13, 185:11 running [4] - 62:21, 66:25, 69:13, 192:13 runoff [1] - 76:21 runs [2] - 31:25, 150:17 rupture [1] - 57:10 rush [3] - 105:12, 105:16, 173:20 Russia [1] - 12:10

S

safe [14] - 6:22, 6:23, 17:23,

30:18, 43:12, 50:19, 68:25, 81:20, 95:23, 132:2, 141:12, 145:21, 167:6, 183:14 Safe [2] - 28:19, 49:8 safely [3] - 20:7, 52:22 safety [20] - 31:15, 45:16, 45:17, 46:4, 46:10, 78:14, 78:25, 79:21, 79:22, 80:10, 83:10, 104:8, 128:3, 137:12, 137:13, 137:21, 149:2, 167:8, 175:4, 215:18 Sakakawea [9] - 39:17, 75:10, 75:11, 76:18, 106:25, 112:9, 134:14, 192:22, 203:10 sale [2] - 73:1, 73:3 sales [2] - 118:13, 139:23 saline [1] - 19:5 salt [5] - 97:14, 131:23, 131:25, 138:5, 138:15 salts [1] - 41:9 saltwater [13] - 107:9, 107:10, 109:4, 139:8, 139:10, 163:13, 163:21, 177:6, 177:10, 177:17, 180:11, 192:21, 193:3 **salty** [3] - 131:19, 131:23,

175:16 sample [2] - 51:9, 185:2 samples [6] - 121:3, 123:11, 186:8, 202:19 sampling [1] - 121:7 sand [20] - 15:22, 15:23, 16:9, 16:11, 43:1, 44:5, 45:3, 45:5, 54:11, 55:20, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:23, 82:21, 84:21, 118:18, 204:19, 204:22 sanded [1] - 44:5 sands [1] - 11:24 **SANDY** [1] - 132:6 Sandy [1] - 132:7 Sanish [4] - 37:14, 52:25, 75:18, 137:4 **SARA** [1] - 68:3 satisfied [2] - 102:23, 123:12 Saudi [1] - 12:14 saw [4] - 25:2, 44:25, 68:25, 118:7 scare [1] - 207:11 scattered [1] - 79:11 scenario [2] - 96:11, 96:12 scenarios [1] - 96:16 scenic [2] - 76:19, 77:16 schedule [1] - 193:22 **schematic** [1] - 33:6 School [1] - 36:16 schools [4] - 144:10, 161:14, 175:4, 215:11 science [3] - 197:7, 197:9, 199:2 Science [3] - 7:11, 7:15, 29:22 sciences [1] - 70:8 scientific [1] - 20:20 scientifically [1] - 7:12 scientists [3] - 21:10, 21:14, 172:20 scope [1] - 106:4 scoping [2] - 102:23, 194:3 scratching [1] - 40:10 scream [1] - 206:18 scrutinized [1] - 33:11 sea [1] - 38:7 seal [1] - 186:7 seam [7] - 19:24, 23:7, 23:16, 62:22, 95:10, 95:11, 96:25 season [1] - 82:25 seat [2] - 4:5, 53:6 second [7] - 35:7, 43:13, 56:12, 56:21, 146:19, 153:24, 193:6 secondary [1] - 100:5 secondly [1] - 212:1 secrecy [1] - 201:25

192:1, 192:6, 192:11 201:16 156:16 secret [2] - 92:4, 196:19 showed [8] - 22:20, 64:2, secretary [1] - 8:11 services [3] - 142:10, 144:11, 164:2 69:1, 103:8, 103:9, 201:8, secrets [2] - 5:25, 196:17 Services [1] - 2:7 217:5 section [6] - 31:9, 48:25, serving [1] - 142:4 **showing** [3] - 33:18, 59:21, 75:24, 90:22, 184:7, 187:22 session [11] - 7:2, 7:10, 8:21, 90:18 187.9 Section [2] - 190:4, 190:6 66:5, 69:13, 101:1, 132:19, **shows** [7] - 19:21, 26:8, securing [2] - 145:21, 178:24 132:21, 179:8, 190:8, 28:20, 60:16, 65:3, 65:11, sedimentary [1] - 37:22 208:11 109:9 **shrifted** [1] - 189:3 sedimentation [1] - 76:22 **sessions** [1] - 101:2 set [5] - 33:15, 33:16, 57:7, shut [1] - 112:14 see [34] - 11:20, 15:16, 164:13, 188:19 **shuts** [1] - 57:1 16:13, 16:16, 17:18, 18:21, sic [1] - 23:6 19:7, 33:12, 39:10, 39:22, sets [2] - 34:23, 166:7 42:6, 55:19, 64:18, 67:24, setting [2] - 143:23, 187:14 sick [1] - 124:4 68:8, 73:11, 74:4, 84:10, seven [8] - 18:14, 42:20, side [15] - 7:20, 7:25, 15:24, 174:17 89:22, 102:13, 106:8, 43:15, 54:7, 55:3, 90:22, 16:1, 84:18, 97:12, 98:7, 119:24, 121:4, 140:13, 92:19, 121:12 112:7, 114:3, 137:17, seven-inch [4] - 42:20, 165:24, 172:19, 172:21, 141:14, 142:8, 164:24, 190:23, 191:11, 204:2, 43:15, 54:7, 55:3 166:13, 183:21 207:15, 209:15, 213:13, sides [3] - 8:3, 86:24, 142:23 seventy [1] - 118:12 216:15 **Sidney** [1] - 60:6 **several** [10] - 43:23, 52:7, seed [1] - 83:5 65:8, 83:19, 91:1, 93:22, **sight** [1] - 73:5 seeder [1] - 83:5 155:2, 161:6, 175:10, signal [1] - 108:25 seeing [1] - 72:25 194:25 signatures [2] - 72:18, 72:22 seek [1] - 84:7 severance [4] - 118:4, signed [2] - 130:7, 149:18 119:11, 119:18, 163:24 seem [2] - 157:18, 181:18 significance [1] - 158:2 seemingly [1] - 143:4 sewage [2] - 143:18, 144:10 significant [8] - 71:21, 72:16, sees [2] - 71:11, 105:25 shale [8] - 11:22, 11:25, 75:6, 97:6, 118:22, 119:2, seismic [2] - 20:25, 72:9 14:13, 22:11, 47:9, 64:20, 128:11, 145:15 sell [2] - 89:25, 95:19 73:16, 172:5 significantly [3] - 145:18, **shales** [3] - 11:8, 11:9, 41:9 selling [3] - 155:13, 155:17, 149:24, 159:25 **shallow** [2] - 19:4, 19:15 silica [1] - 98:14 155:18 sells [2] - 95:20, 95:21 **shallower** [1] - 202:22 silicosis [1] - 204:21 semiarid [2] - 85:1, 85:3 **shallowest** [2] - 64:24, 65:8 **similar** [4] - 16:22, 28:22, Senate [1] - 72:14 share [1] - 119:9 128:16, 136:18 shared [2] - 119:21, 120:8 Senator [1] - 207:8 **simplified** [1] - 37:12 shareholder [1] - 170:7 204:4 send [3] - 108:25, 116:18, **simply** [3] - 15:12, 53:21, 202:18 shareholders [1] - 119:14 57:10 sense [4] - 143:4, 154:9, sheath [1] - 33:24 single [7] - 41:1, 82:18, 90:1, 218:17 154:12, 154:13 sheep [1] - 47:21 147:14, 180:20, 203:5, 212:7 **sensitive** [4] - 32:14, 62:6, **sheets** [4] - 45:16, 45:17, 108:10 single-handedly [1] - 203:5 62:11, 65:18 46:4, 46:10 sent [5] - 108:16, 116:10, shell [1] - 22:11 sister [1] - 174:15 137:7, 175:25, 193:21 Shell [2] - 75:17 **sit** [5] - 9:11, 9:21, 110:18, sentiments [1] - 105:19 shield [1] - 196:19 187:19, 190:25 Sentinel [1] - 75:16 site [31] - 27:21, 43:2, 49:15, Shield [1] - 75:18 separate [2] - 188:19, 203:19 **shoe** [2] - 19:7, 19:19 49:23, 84:14, 100:11, **shoot** [2] - 21:15, 21:21 109:16, 111:21, 112:11, separately [1] - 164:3 121:18, 121:23, 126:3, September [1] - 47:24 shooting [1] - 21:14 139:10, 147:14, 147:18, **series** [2] - 34:24, 100:15 **shoreline** [1] - 75:12 147:23, 148:22, 149:20, serious [2] - 83:3, 83:9 **short** [8] - 50:22, 58:14, 150:1, 150:2, 150:8, **seriously** [4] - 6:7, 178:16, 85:24, 86:6, 189:3, 192:13, 150:16, 150:22, 151:17, 213:8, 213:21 197:22 152:3, 152:5, 152:10, serve [2] - 113:14, 210:17 short-shrifted [1] - 189:3 153:3, 160:9, 160:14, server [1] - 100:10 shorthand [2] - 218:6, 201:14 service [5] - 59:9, 59:11, 218:11 sites [14] - 24:17, 67:5, 77:9, 61:22, 136:25, 148:16 shot [1] - 15:10 77:21, 77:22, 77:24, 84:3, Service [11] - 26:18, 88:4, **shothole** [1] - 15:10 108:18, 108:22, 108:25, 91:15, 113:8, 113:10, show [6] - 33:8, 46:13, 109:14, 147:11, 149:12, solid [1] - 208:7 189:10, 191:18, 191:20, 90:25, 125:20, 156:15,

siting [1] - 113:13 sits [2] - 53:6, 110:11 sitting [7] - 23:8, 23:10, 80:8, 156:10, 164:20, 170:11, situation [18] - 18:16, 18:21, 20:22, 21:20, 23:22, 42:24, 47:12, 50:21, 81:4, 100:8, 111:20, 124:4, 124:9, 134:7, 144:5, 144:20, 145:11, 176:8 situations [9] - 24:6, 97:13, 97:19, 122:15, 131:6, 138:24, 161:23, 173:22, **six** [8] - 24:25, 41:6, 44:23, 121:12, 184:20, 184:22, 184:23, 211:4 Sixth [1] - 1:17 size [2] - 81:11, 106:3 slice [1] - 42:5 slide [2] - 60:16, 66:5 slides [4] - 33:13, 46:13, 51:24, 147:5 slightly [1] - 16:20 slips [1] - 214:1 Slope [1] - 25:23 slotted [1] - 16:21 **slow** [4] - 73:24, 105:9, 105:10, 194:25 **slowdown** [1] - 178:23 **slowing** [2] - 144:7, 179:1 **small** [4] - 52:6, 130:10, 204:1, 215:3 **smaller** [3] - 14:3, 155:1, smart [1] - 6:12 **Smith** [3] - 80:9, 218:3, **snow** [3] - 25:21, 76:23, snowmelt [4] - 107:8, 107:15, 108:10, 108:18 **snows** [2] - 107:16, 108:12 **soap** [1] - 46:18 soaps [1] - 205:9 social [11] - 72:4, 142:10, 144:11, 144:23, 174:1, 174:4, 174:24, 180:6, 180:16, 199:12, 212:6 society [5] - 9:18, 35:1, 35:23, 178:20, 217:20 society's [1] - 217:17 Sohio [1] - 7:22 **soil** [5] - 120:17, 121:3, 142:18, 200:12, 210:15 soils [1] - 76:7 **sold** [2] - 137:8, 154:25

solids [3] - 28:12, 28:16, 139:7 solution [5] - 23:10, 56:9, 56:18, 58:9, 175:22 **solve** [3] - 121:5, 151:13, 152:3 someday [1] - 214:14 someone [5] - 57:14, 86:21, 143:15, 144:2, 177:19 **sometime** [1] - 101:18 sometimes [5] - 17:6, 105:2, 106:1, 163:11, 199:20 somewhat [1] - 143:15 **somewhere** [1] - 44:21 soon [3] - 87:17, 139:2, 190:8 sooner [3] - 88:18, 88:25, 90:15 sophisticated [1] - 53:20 **sorry** [7] - 12:25, 28:13, 80:20, 106:7, 111:4, 171:18, 206:11 sort [8] - 16:18, 17:23, 95:18, 99:8, 104:17, 115:17, 122:9, 160:10 sorts [1] - 208:24 sound [2] - 204:25 sounds [1] - 39:13 **source** [3] - 92:17, 121:7, 123:13 sources [4] - 38:19, 82:10, 124:7, 134:16 Souris [1] - 80:24 **South** [3] - 23:6, 36:16 south [1] - 52:25 **space** [2] - 17:13, 209:9 speaker [1] - 195:18 speaking [7] - 9:4, 53:16, 86:6, 171:23, 184:8, 216:8, 216:11 **speaks** [1] - 118:3 **special** [2] - 43:2, 192:4 **specialist** [1] - 30:22 specialists [3] - 30:14, 30:16, 34:13 species [2] - 192:4, 192:5 specific [2] - 27:21, 97:19 specifically [8] - 69:8, 70:16, 104:8, 134:13, 135:6, 181:10, 213:6 specifics [1] - 121:1 **specified** [2] - 13:19, 14:24 **speed** [3] - 81:11, 106:3, spend [8] - 45:21, 46:12, 62:3, 97:1, 98:4, 118:13, 146:19, 170:1 spent [4] - 36:21, 118:25, 119:1, 207:7 spigot [1] - 63:10

spiked [1] - 42:19 spill [25] - 49:22, 78:15, 79:21, 100:3, 100:9, 103:16, 107:9, 107:10, 107:20, 110:2, 110:3, 110:9, 110:12, 111:3, 112:3, 112:5, 122:12, 126:19, 160:12, 161:20, 168:12, 168:14, 168:23, 175:11, 192:20 spilled [3] - 111:12, 160:3, 193:4 spilling [1] - 181:5 **spills** [18] - 45:9, 49:4, 76:9, 76:12, 83:11, 103:6, 104:10, 107:8, 107:19, 107:24, 108:9, 161:19, 172:11, 172:17, 175:10, 178:2, 181:10, 197:23 split [3] - 86:19, 120:4, 191:23 spoken [1] - 145:25 sportsman [1] - 117:3 **sportsmen** [1] - 116:6 **spots** [2] - 55:9, 55:10 spray [1] - 203:11 spread [2] - 146:15, 175:15 **spring** [2] - 107:8, 215:7 sprung [1] - 44:14 spud [1] - 73:9 **spudded** [1] - 184:23 **square** [1] - 45:2 stab [1] - 112:21 **stabilize** [1] - 14:5 staff [9] - 29:13, 48:5, 85:11, 109:23, 109:24, 162:15, 163:19, 179:12, 187:11 stages [1] - 16:23 stake [1] - 215:13 stance [2] - 68:11, 197:10 stand [1] - 7:18 standard [7] - 28:18, 92:2, 148:21, 148:23, 163:20, 185:10, 185:13 Standard [1] - 28:19 standards [1] - 162:23 **Standards** [1] - 149:13 standing [1] - 106:8 standpoint [1] - 114:8 Stanford [2] - 7:23, 20:23 Stanley [1] - 52:25 **start** [16] - 6:13, 8:5, 21:2, 35:6, 67:1, 73:5, 73:6, 95:2, 96:2, 104:21, 106:10, 127:21, 128:3, 173:17, 177:5, 190:9 started [7] - 7:21, 25:24, 60:12, 72:25, 81:7, 154:5,

193:13

starting [1] - 123:21

starts [1] - 23:12 State [38] - 4:7, 30:8, 35:21, 36:14, 60:1, 70:9, 92:25, 99:6, 100:13, 105:1, 105:7, 105:8, 105:9, 105:23, 106:1, 106:4, 109:8, 117:20, 125:14, 126:15, 132:15, 144:7, 144:17, 155:5, 155:7, 156:3, 162:14, 164:12, 166:10, 173:4, 175:1, 176:12, 178:25, 179:15, 179:20, 179:23, 208:19, 216:1 **state** [138] - 4:14, 9:20, 26:4, 26:22, 28:17, 30:5, 37:9, 37:15, 37:16, 40:25, 42:1, 42:4, 43:8, 43:10, 45:25, 47:10, 48:10, 49:9, 49:11, 50:2, 53:13, 73:20, 79:20, 81:12, 81:21, 83:24, 85:9, 85:16, 87:24, 88:22, 89:1, 89:15, 89:17, 90:11, 90:20, 93:9, 93:10, 93:14, 93:15, 93:17, 99:14, 99:24, 100:12, 101:12, 102:14, 106:2, 106:22, 109:2, 110:6, 113:17, 113:22, 114:15, 114:16, 114:19, 115:19, 116:19, 117:13, 117:25, 118:4, 118:7, 118:8, 118:11, 118:24, 119:8, 119:10, 119:12, 119:22, 120:7, 120:9, 122:22, 125:2, 125:11, 129:7, 131:3, 132:13, 133:4, 133:6, 133:23, 134:3, 134:8, 135:1, 140:8, 142:9, 142:14, 142:16, 142:17, 143:3, 144:1, 144:16, 147:10, 148:3, 148:4, 149:23, 151:9, 157:1, 160:7, 161:25, 162:22, 162:23, 163:7, 165:4, 165:12, 165:15, 165:20, 166:12, 173:6, 173:7, 176:13, 177:10, 177:17, 179:14, 184:21, 185:1, 185:11, 186:13, 189:7, 189:15, 189:20, 189:21, 191:11, 192:11, 194:19, 207:4, 207:14, 207:20, 208:4, 208:10, 208:23, 209:3, 209:17, 211:22, 211:25, 212:3, 213:6, 213:7, 213:8, 213:12 **State's** [2] - 35:22, 215:20 state's [1] - 115:20 state-certified [2] - 185:1, 185:11

state-held [1] - 26:22 statement [6] - 41:20, 41:24, 81:6, 115:18, 161:12, 216:10 **statements** [1] - 165:2 States [9] - 25:2, 59:23, 167:23, 168:2, 168:18, 171:9, 209:23, 210:4, 214:11 **states** [23] - 35:2, 59:1, 69:8, 102:7, 102:8, 104:19, 132:25, 147:7, 147:15, 148:2, 151:2, 151:12, 151:22, 152:21, 152:25, 162:11, 165:25, 169:6, 173:17, 174:23, 207:19 states' [1] - 132:21 statewide [4] - 131:2, 154:1, 157:18, 190:11 stating [1] - 194:14 status [6] - 129:4, 160:23, 162:8, 171:3, 201:20, 201:22 statutes [1] - 42:4 stay [4] - 108:4, 118:23, 150:14, 207:9 staying [3] - 16:14, 88:23, 172:5 stays [1] - 118:2 **steadily** [1] - 5:13 steady [1] - 33:12 steel [5] - 13:18, 13:25, 41:2, 44:2. 56:5 Steinwand [1] - 190:7 **stemming** [1] - 5:25 step [5] - 55:1, 173:4, 179:20, 213:14, 216:15 Stephanie [2] - 218:3, 218:17 steps [1] - 30:19 **still** [11] - 54:14, 58:1, 65:8, 96:20, 121:4, 128:8, 130:9, 159:14, 176:7, 202:14, 214:9 stimulation [5] - 33:5, 91:24, 92:12, 92:15, 131:25 stipulations [2] - 109:11, 111:7 **stop** [4] - 111:15, 111:18, 112:5, 115:4 stored [2] - 46:5, 200:2 story [1] - 156:13 straight [1] - 118:9 straightforward [1] - 124:25 strata [1] - 12:4 strategically [1] - 50:11 **strategy** [2] - 32:8, 34:10 stratigraphic [1] - 82:4 stream [1] - 140:17 streams [2] - 109:10, 111:12

Street [2] - 1:17, 19:1 strength [3] - 31:20, 186:9, 186:10 stress [1] - 16:7 stretch [2] - 34:22, 119:4 string [2] - 13:17, 14:17 stringent [1] - 31:14 strings [1] - 99:11 strives [2] - 52:20 strong [1] - 19:22 structure [1] - 12:2 stuck [1] - 36:10 studies [7] - 70:9, 91:1, 103:10, 103:23, 168:3, 179:16, 179:17 study [5] - 135:16, 136:13, 136:17, 140:3, 179:18 studying [1] - 197:7 stuff [18] - 61:7, 130:17, 143:4, 176:5, 191:5, 197:24, 202:4, 202:18, 204:2, 205:2, 205:3, 205:4, 205:8, 205:10, 205:19, 205:20, 205:24, 206:1 stymied [1] - 71:24 subject [3] - 59:23, 150:15, 177:18 **submit** [5] - 6:16, 35:13, 115:6, 148:5, 186:8 **submits** [1] - 26:10 submitted [3] - 28:25, 32:24, 188:25 substances [1] - 196:12 substantial [1] - 118:14 substrata [1] - 114:20 subsurface [5] - 32:2, 34:12, 184:3, 188:8, 188:16 **succeeded** [1] - 95:11 **success** [1] - 50:3 **successful** [1] - 52:16 **succinctly** [1] - 194:14 suffer [1] - 144:5 sufficient [7] - 10:14, 79:8, 92:12, 93:6, 143:8, 155:25, 156:3 suggesting [1] - 165:18 suggestions [1] - 149:3 Suggs' [1] - 187:8 **summary** [2] - 84:9, 213:1 **Sundry** [1] - 34:8 sunlight [1] - 34:23 supervised [1] - 53:2 supervisor [1] - 36:23 supplant [1] - 148:4 supplier [1] - 148:15 **suppliers** [2] - 119:2, 148:24 **supplies** [2] - 5:24, 118:25 **Supply** [1] - 208:20 supply [4] - 5:2, 67:20,

82:17, 208:21 **supplying** [1] - 84:14 support [9] - 59:10, 81:19, 120:7. 139:3. 142:21. 145:9, 150:7, 163:25, 209:7 **supported** [3] - 132:18, 133:1. 148:2 **supporting** [1] - 132:10 **supports** [5] - 67:18, 67:19, 114:15, 197:10, 207:1 suppose [1] - 103:14 supposed [5] - 41:23, 97:22, 106:6, 152:8, 169:1 surely [1] - 91:11 surety [2] - 158:23, 159:14 surface [69] - 13:22, 14:25, 15:2, 15:4, 15:14, 16:15, 17:3, 17:14, 18:10, 19:8, 19:24, 19:25, 24:12, 24:22, 26:19, 27:18, 30:20, 31:24, 32:6, 33:14, 33:16, 34:14, 38:12, 42:8, 42:20, 42:23, 44:8, 44:10, 44:14, 44:20, 45:11, 49:7, 54:2, 55:21, 55:24, 57:8, 57:11, 75:6, 75:7, 76:21, 81:22, 82:10, 82:12, 83:20, 84:12, 86:20, 88:15, 88:17, 103:6, 103:11, 103:18, 109:7, 134:13, 136:4, 151:3, 160:3, 168:12, 168:23, 169:9, 172:7, 172:20, 174:7, 174:11, 191:18, 191:23, 197:23, 200:21, 202:9 **surplus** [1] - 173:5 **surprise** [1] - 108:12 Survey [1] - 37:6 suspend [1] - 124:1 sustainability [3] - 7:20, 7:25, 206:19 **sustainable** [1] - 216:17 **swallowed** [1] - 46:16 **sweeping** [1] - 217:10 swimming [1] - 46:17 **switching** [1] - 50:15 System [3] - 26:17, 149:11, 163:5 system [11] - 61:14, 62:20, 64:8, 79:2, 107:24, 127:19. 128:1, 139:4, 139:5, 164:13, 175:24 systemic [1] - 72:6 systems [4] - 20:3, 77:14, 127:24, 192:23

Т

table [11] - 13:2, 13:6, 13:16, 18:13, 19:10, 20:1, 23:13, 23:14, 94:18, 116:2, 135:6 tabletop [1] - 110:19 tagged [1] - 86:5 tail [1] - 146:21 tank [7] - 83:6, 117:7, 127:20, 139:17, 139:22 tanks [3] - 15:19, 15:20, 138:25 tap [2] - 110:8, 110:16 tape [2] - 74:3, 126:24 task [2] - 139:21, 189:19 tax [9] - 118:4, 118:9, 118:12, 118:13, 119:18, 119:20, 119:21, 119:23, 163:25 taxes [4] - 118:12, 119:11, 119:15, 199:24 taxpayers [1] - 156:4 TCF [3] - 12:9, 12:11 TD [3] - 14:4, 14:12, 14:16 **TDS** [3] - 138:4, 138:8, 160:4 team [4] - 52:20, 53:10, 110:23, 161:23 **TEC** [1] - 193:17 tech [1] - 187:11 technical [3] - 96:3, 135:21, 142:5 technique [4] - 5:6, 5:11, 5:13, 17:9 techniques [2] - 24:20, 53:21 technological [1] - 5:4 technologically [1] - 152:18 technologies [8] - 6:22, 11:6, 84:6, 84:19, 84:22, 138:3, 138:9, 183:18 **Technology** [1] - 149:13 technology [15] - 10:22, 17:12, 17:16, 17:20, 44:1, 52:9, 52:14, 60:8, 61:12, 64:4, 68:16, 109:15, 109:18, 215:1, 217:8 teeth [2] - 158:11, 177:21 temperature [2] - 98:13, 139:13 template [1] - 148:8 temporarily [1] - 156:9 ten [9] - 9:9, 9:12, 9:22, 34:19, 35:20, 94:13, 94:17, 101:6, 184:25 ten-minute [4] - 9:9, 9:22, 35:20, 94:13 tens [1] - 152:6 term [14] - 40:1, 85:2, 95:6, 96:5, 96:6, 96:11, 96:12, 121:25, 122:15, 129:14,

130:18, 152:15, 178:11, 197:23 terminology [2] - 66:4, 66:7 terms [8] - 22:9, 100:6, 142:16, 153:10, 155:11, 161:15, 178:1, 217:13 terrific [2] - 7:7, 34:18 **Terry** [2] - 190:6, 190:12 **Tesoro** [1] - 110:10 test [5] - 55:1, 55:2, 55:5, 55:12, 149:3 tested [5] - 41:3, 54:17, 56:20, 211:1, 211:3 testing [5] - 58:10, 185:21, 201:2, 202:17, 206:1 tests [5] - 92:13, 122:9, 186:9, 186:10 Tex [1] - 71:11 **Texaco** [2] - 36:20, 156:13 **Texas** [1] - 37:1 thankful [1] - 212:10 thaw [1] - 175:17 theme [1] - 53:19 themselves [1] - 178:15 Theo [1] - 204:18 THEODORA [1] - 192:17 Theodora [1] - 192:18 Theresa [4] - 4:7, 4:9, 7:7, they've [4] - 135:25, 179:11, 193:20, 211:4 thick [1] - 13:18 thickness [1] - 27:12 thinking [5] - 89:20, 121:2, 125:6, 155:6, 205:3 thinks [1] - 141:12 third [7] - 11:18, 44:2, 57:3, 84:18, 86:17, 91:4, 160:13 thirdly [3] - 57:3, 57:12 thirsty [1] - 39:9 thoughtfully [1] - 4:23 thoughts [1] - 209:13 thousand [7] - 39:11, 40:1, 41:7, 45:2, 65:9, 129:16, 130:14 thousands [17] - 21:4, 21:9, 57:20, 62:25, 63:1, 64:4, 65:5, 65:12, 82:19, 83:2, 88:19, 152:6, 153:6, 153:8, 192:20, 211:23, 212:8 thread [1] - 53:18 three [20] - 9:17, 11:17, 12:13, 24:25, 35:9, 35:21, 63:22, 71:21, 86:1, 91:25, 97:25, 146:11, 153:20, 160:10, 176:2, 178:4, 178:14, 192:23, 193:14 Three [20] - 41:12, 42:6, 70:1, 70:4, 70:23, 71:2, 71:10, 72:4, 73:17, 75:1,

75:3, 76:19, 76:25, 78:10, 79:2, 79:6, 79:19, 103:19, 104:6, 181:8 three-by-five [2] - 35:9, 86:1 three-year [1] - 146:11 thriving [1] - 104:20 throughout [6] - 5:19, 27:5, 77:15, 79:11, 167:23, 214:10 ticketed [1] - 172:16 tied [1] - 157:23 tight [4] - 11:24, 11:25, 14:15, 39:6 timely [5] - 50:4, 50:5, 90:14, 92:14, 191:15 Tioga [1] - 60:15 tired [1] - 57:21 title [2] - 165:9, 190:2 Title [1] - 68:4 today [34] - 4:15, 6:14, 7:1, 7:2, 7:11, 12:18, 17:17, 31:6, 41:19, 49:10, 52:2, 53:19, 53:23, 61:3, 67:13, 70:1, 70:12, 71:9, 74:5, 76:9, 76:24, 80:7, 90:8, 127:5, 142:6, 147:6, 147:9, 183:15, 197:21, 204:7, 204:17, 207:7, 207:13, 207:19 today's [2] - 52:12, 70:19 toddlers [1] - 192:25 together [5] - 141:5, 151:15. 155:3, 172:24, 205:13 tolerated [1] - 109:1 Tongue [1] - 75:16 tonight [12] - 59:21, 113:9, 113:22, 116:24, 125:1, 140:14, 140:22, 195:9, 206:24, 211:21, 212:17, 215:25 tons [2] - 82:20, 82:21 **TONY** [2] - 113:6, 115:15 Tony [1] - 113:7 took [5] - 44:11, 72:17, 73:11, 156:15, 169:17 tool [1] - 63:25 toothpaste [1] - 46:18 top [10] - 38:7, 42:8, 54:6, 55:5, 64:20, 65:6, 118:6, 179:15, 182:1, 185:24 topic [9] - 4:17, 5:18, 6:18, 49:10, 50:6, 52:12, 140:21, 195:25, 216:12 topics [1] - 23:20 tops [2] - 187:15 torch [1] - 56:3 total [13] - 28:12, 28:15, 74:6, 74:8, 74:9, 74:11, 74:12, 118:1, 139:7, 142:13, 148:12, 157:11, 159:15

totaling [1] - 51:7 touches [2] - 142:7, 145:4 tough [1] - 191:2 toughness [1] - 66:1 tourism [6] - 115:19, 115:22, 115:23, 142:20, 189:2, 189:8 toward [1] - 22:12 towards [2] - 67:10, 106:13 Town [5] - 44:4, 53:1, 55:20, 75:18, 171:22 town [4] - 80:23, 115:21, 214:22, 215:3 township [1] - 82:16 toxic [3] - 46:11, 205:3, 205:19 toxicity [1] - 13:14 **Toxicology** [1] - 149:11 trace [1] - 90:12 tracer [2] - 42:25, 43:1 track [2] - 39:3, 171:19 tracking [1] - 87:16 tract [3] - 72:19, 72:21, 72:22 traction [1] - 115:11 tractor [1] - 83:5 tracts [2] - 73:2, 73:3 trade [4] - 5:25, 148:15, 196:17, 196:19 tradition [1] - 60:19 traditionally [1] - 207:16 traffic [11] - 67:3, 67:4, 76:11, 82:16, 134:23, 172:8, 173:5, 179:16, 181:5, 181:25, 182:17 Trail [1] - 189:13 trailer [1] - 57:6 trained [1] - 50:12 training [1] - 57:25 transcript [1] - 218:11 **TRANSCRIPT** [1] - 1:9 transit [1] - 79:2 transparency [2] - 90:6, 203:6 transpire [1] - 159:16 Transportation [1] - 176:14 transportation [3] - 84:13, 115:10, 115:12 transported [2] - 46:6, 46:22 travel [1] - 21:9 treat [2] - 63:7, 127:22 treated [2] - 38:3, 56:20 treating [5] - 44:13, 54:17, 56:13, 56:15, 56:19 treatment [4] - 55:8, 55:23, 143:19, 179:22 treatments [4] - 53:23, 58:18, 58:20, 96:18 tree [1] - 110:16

tremendous [9] - 17:3,

39:16, 39:21, 40:13, 40:14, 180:11, 180:19, 209:17, 215:15 tremendously [1] - 11:15 trial [1] - 128:6 tribal [16] - 40:24, 70:2, 71:10, 75:21, 78:12, 78:19, 79:20, 79:23, 79:24, 103:5, 103:10, 171:25, 173:2, 181:9, 181:23, 193:16 **tribe** [6] - 70:16, 95:22, 126:16, 181:3, 181:13, 182:2 tribes [4] - 9:18, 69:19, 69:20, 126:10 Tribes [18] - 70:2, 70:5, 70:24, 71:3, 71:10, 72:4, 73:17, 75:1, 75:4, 76:20, 77:1, 78:11, 79:3, 79:7, 79:19, 103:20, 104:7, tributaries [2] - 75:9, 106:25 tributary [1] - 107:11 trickle [1] - 119:15 trickle-down [1] - 119:15 tried [3] - 44:7, 81:16, 175:19 tripled [1] - 83:4 tripled-up [1] - 83:4 trips [1] - 53:3 truck [15] - 49:20, 67:3, 67:4, 76:10, 76:11, 82:16, 134:23. 172:8. 173:5. 176:1, 181:5, 181:24, 182:17 truckdriver [1] - 175:12 trucked [1] - 200:3 trucking [1] - 175:23 truckload [1] - 175:15 trucks [11] - 15:25, 56:24, 67:9, 82:22, 83:2, 172:9, 173:3, 176:3, 181:6, 182:22, 182:24 true [3] - 148:11, 194:19, 211:14 truly [1] - 115:2 Trust [1] - 120:2 trust [28] - 30:12, 32:7, 34:8, 71:17, 73:9, 73:13, 73:23, 74:7, 74:8, 74:11, 74:15, 77:22, 103:17, 103:18, 125:14, 125:17, 125:18, 126:6, 126:23, 126:25, 162:21, 163:1, 174:7, 174:10, 197:1, 197:2 truth [2] - 198:6, 199:3 try [6] - 40:19, 81:3, 134:22, 175:21, 190:15, 192:7

trying [15] - 28:22, 65:19,

65:20, 69:5, 84:3, 169:4,

170:2, 178:10, 201:25,

202:11, 202:12, 202:13, 206:15, 209:8, 215:23 tubing [3] - 15:6, 18:20, 34:5 tunnel [1] - 73:1 turn [8] - 38:24, 45:12, 57:15, 96:1, 116:5, 132:5, 136:23, 180.12 turned [4] - 21:24, 58:2, 58:4, 121:19 turning [2] - 198:8, 209:6 turnovers [1] - 76:11 TV [1] - 17:20 tweak [1] - 205:18 twice [3] - 162:17, 162:19, 163:11 two [45] - 9:7, 9:17, 10:6, 10:10, 10:14, 10:23, 11:6, 12:11, 14:20, 14:21, 16:24, 18:3, 21:7, 24:15, 35:12, 36:20, 41:2, 41:3, 42:9, 44:8, 48:4, 53:13, 73:11, 78:6, 84:15, 87:10, 91:19, 94:23, 97:22, 99:19, 99:22, 100:25, 101:7, 130:10, 131:20, 132:19, 136:9, 136:22, 140:10, 141:3, 141:14, 141:17, 179:7, 180:22, 182:21 two-inch [1] - 44:8 two-part [2] - 99:19, 136:9 twofold [1] - 114:17 type [13] - 11:23, 31:14, 31:18, 33:4, 68:4, 68:6, 92:17, 111:14, 126:18, 126:19, 130:21, 191:12, 201:15 typed [1] - 130:22 types [6] - 32:1, 32:19, 121:10, 122:14, 149:3, 172:17 **typewritten** [1] - 218:10 typical [6] - 16:19, 33:6, 33:20, 42:13, 46:14, 72:19 typically [7] - 54:18, 56:1, 56:14, 102:20, 143:12, 149:6, 151:2

ı

U.S [6] - 112:20, 112:23, 149:9, 149:10, 150:5, 152:12 UIC [1] - 185:22 ultimately [1] - 44:17 ultraviolet [2] - 63:6, 63:9 unapproved [1] - 77:14 uncivil [1] - 59:17 uncle [1] - 215:8 uncontrolled [2] - 31:24,

44:9 under [22] - 26:19, 27:25, 29:9, 30:7, 47:3, 47:17, 86:22, 96:10, 96:11, 122:4, 128:1, 171:2, 184:10, 184:12, 184:19, 184:25, 193:11, 196:17, 198:13, 200:2. 201:20 underground [6] - 44:18, 44:25, 47:2, 184:2, 188:7, 188:17 underneath [3] - 9:3, 172:4, 172:5 undesirable [2] - 103:16, 104:10 undisturbed [1] - 76:16 uneconomic [1] - 22:13 unexpected [1] - 28:5 unfortunately [2] - 57:16, 176:4 unintended [1] - 217:11 unique [8] - 37:20, 37:25, 38:4, 86:15, 91:16, 157:13, 209:4, 213:8 united [1] - 75:10 United [9] - 25:2, 59:23, 167:23, 168:2, 168:18, 171:9, 209:23, 210:4, 214:10 university [1] - 70:9 University [8] - 7:23, 25:20, 29:22, 60:2, 70:9, 70:11, 136:14, 215:7 unleashed [1] - 217:9 unless [2] - 18:20, 64:12 unplanned [2] - 38:21, 131:7 unregulated [1] - 177:7 unusual [2] - 42:24, 186:14 unwanted [1] - 79:25 up [116] - 9:11, 13:21, 14:25, 16:8, 17:13, 18:14, 18:19, 19:6, 19:10, 19:18, 20:18, 22:4, 23:16, 23:19, 25:12, 26:8, 36:6, 36:10, 37:4, 37:24, 42:7, 42:16, 43:11, 43:17, 43:24, 44:4, 44:6, 44:12, 44:17, 50:13, 50:25, 53:3, 55:9, 59:21, 63:5, 66:22, 67:12, 67:22, 69:13, 69:22, 83:4, 83:7, 84:17, 85:24, 88:23, 89:8, 90:10, 94:25, 95:23, 97:11, 101:9, 105:17, 107:5, 107:12, 107:19, 110:1, 120:23, 122:14, 123:17, 123:22, 124:13, 125:20, 127:5, 130:20, 131:10, 131:22, 133:19, 136:2, 140:10, 143:23, 145:12, 151:1, 151:12, 153:2, 155:22,

156:19, 158:18, 158:20, 159:1, 159:2, 159:4, 159:19, 160:13, 163:19, 164:1, 164:2, 164:13, 165:1, 165:2, 165:15, 167:11, 168:20, 173:20, 173:22, 174:16, 175:5, 177:6, 178:13, 182:11, 187:19, 190:16, 191:3, 192:15, 197:15, 199:10, 199:13, 201:8, 203:2, 205:3, 206:16, 207:9, 216:8, 216:15 update [1] - 101:3 updated [4] - 154:4, 154:11, 154:13, 154:14 **updating** [1] - 101:17 upend [1] - 203:5 upgrading [1] - 50:17 uploaded [2] - 149:19, upwards [1] - 53:23 urge [2] - 195:22, 196:9 usable [6] - 27:13, 27:16, 28:3, 28:5, 28:10 usage [1] - 68:22 useful [2] - 147:15, 150:4 **user** [2] - 86:23, 148:25 uses [4] - 114:10, 127:11, 127:18, 189:10 **USGS** [2] - 73:15, 149:8 usual [1] - 36:8 utilities [1] - 113:11 Utility [1] - 113:16 utility [5] - 113:17, 113:23, 114:2, 114:12, 114:14 utilize [2] - 5:11, 50:13 utilizing [1] - 6:12 UV [1] - 63:12

V

validate [1] - 202:19 valuable [2] - 149:1, 161:8 value [7] - 48:10, 56:20, 56:25, 131:25, 170:7, 203:5 valve [9] - 54:14, 55:4, 57:15, 58:2, 58:4, 58:6, 175:14, 176:2, 176:3 valves [8] - 54:4, 54:9, 54:12, 54:13, 54:16, 54:19, 56:9, 172:10

vapors [1] - 139:22 variety [1] - 208:19 various [5] - 29:24, 32:18,

96:17, 99:13, 146:5 **vast** [6] - 11:20, 20:6, 20:13, 108:20, 125:9

venue [1] - 122:11 **VERLE** [11] - 153:19, 154:4, 154:8, 154:11, 154:15, 154:19, 155:9, 155:13, 155:16, 158:7, 158:14 Verle [1] - 153:19 versus [8] - 87:24, 93:9, 96:14, 145:4, 165:5, 194:22 vertical [1] - 148:11 vertically [1] - 17:10 vested [1] - 53:24 viable [2] - 208:2, 209:10 vicinity [1] - 51:11 video [2] - 12:20, 44:25 view [3] - 10:12, 69:18, 89:23 views [5] - 6:18, 71:12, 199:18, 199:19 violation [1] - 178:11 violations [3] - 157:9, 159:10, 174:14 violence [2] - 143:25, 144:3 virtually [1] - 127:12 vis [1] - 15:24 viscosity [2] - 15:24, 66:17 visit [5] - 34:19, 163:10, 163:14, 163:15, 181:11 visitors [1] - 76:20 visits [5] - 160:14, 163:17, 163:20, 163:21, 163:22 vital [3] - 32:1, 32:5, 59:23

vegetation [1] - 76:13

vented [2] - 137:10, 139:17

venting [2] - 137:22, 139:1

veil [1] - 201:25

velocity [1] - 56:4

venture [1] - 147:19

vent [1] - 137:15

W

voice [4] - 7:6, 89:4, 89:5,

voiced [2] - 81:3, 81:15

volume [6] - 17:4, 91:17,

voluntary [4] - 149:16,

151:17, 169:5, 201:14

128:11, 128:15, 128:17,

volumes [3] - 127:22, 128:4,

voices [2] - 8:12, 94:9

volatile [1] - 139:16

94:24

148:12

128:10

wagging [1] - 146:22 wait [1] - 72:6 waited [1] - 72:1 waiting [1] - 70:16 waking [1] - 36:10 walk [2] - 12:17, 36:1 walked [2] - 121:16, 187:7 Wall [1] - 19:1 wants [3] - 40:15, 64:9, 136:25 Ward [2] - 3:5, 7:3 **WARD** [97] - 2:2, 4:4, 7:5, 34:18, 35:6, 48:16, 51:20, 59:8, 69:16, 80:15, 85:19, 94:13, 94:22, 96:6, 96:22, 97:25, 99:7, 101:24, 103:3, 104:11, 106:7, 108:4, 108:6, 112:17, 113:1, 115:9, 115:16, 117:1, 117:22, 119:18, 120:10, 120:21, 122:23, 123:15, 124:14, 124:17, 124:19, 125:5, 125:8, 126:12, 127:2, 128:24, 130:25, 132:4, 133:13, 135:21, 137:9, 137:13, 137:19, 137:23, 138:11, 138:14, 138:17, 140:2, 141:24, 145:24, 146:21, 150:13, 150:25, 151:4, 151:8, 151:18, 151:23, 152:1, 152:5, 153:1, 153:5, 153:10, 153:13, 153:18, 155:20, 159:22, 161:11, 161:17, 162:10, 162:16, 163:23, 164:6, 164:23, 167:2, 171:12, 171:16, 173:16, 174:22, 180:3, 182:3, 183:21, 188:23, 192:12, 194:8, 196:5, 199:7, 206:12, 211:18, 212:12, 216:5, 216:19 warehouse [1] - 46:5 washed [1] - 44:8 washes [1] - 54:13 **Washington** [6] - 25:18, 26:1, 104:18, 140:20, 141:13, 216:3 waste [1] - 177:17 wastes [2] - 43:9, 46:2 wastewater [6] - 50:20, 77:20, 175:4, 179:21, 193:5 watch [2] - 66:7, 101:17 watched [1] - 66:4 watching [2] - 113:24, 130:21 Water [22] - 28:19, 38:18, 38:25, 40:18, 49:8, 49:9, 67:13, 89:11, 100:13,

109:8, 120:1, 129:2, 147:3,

147:19, 150:23, 151:7,

151:16, 163:6, 167:5,

169:5, 170:25, 208:20

13:3, 13:6, 13:7, 13:8,

water [187] - 5:24, 7:25, 13:2,

13:9, 13:12, 13:16, 15:17, 15:18, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 17:2, 17:5, 18:13, 18:17, 19:10, 20:1, 20:18, 20:19, 21:24, 22:2, 22:7, 22:16, 22:19, 23:13, 23:14, 23:17, 27:13, 27:16, 28:3, 28:5, 28:10, 32:12, 33:21, 38:19, 38:20, 38:23, 39:1, 39:4, 39:7, 39:13, 39:14, 39:16, 39:17, 39:18, 40:14, 40:17, 40:21, 44:22, 45:11, 46:17, 46:25, 47:15, 47:16, 48:11, 48:18, 49:1, 49:3, 50:19, 63:7, 63:10, 63:18, 64:21, 64:24, 65:1, 65:19, 65:20, 65:22, 66:18, 66:20, 70:5, 70:22, 74:25, 75:2, 75:6, 76:21, 82:20, 83:12, 83:14, 83:16, 84:8, 84:23, 84:25, 85:4, 87:9, 88:8, 88:9, 88:13, 88:15, 88:17, 89:12, 92:13, 95:9, 95:10, 95:13, 95:14, 96:24, 97:4, 97:10, 106:23, 120:17, 121:3, 121:15, 121:21, 123:18, 123:23, 127:6, 127:10, 127:15, 127:16, 128:15, 130:4, 131:18, 131:20, 134:13, 134:14, 136:2, 136:5, 136:6, 136:10, 137:24, 138:2, 138:6, 138:8, 138:14, 138:18, 138:19, 138:20, 138:24, 139:6, 139:19, 142:17, 143:17, 143:18, 145:17, 145:21, 148:12, 149:2, 151:3, 160:2, 172:11, 172:24, 181:7, 182:16, 183:14, 184:8, 185:2, 192:22, 192:23, 192:24, 193:1, 193:4, 194:17, 200:13, 200:22, 201:6, 201:9, 202:9, 202:17, 203:17, 205:12, 208:21, 210:15, 210:22, 211:2, 211:3, 211:6, 211:7, 211:8, 211:11 water-based [1] - 13:8 water-drilled [1] - 13:12 waters [6] - 28:11, 50:2, 103:6, 103:12, 109:7, 138:4 Watford [1] - 133:17 ways [4] - 35:13, 60:10, 63:4, 204:16 **WD-40**® [6] - 171:3, 171:6, 203:8, 203:13, 203:21, 203:25 weakness [1] - 16:9

wealth [1] - 133:4 wealthy [1] - 180:18 web [12] - 100:11, 147:11, 147:18, 147:23, 149:12, 150:1, 150:16, 150:22, 151:17, 152:3, 152:10, 201:13 Wednesday [1] - 4:3 week [4] - 149:17, 163:11, 181:12 weekly [1] - 163:20 weeks [4] - 67:15, 78:6, 150:4, 180:23 Welcome [1] - 3:4 welcome [4] - 4:5, 4:15, 6:16, 153:17 welfare [2] - 104:16, 144:2 well's [3] - 5:7, 169:11 well-by-well [1] - 92:20 well-expressed [1] - 85:20 wellbore [27] - 27:17, 33:6, 41:16, 42:13, 42:15, 42:19, 43:19, 44:5, 44:6, 53:20, 53:25, 54:8, 54:22, 54:25, 55:3, 58:17, 64:16, 83:23, 84:16, 84:17, 96:13, 96:19, 96:20, 111:9, 186:19 wellbores [2] - 41:15, 186:7 wellhead [2] - 54:6, 54:16 wells [87] - 5:10, 5:12, 9:1, 11:2, 17:11, 19:13, 20:6, 20:14, 21:6, 22:17, 24:8, 24:13, 24:23, 24:25, 30:5, 30:11, 32:15, 39:11, 40:2, 41:13, 42:6, 43:19, 44:3, 44:21, 45:20, 51:4, 51:5, 51:10, 64:21, 64:23, 64:24, 65:1, 73:22, 74:8, 74:9, 74:11, 74:12, 89:14, 90:22, 95:21, 106:24, 108:13, 128:7, 128:14, 148:1, 148:9, 149:20, 152:6, 153:22, 154:3, 154:24, 156:9, 156:21, 157:10, 157:11, 161:6, 162:13, 162:16, 162:18, 162:21, 163:13, 163:15, 179:3, 180:25, 185:18, 185:19, 187:13, 187:17, 187:21, 187:23, 187:24, 188:3, 188:4, 188:11, 191:22, 195:10, 210:23, 210:24, 211:2, 211:3, 211:6, 211:8, 211:14, 214:9 West [1] - 8:8 west [1] - 179:22 western [19] - 39:23, 39:25, 52:16, 60:2, 71:19, 85:3, 85:5, 87:3, 132:25, 142:15,

146:16, 179:14, 189:6,

208:15, 208:17, 214:22, 215:2, 215:14, 215:16 wet [1] - 13:12 wheel [1] - 83:4 whereas [1] - 143:5 White [1] - 75:18 white [1] - 15:7 Whiting [15] - 2:6, 51:22, 52:11, 52:18, 53:11, 58:19, 59:2, 95:18, 111:19, 117:24, 118:3, 158:4, 174:24, 175:1, 180:15 Whiting's [1] - 119:9 whole [18] - 9:1, 15:14, 16:2, 16:25, 22:12, 37:15, 45:4, 67:9, 72:3, 142:14, 146:15, 165:10, 167:3, 175:15, 183:7, 184:13, 200:20 wide [1] - 116:20 widely [1] - 165:8 wildlife [4] - 115:22, 142:20, 160:11, 191:23 Wildlife [2] - 191:25, 192:6 Williams [1] - 81:9 Williston [8] - 36:24, 37:20, 53:4, 53:8, 60:15, 73:16, 73:20, 119:1 wind [1] - 114:7 Windex® [2] - 61:6, 204:12 wing [1] - 54:12 winter [4] - 76:24, 85:3, 111:1, 181:1 winters [1] - 109:3 wintertime [2] - 111:11, 111:14 wiped [1] - 183:8 wisdom [1] - 164:12 withdraw [1] - 39:4 withdrawals [1] - 40:21 witness [2] - 34:10, 126:4 witnesses [1] - 145:13 women [1] - 143:23 word [4] - 38:17, 145:20, 195:13, 204:16 WORDEN [6] - 2:6, 25:16, 102:6, 135:9, 141:16, 165:24 Worden [3] - 3:6, 25:12, 25:17 words [5] - 66:12, 155:22, 158:8, 163:25, 195:9 workforce [1] - 50:18 workover [1] - 186:23 works [5] - 8:20, 18:21, 22:12, 23:7, 158:10 world [7] - 10:21, 12:12, 40:8, 60:11, 143:21, 191:1, world-class [1] - 60:11 worn [1] - 55:10

worse [2] - 130:17, 136:17 worth [5] - 113:3, 182:20, 182:25, 183:10, 196:18 wrist [1] - 203:23 write [1] - 140:2 writing [1] - 35:14 written [2] - 6:17, 160:16 Wyoming [10] - 25:24, 29:25, 38:1, 90:24, 102:9, 135:25, 139:12, 159:25, 201:20, 201:21

Υ

year [14] - 39:11, 39:19, 43:7, 62:4, 108:11, 120:25, 134:21, 135:16, 140:11, 146:11, 152:6, 177:24, 190:17 year's [1] - 76:22 years [49] - 4:20, 5:13, 7:19, 11:17, 25:23, 25:25, 29:24, 36:20, 36:25, 43:23, 49:2, 51:16, 52:7, 53:12, 57:19, 58:21, 60:1, 60:14, 61:1, 71:19, 71:22, 73:11, 87:4, 88:19, 89:7, 91:6, 91:9, 100:25, 101:6, 101:7, 112:19, 130:5, 147:8, 156:10, 167:16, 167:22, 179:7, 182:12, 182:21, 183:12, 183:13, 205:24, 209:21, 210:19, 210:25, 214:23 Yellowstone [1] - 107:11 York [1] - 18:25 you-all [1] - 52:2 young [1] - 81:6 yourself [2] - 35:16, 197:2 youth [2] - 171:25, 182:9

Ζ

Zenergy [1] - 107:10 zero [1] - 77:23 Zoback [1] - 20:23 zone [8] - 19:15, 20:19, 22:1, 22:3, 28:5, 33:21, 97:14 zones [8] - 16:8, 19:4, 22:4, 28:3, 28:7, 34:12, 47:6, 97:15